Reversible Properties of Monoid Crossed Products

YIN YUE¹, ZHAO LIANG^{1,2,*} AND GU QIN-QIN¹

(1. School of Mathematics & Physics, Anhui University of Technology, Maanshan, Anhui, 243032)

(2. School of Mathematics Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210046)

Communicated by Du Xian-kun

Abstract: We study the reversible properties of monoid crossed products. The new class of strongly CM-reversible rings is introduced and characterized. This class of rings is a generalization of those of strongly reversible rings, skew strongly reversible rings and strongly M-reversible rings. Some well-known results on this subject are generalized and extended.

Key words: monoid crossed product, strongly reversible ring, strongly *CM*-reversible ring

2010 MR subject classification: 16S35, 16U20, 13B02
Document code: A
Article ID: 1674-5647(2018)01-0036-11
DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2018.01.04

1 Introduction

Throughout, unless otherwise indicated, R denotes an associative ring with identity and M is a monoid. In [1], Cohn introduced the notion of a reversible ring. A ring R is said to be reversible if ab = 0 implies ba = 0 for all $a, b \in R$. Anderson and Camillo^[2] used the term of ZC_2 for what is called reversible. It was proved in [3] that polynomial rings over reversible rings need not be reversible. A ring R is called reduced if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements (see [4]), i.e., $a^2 = 0$ implies a = 0 for all $a \in R$. Recall from [5] that a ring R is strongly reversible if polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ with f(x)g(x) = 0 implies g(x)f(x) = 0. It is clear that all reduced rings are strongly reversible, but the inverse is not true. Rage and Chhawchharia^[6] introduced the concept of an Armendariz ring. A

Received date: Sept. 9, 2016.

Foundation item: The NSF (11601005) of China, the Jiangsu Planned Projects (1601151C) for Postdoctoral Research Funds, the Provincial NSF (KJ2017A040) of Anhui Province, and the Graduate Students Innovation Projects (2016141) of Anhui University of Technology.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: 874095988@qq.com (Yin Y), lzhao78@gmail.com (Zhao L).

ring R is an Armendariz ring, whenever polynomials $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots + a_n x^n$, $g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots + b_m x^m$ are in R[x] and if f(x)g(x) = 0, then $a_i b_j = 0$ for all i, j. In the following, we denote by R[M] the monoid ring constructed from ring R and the monoid M, and e always stands for the identity of M. According to [7], a ring R is called an M-Armendariz if $\alpha = a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \cdots + a_ng_n$, $\beta = b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \cdots + b_mg_m \in R[M]$ satisfy $\alpha\beta = 0$, then $a_ib_j = 0$ for all i, j. A ring R is strongly M-reversible if $\alpha\beta = 0$ implies $\beta\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R[M]$ (see [8]). Recall from [9] that a ring R is skew strongly M-reversible whenever $\alpha\beta = 0$ implies $\beta\alpha = 0$, where $\alpha, \beta \in R * M$.

A monoid M is a u.p.-monoid (unique product monoid) if for any two nonempty finite subsets $A, B \in M$ there exists an element $g \in M$ uniquely in the form of ab with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. If there exists a monoid homomorphism $\omega : M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$, we denote by $\omega_g(r)$ the image of r under $\omega(g)$ with $g \in M$ and $r \in R$. We can form a skew monoid ring R * M (see [10]) (induced by the monoid homomorphism ω) by taking its elements to be finite formal combinations $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$ with the multiplication induced by $(ag)(bh) = (a\omega_g(b))(gh)$. The map $\omega : M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ defined by $\omega_g(r) = r$ for each $g \in M$ and $r \in R$ is called the trivial monoid homomorphism. More generally, if R is a ring and M is a monoid, then the crossed product $R \ddagger M$ over R consists of all finite sums $R \ddagger M = \{\sum r_g g \mid r_g \in R, g \in M\}$ with addition defined componentwise and multiplication defined by the distributive law and two rules that are called the twisting and the action explained below. Specifically, we have the twisting operation gh = f(g, h)gh for every $g, h \in M$, where $f : M \times M \to U = U(R)$. For every $r \in R$ and $g \in M$, we have $gr = \omega_g(r)g$ with $\omega : M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If $R \ddagger M$ is the crossed product over R, then the twisted function f and the weak action ω of M on R must satisfy

