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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the coefficient estimates of a class of $m$-fold bi-univalent function defined by subordination. The results presented in this paper improve or generalize the recent works of other authors.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of all functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $U$.

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, defined by

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in U)
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(\omega)\right)=\omega \quad\left(|\omega|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right) .
$$

The inverse functions $g=f^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(\omega)=\omega-a_{2} \omega^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \omega^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) \omega^{4}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $U$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of all bi-univalent functions in unit disk $U$.

For each functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the function

$$
h(z)=\sqrt{m} f\left(z^{m}\right) \quad\left(z \in U, m \in \mathbf{N}^{+}\right)
$$

is univalent and maps the unit disk $U$ into a region with $m$-fold symmetry. A function is said to be $m$-fold symmetric (see [1] and [2]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{m k+1} z^{m k+1} \quad\left(z \in U, m \in \mathbf{N}^{+}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogous to the concept of $m$-fold symmetric univalent functions, here we introduced the concept of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. For the normalized form of $f$ given by (1.3), Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[3]}$ obtained the series expansion for $f^{-1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\omega)= & f^{-1}(\omega) \\
= & \omega-a_{m+1} \omega^{m+1}+\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right] \omega^{2 m+1} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3 m+2) a_{m+1}^{3}-(3 m+2) a_{m+1} a_{2 m+1}+a_{3 m+1}\right] \omega^{3 m+1}+\cdots . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote by $\Sigma_{m}$ the class of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent function in $U$. For $m=1$, the formula (1.4) coincides with the formula (1.2) of the class $\Sigma$. Some $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$
\left(\frac{z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad\left[-\log \left(1-z^{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}
$$

The class of bi-univalent functions was first introduced and studied by Lewin ${ }^{[4]}$ and was showed that $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.51$. Brannan and Clunie ${ }^{[5]}$ improved Lewin's results to $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and later Netanyahu ${ }^{[6]}$ proved that $\max \left\{\left|a_{2}\right|\right\}=\frac{4}{3}$ if $f(z) \in \Sigma$. Recently, many authors investigated the estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for various subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see [7]-[9]). Not much is known about the bounds on general coefficient $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for $n \geq 4$. In the literature, only few works determine general coefficient bounds $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions (see [10]-[14]).

In this paper, let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots,
$$

and then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>0 \quad(z \in U)
$$

By [2], the $m$-fold symmetric function $p$ in the class $\mathcal{P}$ is given of the form:

$$
p(z)=1+p_{m} z+p_{2 m} z^{2 m}+p_{3 m} z^{3 m}+\cdots .
$$

Throughout this paper, it is assumed that $\varphi$ is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk $U$ such that $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$, and $\varphi(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. The function $\varphi$ has a series expansion of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \quad\left(B_{1}>0\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ be two analytic functions in the unit disk $U$ with

$$
u(0)=v(0) \quad \text { and } \quad \max \{|u(z)|,|v(z)|\}<1
$$

We observe that

$$
u(z)=b_{m} z^{m}+b_{2 m} z^{2 m}+b_{3 m} z^{3 m}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
v(z)=c_{m} z^{m}+c_{2 m} z^{2 m}+c_{3 m} z^{3 m}+\cdots
$$

We also observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{m}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|b_{2 m}\right| \leq 1-\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}, \quad\left|c_{m}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|c_{2 m}\right| \leq 1-\left|c_{m}\right|^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making some simple computations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u(z))=1+B_{1} b_{m} z^{m}+\left(B_{1} b_{2 m}+B_{2} b_{m}^{2}\right) z^{2 m}+\cdots \quad(|z|<1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(v(w))=1+B_{1} c_{m} w^{m}+\left(B_{1} c_{2 m}+B_{2} c_{m}^{2}\right) w^{2 m}+\cdots \quad(|w|<1) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, many researchers (e.g., [15]-[19]) have introduced and investigated a lot of interesting subclass of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Motivated by them, we investigate the estimates $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ for function belonging to the new general subclass $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$ of $\Sigma_{m}$. A new subclass $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$ of $\Sigma_{m}$ is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1 ${ }^{[15]}$ A function $f \in \Sigma_{m}$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z) & (z \in U) \\
g^{\prime}(\omega) \prec \varphi(\omega) & (\omega \in U)
\end{array}
$$