$$\omega_g(\omega_h(r)) = f(g, h)\omega_{gh}(r)f(g, h)^{-1},$$

$$\omega_g(f(h, k))f(g, hk) = f(g, h)f(gh, k)$$

$$f(e, g) = f(g, e) = 1$$

for all $g, h, k \in M$.

Monoid crossed products are a quite general ring construction. Let $R \sharp M$ be a monoid crossed product with twisting f and action ω . If the twisting f is trivial, i.e., f(x, y) = 1for all $x, y \in M$, then $R \sharp M$ is the skew monoid ring R * M. If the action ω is trivial, i.e., $\omega_g = i_R$ with i_R the identity map over R, then $R \sharp M$ is the twisted monoid ring $R^{\tau}[M]$. If both the twisting f and the action ω are trivial, then $R \sharp M$ is a monoid ring, denoted by R[M]. Motivated by the results of [3], [5], [8] and [9], in this paper we introduce and study the concept of strongly CM-reversible rings, which is a generalization of strongly reversible rings, strongly M-reversible rings and skew strongly M-reversible rings. The main idea is to study the reversible condition defined for the monoid ring crossed product $R \sharp M$. It is shown that if R is an M-rigid ring, then R is strongly CM-reversible. Moreover, if R is a right Ore ring with classical right quotient ring Q, then we show that R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if Q is strongly CM-reversible. Suppose that R/I is strongly CM-reversible for some ω -invariant ideal I of R. If I is an M-rigid ring, it is proved that R is strongly CM-reversible. Some well-known results on this subject are generalized and extended.

2 Main Results

In this section, we introduce the notion of strongly CM-reversible rings and investigate its properties. Some characterizations of this class of rings are given.

We start with the following definition.

Definition 2.1 Let R be a ring, M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. We call that the ring R is a strongly CM-reversible ring if $\alpha\beta = 0$ implies $\beta\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R \ddagger M$.

Remark 2.1 Let R be a strongly CM-reversible ring. Then we have the following facts: (1) If R is an arbitrary ring and $M = \{e\}$, then the trivial monoid homomorphism $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ is the only monoid homomorphism and the twisting f is trivial. Clearly, R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if R is strongly M-reversible.

(2) Let $M = (\mathbf{N}, +)$. If the monoid homomorphism $\omega \colon M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ and the twisting f are trivial, then it is clear that a ring R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if R is strongly M-reversible if and only if R is strongly reversible.

(3) If the twisting f is trivial, then the class of strongly CM-reversible rings is precisely the class of skew strongly M-reversible rings.

(4) If R is a strongly CM-reversible ring with a trivial twisting f, then every M-invariant subring S (i.e., $\omega_g(S) \subseteq S$ for all $g \in M$) is also strongly CM-reversible.

The next proposition gives the relationship between the strongly CM-reversible property of a ring R and that of its subrings induced by a central idempotent.

Proposition 2.1 Let R be a ring, M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If a is a central idempotent of R such that $\omega_g(a) = a$ for each $g \in M$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is a strongly CM-reversible ring;
- (2) aR and (1-a)R are strongly CM-reversible rings.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. It is straightforward.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let aR and (1-a)R be strongly CM-reversible rings. Suppose that $\alpha = a_1g_1 + \cdots + a_ng_n$, $\beta = b_1h_1 + \cdots + b_mh_m \in R \sharp M$ such that $\alpha\beta = 0$. Let

$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a a_i g_i, \quad \beta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m a b_j h_j, \quad \alpha_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) a_i g_i, \quad \beta_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (1-a) b_j h_j.$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in (aR) \sharp M$ and $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in ((1-a)R) \sharp M$. Since a is a central idempotent of R such that $\omega_g(a) = a$ for each $g \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \beta_1 &= a a_1 \omega_{g_1}(a b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + a a_n \omega_{g_n}(a b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \\ &= a a_1 \omega_{g_1}(a) \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + a a_n \omega_{g_n}(a) \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &= aa_1 a \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + aa_n a \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \\ &= a^2 a_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + a^2 a_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \\ &= aa_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + aa_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \\ &= a(a_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + a_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m) \\ &= a \alpha \beta \\ &= 0, \\ &\alpha_2 \beta_2 = (1-a) a_1 \omega_{g_1}((1-a) b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots \\ &+ (1-a) a_n \omega_{g_n}((1-a) b_m) f(g_1, h_1) g_n h_m \\ &= (1-a) a_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + (1-a) a_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m \\ &= (1-a) (a_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(g_1, h_1) g_1 h_1 + \dots + a_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(g_n, h_m) g_n h_m) \\ &= (1-a) \alpha \beta \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Because aR and (1-a)R are strongly CM-reversible subrings of R, we conclude that

$$\beta_1 \alpha_1 = 0, \qquad \beta_2 \alpha_2 = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\beta \alpha = \beta_1 \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_2 = a\beta\alpha + (1-a)\beta\alpha = 0$$

This implies that R is strongly CM-reversible. The proof is completed.

According to Krempa^[11], an endomorphism α of a ring R is rigid if $a\alpha(a) = 0$ implies that a = 0 for $a \in R$. A ring R is α -rigid if there exists a rigid endomorphism α of R. A ring R is α -compatible if for every $a, b \in R$, ab = 0 if and only if $a\alpha(b) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2 of [12], a ring R is α -rigid if and only if R is α -compatible and reduced.

For a ring R and a monoid M with $\omega: M \to \text{End}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism, we say that R is M-compatible (resp., M-rigid) if ω_q is compatible (resp., rigid) for any $g \in M$.

Corollary 2.1 Let R be an M-compatible ring and M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If a is a central idempotent of R, then R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if aR and (1-a)R are both strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. If R is M-compatible, then $\omega_g(a) = a$ for each idempotent $a \in R$ and $g \in M$ by Lemma 2.11 of [13], and the result follows from Proposition 2.1. This completes the proof.

According to [14], a ring R is said to be CM-Armendariz if $\alpha = a_1g_1 + \cdots + a_ng_n$, $\beta = b_1h_1 + \cdots + b_mh_m \in R \sharp M$ such that $\alpha\beta = 0$, then $a_i\omega_{g_i}(b_j) = 0$ for all i, j.

Lemma 2.1 Let R be a ring and M be a u.p.-monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If R is M-rigid, then $R \sharp M$ is reduced.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha = a_1g_1 + \cdots + a_ng_n \in R \sharp M$ such that $\alpha^2 = 0$. Then R is a CM-Armendariz ring by Proposition 2.2 of [14], and thus $a_i\omega_{g_i}(a_j) = 0$ for all i, j. Since every M-rigid ring is M-compatible and reduced, we have $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows that $\alpha = 0$. This implies that $R \sharp M$ is reduced.

Corollary 2.2 Let M be a u.p.-monoid and R be a reduced ring. Then R[M] is reduced.

Proposition 2.2 Let M be a u.p.-monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If R is an M-rigid ring, then R is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$, $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j h_j \in R \ M$ with $\alpha \beta = 0$, where $a_i, b_j \in R$ and $g_i, h_j \in M$ for each i, j. Then we have

$$(\beta \alpha)^2 = (\beta \alpha)(\beta \alpha) = \beta(\alpha \beta)\alpha = 0.$$

Since R is M-rigid, we have $\beta \alpha = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence R is strongly CM-reversible.