where the function $g$ is given by (1.4).
For various special choices of the function $\varphi(z)$ and for the case of $m=1$, our function class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$ reduces the following known function classes.
(1) In the case of $m=1$ in Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)
$$

studied by Ali et al. ${ }^{[13]}$.
(2) In the case of $m=1$ and $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}(0<\gamma \leq 1)$ in Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}\right)
$$

studied by Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[14]}$.
(3) In the case of $m=1$ and $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \gamma) z}{1-z}(0 \leq \gamma<1)$ in Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}\left(\frac{1+(1-2 \gamma) z}{1-z}\right)
$$

studied by Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[14]}$.
(4) In the case of $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ in Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\alpha}
$$

investigated by Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[19]}$.
(5) In the case of $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}(0 \leq \beta<1)$ in Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}\left(\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\beta}
$$

investigated by Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[19]}$.

## 2 Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 2.1 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad a_{m+1} \left\lvert\, \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{m+1}, \sqrt{\frac{2 B_{1}+2\left|B_{2}\right|}{(2 m+1)(m+1)}}, \Omega_{1}\right\}\right.,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \\
\leq & B_{1}<\frac{2(m+1)}{2 m+1} ; \\
\min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2 m+1},\right. & B_{1}^{2} \\
2(m+1)
\end{array}\left(1-\frac{2(m+1)}{(2 m+1) B_{1}}\right) \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}\right|}{2 m+1}+\frac{B_{1}}{2 m+1}, \Omega_{2}\right\}, \\
& B_{1} \geq \frac{2(m+1)}{2 m+1}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*} .
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=\frac{B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{(m+1)\left[2(m+1) B_{1}+\left|(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right|\right]}}, \\
& \Omega_{2}=\left(1-\frac{2(m+1)}{(2 m+1) B_{1}}\right) \frac{B_{1}^{3}}{2(m+1) B_{1}+\left|(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right|}+\frac{B_{1}}{2 m+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}(\varphi)$ and $g=f^{-1}$. Then there are analytic functions $u: U \rightarrow U$, and $v: U \rightarrow U$ with $u(0)=v(0)=0$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\varphi(u(z)), \quad g^{\prime}(\omega)=\varphi(v(\omega)) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=1+(m+1) a_{m+1} z^{m}+(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1} z^{2 m}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime}(\omega)=1-(m+1) a_{m+1} \omega^{m}+(2 m+1)\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right] \omega^{2 m}+\cdots,
$$

it follows from (1.7), (1.8) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+1) a_{m+1}=B_{1} b_{m}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 m+1) a_{2 m+1}=B_{1} b_{2 m}+B_{2} b_{m}^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& -(m+1) a_{m+1}=B_{1} c_{m}  \tag{2.6}\\
& (2 m+1)(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1}=B_{1} c_{2 m}+B_{2} c_{m}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.6), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{m}=-c_{m},  \tag{2.8}\\
& a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(b_{m}^{2}+c_{m}^{2}\right)}{2(m+1)^{2}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the inequalities given by (1.6) in (2.9) for the coefficients $b_{m}$ and $c_{m}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{m+1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (2.5) to (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 m+1)(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}=B_{1}\left(b_{2 m}+c_{2 m}\right)+B_{2}\left(b_{m}^{2}+c_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequalities given by (1.6) in (2.11) for the coefficients $c_{m}, c_{2 m}, b_{m}$ and $b_{2 m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 B_{1}+2\left|B_{2}\right|}{(2 m+1)(m+1)}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m}^{2}=\frac{(1+m) B_{1}\left(b_{2 m}+c_{2 m}\right)}{(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8), (2.9) and (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+1)\left[(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=B_{1}^{3}\left(b_{2 m}+c_{2 m}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, the equations (2.8) and (2.14) together with the equation (1.6) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(m+1)\left[(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 B_{1}^{3}\left(1-\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{(m+1)\left[2(m+1) B_{1}+\left|(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right|\right]}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (2.10), (2.12) and (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \min \{ & \frac{B_{1}}{m+1}, \sqrt{\frac{2 B_{1}+2\left|B_{2}\right|}{(2 m+1)(m+1)}}, \\
& \left.\frac{B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{(m+1)\left[2(m+1) B_{1}+\left|(2 m+1) B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1) B_{2}\right|\right]}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by substituting (2.7) from (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2 m+1}=\frac{m+1}{2} a_{m+1}^{2}+\frac{B_{1}}{2(2 m+1)}\left(b_{2 m}-c_{2 m}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of (2.4), (2.8) and (2.9), applying the inequalities in (1.6) for the coefficients $b_{2 m}$ and $c_{2 m}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| & \leq \frac{m+1}{2}\left|a_{m+1}\right|^{2}+\frac{B_{1}}{2(2 m+1)}\left(\left|b_{2 m}\right|+\left|c_{2 m}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{m+1}{2}\left|a_{m+1}\right|^{2}+\frac{B_{1}}{2 m+1}\left(1-\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{(m+1)^{2}}{(2 m+1) B_{1}}\right)\left|a_{m+1}\right|^{2}+\frac{B_{1}}{2 m+1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.10), (2.12), (2.16) and (2.18), we have the assertion (2.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.1 The estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ of Theorem 2.1 is the improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1 of [15].