Lemma 2.2 Direct products of strongly CM-reversible rings are strongly CM-reversible.

Proposition 2.3 Let R be a ring, M be a commutative cancellative monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and $\omega: M \to \text{End}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. Suppose that Nis an ideal of M such that $\omega_g(r) = 1_R$ for every $g \in N$ and $r \in R$. If R is strongly CN-reversible, then R is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$, $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j h_j \in R \sharp M$ such that $\alpha \beta = 0$. Since M is a cancellative monoid, we have $gg_i \neq gg_j$ and $h_i g \neq h_j g$ whenever $i \neq j$. If we take $g \in N$, then $gg_1, gg_2, \dots, gg_n, h_1g, h_1g, \dots, h_mg \in N$.

Let
$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i gg_i$$
, $\beta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j h_j g$. It is clear that α_1 , $\beta_1 \in R \sharp N$, and thus we have
 $\alpha_1 \beta_1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i gg_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j h_j g\right)$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (a_i gg_i)(b_j h_j g)$$

$$= a_1 \omega_{gg_1}(b_1) f(gg_1, h_1g) gg_1 h_1 g + \dots + a_n \omega_{gg_n}(b_m) f(gg_n, h_m g) gg_n h_m g$$

$$= a_1 \omega_{g_1}(b_1) f(gg_1, h_1g) gg_1 h_1 g + \dots + a_n \omega_{g_n}(b_m) f(gg_n, h_m g) gg_n h_m g$$

$$= 0.$$

Since R is strongly CN-reversible, we have

$$\beta_{1}\alpha_{1} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}h_{j}g\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}gg_{i}\right)$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_{j}h_{j}g)(a_{i}gg_{i})$
= $b_{1}\omega_{h_{1}g}(a_{1})f(h_{1}g, gg_{1})h_{1}ggg_{1} + \dots + b_{m}\omega_{h_{m}g}(a_{n})f(h_{m}g, gg_{n})h_{m}ggg_{n}$
= $b_{1}\omega_{h_{1}}(a_{1})f(h_{1}g, gg_{1})h_{1}ggg_{1} + \dots + b_{m}\omega_{h_{m}}(a_{n})f(h_{m}g, gg_{n})h_{m}ggg_{n}$
= 0.

This implies that

$$b_j \omega_{h_j}(a_i) f(h_j g, g g_i) h_j g g g_i = 0$$

for each *i*, *j*. Therefore, we have $b_j \omega_{h_j}(a_i) = 0$ for all *i*, *j*, and thus

 $\beta \alpha = b_1 \omega_{h_1}(a_1) f(h_1, g_1) h_1 g_1 + \dots + b_m \omega_{h_m}(a_n) f(h_m, g_n) h_m g_n = 0.$

This proves that R is strongly CM-reversible.

Example 2.1 Let R be a ring with unity and $M = \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$ a cyclic group of order n. Let

$$S = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in R \right\}.$$

For every $e \neq g \in M$, we define $\omega \colon M \to \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ by

$$\omega_g\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\0&c\end{array}\right)\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}a&-b\\0&c\end{array}\right).$$

If the twisting f is trivial (i.e., f(x, y) = 1 for all $x, y \in M$), then S is not strongly CM-reversible. In fact, let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g$$

be elements in $S \sharp M$. It is easy to see that $\alpha \beta = 0$. But

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \omega_g \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This implies that $\beta \alpha \neq 0$. Therefore, S is not strongly CM-reversible.

Lemma 2.3 Let M be a monoid and N be a submonoid of M. If R is a strongly CM-reversible ring, then R is strongly CN-reversible.

Lemma 2.4^[7] If M and N are u.p.-monoids, then so is $M \times N$.

Let T(G) be the set of elements of finite order in an Abelian group G. Then T(G) is fully invariant subgroup of G. G is said to be torsion-free if $T(G) = \{e\}$.

Theorem 2.1 Let G be a finitely generated Abelian group. Then the following conditions on G are equivalent:

- (1) G is torsion-free;
- (2) There exists a ring R with $|R| \ge 2$ such that R is strongly CG-reversible.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1). If $g \in T(G)$ and $g \neq e$, then $N = \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic group of finite order. If a ring $R \neq \{0\}$ is strongly *CG*-reversible, then *R* is strongly *CN*-reversible by Lemma 2.3. Since $N = \langle g \rangle$ is a submonoid of *G*, by Example 2.1, *R* is not *CN*-reversible, a contradiction. Therefore, every ring $R \neq \{0\}$ is not strongly *CG*-reversible.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Let G be a finitely generated Abelian group with $T(G) = \{e\}$. Then $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a finite direct product of group Z. By Lemma 2.4, G is a u.p.-monoid. If R is a commutative M-rigid ring, then R is strongly CG-reversible by Proposition 2.2.

Let \triangle be a multiplicative monoid consisting of central regular elements of R. Then it is easy to see that $\triangle^{-1}R = \{u^{-1}a \mid u \in \triangle, a \in R\}$ is a ring. Let M be a monoid with $\omega \colon M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. If $\omega_g(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$ for every $g \in M$, then ω can be extended to $\bar{\omega} \colon M \to \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta^{-1}R)$ defined by $\bar{\omega}_g(u^{-1}a) = \omega_g(u)^{-1}\omega_g(a)$. Note that if $f \colon M \times M \to U(R)$ is a twisted function, then f is also a twisted function from $M \times M$ to $\Delta^{-1}R$ since $U(R) \subseteq U(\Delta^{-1}R)$.

Proposition 2.4 Let M be a cancellative monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. Then R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if $\Delta^{-1}R$ is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. It suffices to show the necessity. Assume that R is strongly CM-reversible. Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^{-1} a_i g_i, \qquad \beta = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j^{-1} b_j h_j \in (\triangle^{-1} R) \sharp M$$

such that $\alpha\beta = 0$. Since \triangle is a multiplicative monoid consisting of central regular elements of R, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \beta &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i^{-1} a_i g_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j^{-1} b_j h_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} u_i^{-1} a_i \omega_{g_i} (v_j^{-1} b_j) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_i \omega_{g_i} (b_j) (u_i \omega_{g_i} (v_j))^{-1} f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i g_i, \qquad \tilde{\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j h_j.$$

Then $\tilde{\alpha}, \, \tilde{\beta} \in R \sharp M$ and

$$\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i,j} a_i \omega_{g_i}(b_j) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j = 0$$

Since R is strongly CM-reversible, we have

$$\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i,j} b_j \omega_{h_j}(a_i) f(h_j, g_i) h_j g_i = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\beta \alpha = \sum_{i,j} v_j^{-1} b_j \omega_{h_j}(a_i) \omega_{h_j}(u_i)^{-1} (f(h_j, g_i) h_j g_i = 0,$$

since u_i, v_j are central regular elements of R.

Let I be an ideal of R and $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. An ideal I of R is said to be ω -invariant in the case $\omega_g(I) \subseteq I$ for every $g \in M$. Note that $\bar{\omega}: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R/I)$ defined by $\bar{\omega}_g(r+I) = \omega_g(r) + I$ is a monoid homomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to see that the twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ induces a twisting $\bar{f}: M \times M \to U(R/I)$ given by $\bar{f}(x, y) = f(x, y) + I$.

For all
$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$$
 in $R \sharp M$, we denote $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_i g_i$ in $(R/I) \sharp M \cong (R \sharp M)/(I \sharp M)$, where

 $\bar{a}_i = a_i + I$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. And the map $\mu \colon R \sharp M \to (R/I) \sharp M$ defined by $\mu(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$ is a ring epimorphism.