Setting $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.1 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2 \alpha}{m+1}, \sqrt{\frac{4 \alpha+4 \alpha^{2}}{(2 m+1)(m+1)}}, \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{(m+1)(m+1+m \alpha)}}\right\} \\
& \left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \alpha}{2 m+1}, & 0<\alpha<\frac{m+1}{2 m+1} \\
\frac{6 m \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}}{(2 m+1)(m+1+m \alpha)}, & \frac{m+1}{2 m+1} \leq \alpha \leq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.2 The estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ of Corollary 2.1 is the improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 2 of [19].

Setting $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}(0 \leq \beta<1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, m}\left(\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{m}}^{\beta}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{m+1}, \sqrt{\frac{8(1-\beta)}{(2 m+1)(m+1)}}, \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\sqrt{(m+1)[m+1+|m-\beta(2 m+1)|]}}\right\}, \\
& \left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2(1-\beta)}{2 m+1}, & \frac{m}{2 m+1}<\beta<1 ; \\
\frac{4(1-\beta)}{2 m+1}-\frac{2(m+1)}{(2 m+1)^{2}}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{m}{2 m+1} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3 The estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ of Corollary 2.2 is the improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 3 of [19].

Setting $m=1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.3 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}(\varphi)=\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}\right|}{3}}, \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{4 B_{1}+\left|3 B_{1}^{2}-4 B_{2}\right|}}\right\},
$$

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{B_{1}}{3}, & B_{1}<\frac{4}{3} \\ \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4},\left(1-\frac{4}{3 B_{1}}\right) \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}\right|}{3}+\frac{B_{1}}{3},\right. & \\ \left.\left(1-\frac{4}{3 B_{1}}\right) \frac{B_{1}^{3}}{4 B_{1}+\left|3 B_{1}^{2}-4 B_{2}\right|}+\frac{B_{1}}{3}\right\}, & B_{1} \geq \frac{4}{3}\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.4 The estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ of Corollary 2.3 is the improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 2.1 of [13].

Setting $m=1$ and $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}(0<\gamma \leq 1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2 \gamma}}{\sqrt{2+\gamma}}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \gamma}{3}, & 0<\gamma<\frac{2}{3}, \\
\frac{8 \gamma^{2}}{6+3 \gamma}, & \frac{2}{3} \leq \gamma \leq 1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.5 The estimates for $\left|a_{3}\right|$ asserted by Corollary 2.4 are more accurate than those given by Theorem 1 in Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[14]}$.

Setting $m=1$ and $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \gamma) z}{1-z}(0 \leq \gamma<1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma, 1}\left(\frac{1+(1-2 \gamma) z}{1-z}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}(1-\gamma)}{\sqrt{2+|1-3 \gamma|}}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3}, & \frac{1}{3}<\gamma<1 ; \\
\frac{8-12 \gamma}{9}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{3}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.6 The estimates for $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ asserted by Corollary 2.5 are more accurate than those given by Theorem 2 in Srivastava et al. ${ }^{[14]}$.
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