For a ring S and $n \ge 2$, let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, a_{ij} \in S \right\}.$$

Let M be a monoid and $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ a monoid homomorphism. For every $g \in M$, the map ω can be extended to a monoid homomorphism $\bar{\omega}$ from M to $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ defined by $\bar{\omega}_q((a_{ij})) = (\omega_q(a_{ij})).$

The following example shows that there exists a ring R such that R/I is strongly CM-reversible for every non-zero strongly CM-reversible proper ideal I (as a ring without identity), but R is not strongly CM-reversible.

Example 2.2 Let S be a division ring, and

$$R = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{array} \right) \mid a, \, b, \, c, \, d \in S \right\}.$$

It is clear that R is not strongly CM-reversible since it is not a reversible ring. Let M be a monoid with $|M| \ge 2$. Take a non-zero proper ideal

$$I = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 0 & S \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

It is easy to see that I is a strongly CM-reversible ideal of R. Next we show that R/I is a strongly CM-reversible ring. To this end, if

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i & 0\\ 0 & a_i & c_i\\ 0 & 0 & a_i \end{pmatrix} g_i, \qquad \beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \begin{pmatrix} u_j & v_j & 0\\ 0 & u_j & w_j\\ 0 & 0 & u_j \end{pmatrix} h_j$$

are elements in (R/I) #M such that $\alpha\beta = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}g_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}g_{i} & 0\\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}g_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}g_{i}\\ 0 & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}g_{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j}h_{j} & \sum_{j=1}^{m} v_{j}h_{j} & 0\\ 0 & \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j}h_{j} & \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}h_{j}\\ 0 & 0 & \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j}h_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j h_j\right) = \sum_{i,j} a_i \omega_{g_i}(u_j) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j = 0$$

This implies that $a_i \omega_{g_i}(u_j) = 0$, and thus $a_i u_j = 0$, since S is an M-rigid ring. Because S is a division ring, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i = 0$ or $\sum_{j=1}^m u_j h_j = 0$. In any case, it can be easily checked that $\beta \alpha = 0$, as desired.

However, we have an affirmative answer as the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5 Let R be a ring and M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. Suppose that R/I is strongly CM-reversible for some ω invariant ideal I of R. If I is M-rigid, then R is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. Suppose that
$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i, \ \beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j h_j \in R \sharp M$$
 with $\alpha \beta = 0$. Then we have
 $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_i g_i, \ \bar{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{b}_j h_j \in (R/I) \sharp M$,

where $\bar{a}_i = a_i + I$, $\bar{b}_j = b_j + I$. On the other hand, since

$$\alpha\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_i \omega_{g_i}(b_j) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{0} &= \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} \\ &= (\bar{a}_1g_1 + \dots + \bar{a}_ng_n)(\bar{b}_1h_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_mh_m) \\ &= (a_1 + I)\bar{\omega}_{g_1}(b_1 + I)\bar{f}(g_1, h_1)g_1h_1 + \dots + (a_n + I)\bar{\omega}_{g_n}(b_m + I)\bar{f}(g_n, h_m)g_nh_m \\ &= (a_1\omega_{g_1}(b_1)f(g_1, h_1) + I)g_1h_1 + \dots + (a_n\omega_{g_n}(b_m)f(g_n, h_m) + I)g_nh_m \\ &= (a_1\omega_{g_1}(b_1)f(g_1, h_1) + I)g_1h_1 + \dots + (a_n\omega_{g_n}(b_m)f(g_n, h_m) + I)g_nh_m \end{split}$$

in (R/I) #M. Therefore, we have

$$\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{b}_j h_j\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_i g_i\right) = \bar{0},$$

since R/I is strongly CM-reversible, and thus $\beta \alpha \in I \sharp M$. Since I is an M-rigid ring, $I \sharp M$ is reduced by Lemma 2.5. It follows that

$$(\beta\alpha)^2 = (\beta\alpha)(\beta\alpha) = \beta(\alpha\beta)\alpha = 0,$$

which implies that $\beta \alpha = 0$. This shows that R is a strongly CM-reversible ring.

A ring R is called right Ore, if for any $a, b \in R$ with b regular, there exist $a_1, b_1 \in R$ with b_1 regular such that $ab_1 = ba_1$. Note that R is right Ore if and only if the classical right quotient ring Q of R exists. Note that if there exists the classical right quotient ring Q of R and M is a monoid with $\omega \colon M \to \operatorname{End}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism, then the induced map $\bar{\omega} \colon M \to \operatorname{End}(Q)$ defined by $\bar{\omega}_g(ab^{-1}) = \omega_g(a) \cdot \omega_g(b)^{-1}$ extends ω and is also a monoid homomorphism with $ab^{-1} \in Q$, where $a, b \in R, g \in M$ and b is regular.

It was shown in Theorem 2.6 of [3] that a ring R is reversible if and only if its classical right quotient ring is reversible. Moreover, the authors of [15] also proved that a ring Ris strongly right α -reversible if and only if its classical right quotient ring is strongly right α -reversible. More generally, we have the following theorem. **Theorem 2.2** Let M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If R is a right Ore ring with a classical right quotient ring Q, then R is strongly CM-reversible if and only if Q is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. Assume that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$, $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j h_j \in Q \sharp M$ such that $\alpha \beta = 0$, where $a_i, b_j \in Q$ and $g_i, h_j \in M$ for all i, j. Since $\omega_{g_i}, \omega_{h_j} \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ and R is a right Ore ring with classical right quotient ring Q, by Proposition 2.1.16 of [16], we can assume that

$$a_i = p_i \omega_{g_i}(u^{-1}), \qquad b_j = q_j \omega_{h_j}(v^{-1})$$

with $p_i, q_j \in R$ for all i, j and regular elements $u, v \in R$. Also by Proposition 2.1.16 of [16], there exists a $c_j \in R$ and a regular element $s \in R$ such that $u^{-1}q_j = c_j s^{-1}$ for each j. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g_i, \ \beta_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^m q_j h_j \text{ and } \beta_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j h_j. \text{ Then we have} \\ 0 &= \alpha \beta \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m p_i \omega_{g_i} (u^{-1}) \omega_{g_i} (q_j \omega_{h_j} (v^{-1})) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m p_i \omega_{g_i} (u^{-1} q_j \omega_{h_j} (v^{-1})) f(g_i, h_j) g_i h_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g_i \sum_{j=1}^m (u^{-1} q_j \omega_{h_j} (v^{-1})) h_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g_i \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j s^{-1} \omega_{h_j} (v^{-1})) h_j \\ &= \alpha_1 \beta_2 \omega_{h_j}^{-1} (s^{-1} \omega_{h_j} (v^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

since ω_{h_j} is an automorphism of R for each h_j . Therefore, we have $\alpha_1\beta_2 = 0$, and hence $\alpha_1\beta_1 = 0$ in $R \sharp M$.

Moreover, there exists a $d_i \in R$ and a regular element $t \in R$ such that $v^{-1}p_i = d_it^{-1}$ for each *i* again by Proposition 2.1.16 of [16]. Let $\alpha_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i g_i \in R \sharp M$. Then we have

$$0 = \alpha_1 t \beta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i t) g_i \sum_{j=1}^m q_j h_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (v d_i) g_i \sum_{j=1}^m q_j h_j = v \alpha_2 \beta_1.$$

It follows that $\alpha_2\beta_1 = 0$ in R * M, and thus $\beta_1\alpha_2 = 0$ since R is a strongly CM-reversible ring. Therefore, we have

$$0 = \beta \alpha$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{j} \omega_{h_{j}}(v^{-1}) \omega_{h_{j}}(p_{i} \omega_{g_{i}}(u^{-1})) f(h_{j}, g_{i}) h_{j} g_{i}$
= $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{j} \omega_{h_{j}}(v^{-1} p_{i} \omega_{g_{i}}(u^{-1})) f(h_{j}, g_{i}) h_{j} g_{i}$
= $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{j} \omega_{h_{j}}(d_{i} t^{-1} \omega_{g_{i}}(u^{-1})) f(h_{j}, g_{i}) \cdot h_{j} g_{i}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} q_j h_j \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i t^{-1} \omega_{g_i}(u^{-1}) g_i$$

= $\beta_1 \alpha_2 \omega_{g_i}^{-1}(t^{-1} \omega_{q_i}(u^{-1})).$

By the definition of a strongly CM-reversible ring, Q is a strongly CM-reversible ring and we are done.

Proposition 2.6 Let M be a monoid with a twisting $f: M \times M \to U(R)$ and an action $\omega: M \to \operatorname{Aut}(R)$. If R is an M-rigid CM-Armendariz ring, then R is strongly CM-reversible.

Proof. Let $\alpha = a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \cdots + a_ng_n$, $\beta = b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \cdots + b_mh_m \in R \sharp M$ such that $\alpha\beta = 0$. Since R is CM-Armendariz, we get $a_i\omega_{g_i}(b_j) = 0$ for all i, j. This implies that $a_ib_j = 0$ for all i, j since R is M-compatible. Because R is a reversible ring, $b_ja_i = 0$ for all i, j. Then $b_j\omega_{h_j}(a_i) = 0$ for all i, j, and hence

$$\beta \alpha = \sum_{i,j} b_j \omega_{h_j}(a_i) f(h_m, g_n) h_m g_n = 0.$$

This implies that R is strongly CM-reversible.

=

_

References

- [1] Cohn P M. Reversible rings. Bull. London Math. Soc., 1999, 31: 641-648.
- [2] Anderson D D, Camillo V. Semigroups and rings whose zero products commute. Comm. Algebra, 1999, 27(6): 2847–2852.
- [3] Kim N K, Lee Y. Extension of reversible rings. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2003, 185(1): 207–223.
- [4] Kim N K, Lee Y. Armendariz rings and reduced rings. J. Algebra, 2000, 223(2): 477–488.
- [5] Yang G, Liu Z K. On strongly reversible rings. Taiwanese J. Math., 2008, 12(1): 129–136.
- [6] Rage M B, Chhawchharia S. Armendariz rings. Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 1997, 73: 14–17.
- [7] Liu Z K. Armendariz rings relative to a monoid. Comm. Algebra, 2005, 33(3): 649-661.
- [8] Singh A B, Juyal P, Khan M R. Strongly reversible rings relative to a monoid. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2010, 63(1): 1–7.
- [9] Peng Z M, Gu Q Q, Zhao L. On skew strongly reversible rings relative to a monoid. J. Math. Res. Appl., 2016, 36(1): 43–50.
- [10] Kelarev A V. Ring Constructions and Applications. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2002.
- [11] Krempa J. Some examples of reduced rings. Algebra Collog., 1996, 3(4): 289–300.
- [12] Hashemi E, Moussavi A. Polynomial extensions of quasi-Baer rings. Acta Math. Hungar., 2005, 107(3): 207–224.
- [13] Habibi M, Manaviyat R. A generalization of nil-Armendariz rings. J. Algebra Appl., 2013, 12(6): 1–30.
- [14] Zhao L, Zhou Y Q. Generalized Armendariz properties of crossed product type. Glasgow Math. J., 2016, 58: 313–323.
- [15] Zhao L, Zhu X S. Extensions of strongly α-reversible rings. Bull. Iranian. Math. Soc., 2012, 38(1): 275-292.
- [16] Mcconnell J C, Robson J C. Noncommutative Noetherian Rings. New York: Wiley, 1987.