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#### Abstract

We give an existence result of the obstacle parabolic equations $$
\frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))+\operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u))=f \quad \text { in } Q_{T}
$$ where $b(x, u)$ is bounded function of $u$, the term $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is a Leray-Lions type operator and the function $\phi$ is a nonlinear lower order and satisfy only the growth condition. The second term $f$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. The proof of an existence solution is based on the penalization methods.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the problem of existence of solutions of the obstacle problems associated to the following nonlinear parabolic problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))+\operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u))=f & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ b(x, u)(t=0)=b\left(x, u_{0}(x)\right) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $I R^{N}(N \geq 2), T$ is a positive real number, and $Q_{T}=$ $\Omega \times(0, T)$. Let $b: \Omega \times I R \longrightarrow I R$ is a Carathéodory function such that for every $x \in \Omega, b(x, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing $C^{1}$-function, the data $f$ and $b\left(\cdot, u_{0}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $L^{1}(\Omega)$ respectively. The term $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is a Leray-Lions operator defined on $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ (see assumptions (3.3a)-(3.3c)). The function $\phi(x, t, u)$ is a Carathéodory assumed to be continuous on $u$ (see assumptions (3.3d)-(3.3e)). Under these assumptions, the above problem does not admit, in general, a weak solution since the fields $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $\phi(x, t, u)$ does not belongs in $\left(L_{l o c}^{1}(Q)\right)^{N}$ in general.

In the case of equation in the classical Sobolev spaces H. Redwane [5] proved the existence of solution of problem (1.1) where $\phi(x, t, u)=0$, and where $\operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u))=$ $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $f \in L^{1}(Q)$ by Y. Akdim et al. [2] in the degenerated Sobolev spaces without the sign condition and the coercivity condition on the term $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$.

The existence of a solution is shown in $[5,8]$ with $b(x, u)=u$, using the framework of renormalized solution, and in [7] for the case $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))=-\Delta u$, using the framework of entropy solution.

It is our purpose, in this paper to generalize the result of [2,7], and we prove the existence of unilateral entropy solution for the problem (1.1) and without the coercivity condition on $\phi$. More precisely, this paper deals with the existence of a solution to the obstacle parabolic problem associated to (1.1) in the sense of unilateral entropy solution (see Theorem 3.1).

The aim of this work is to investigate the relationship between the obstacle problem (1.1) and some penalized sequence of approximate equations (3.9). We study the possibility to find a solution of (1.1) (see Theorem 3.1) as limit of a subsequence $u_{\epsilon}$ of solutions of (3.9). The penalized term $\frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-}$introduced in (3.9) play a crucial role in the proof of our main result, in particular term allows to prove that the solution of (3.9) belongs in the convex set $K_{\psi}$.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we give some preliminaries and basic assumptions. In Section 3 we give the definition of entropy solution of (1.1), and we establish (see Theorem 3.1) the existence of such solution.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $I R^{N}(N \geq 2), T$ is a positive real number, and $Q_{T}=$ $\Omega \times(0, T)$. We need the Sobolev embeddings result.

Lemma 2.1 (Gagliardo-Niremberg). Let $v \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho}(\Omega)\right)$, with $q \geq 1$ and $\rho \geq 1$. Then $v \in L^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ with $\sigma=q\left(\frac{N+\rho}{N}\right)$ and

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|v|^{\sigma} d x d t \leq C\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho}(\Omega)\right)}^{\rho q} \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla v|^{q} d x d t .
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [8]). Assume that $\Omega$ is an open set of IR $^{N}$ of finite measure and $1<p<+\infty$. Let $u$ be a measurable function satisfying $T_{k}(u) \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ for every $k$ and such that:

$$
\sup _{t \in(0, T)} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{k}(u)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}(u)\right|^{p} d x d t \leq M k, \quad \forall k>0
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\||u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p(N+1)-N}{N(p-1)}}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C M^{\left(\frac{p}{N}+1\right) \frac{N}{N+p^{\prime}}}\left|Q_{T}\right|^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left(\frac{N}{N+p^{\prime}}\right)}, \\
& \left\||\nabla u|^{p-1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p(N+1)-N}{(N+1)(p-1)^{\infty}}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C M^{\frac{(N+2)(p-1)}{p(N+1)-N}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depend only on $N$ and $p$.

## 3 Assumptions and statements of main results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the following assumptions hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b: \Omega \times I R \rightarrow I R \text { is a Carathéodory function such that for every } x \in \Omega \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$b(x, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing $\mathcal{C}^{1}(I R)$-function and $b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times I R)$ with $b(x, 0)=0$.
Next, there exists a constant $\lambda>0$ and two functions $A \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $B \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leq \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A(x) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\nabla_{x}\left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s}\right)\right| \leq B(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in I R$.
For any $k>0$, there exists $h_{k} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq v\left(h_{k}(x, t)+|\xi|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall|s| \leq k, \text { and with } v>0,  \tag{3.3a}\\
& a(x, t, s, \xi) \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^{p} \quad \text { with } \alpha>0,  \tag{3.3b}\\
& (a(x, t, s, \xi)-a(x, t, s, \eta)(\xi-\eta)>0 \quad \text { with } \quad \xi \neq \eta,  \tag{3.3c}\\
& |\phi(x, t, s)| \leq c(x, t)|s|^{\gamma} \quad \text { with } \gamma=\frac{N+2}{N+p}(p-1),  \tag{3.3d}\\
& c(x, t) \in\left(L^{\tau}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} \quad \text { with } \quad \tau=\frac{N+p}{p-1}, \tag{3.3e}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost every $(x, t) \in Q_{T}$, for every $s \in I R$ and every $\xi, \eta \in I R^{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{3.4a}\\
& u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega) \text { such that } b\left(x, u_{0}\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\psi$ be a measurable function with values in $\overline{I R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
K_{\psi}=\left\{u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) / u \geq \psi \text { almost every in } Q_{T}\right\} .
$$

Throughout the paper, $T_{k}$ denotes the truncation function at height $k \geq 0$ :

$$
T_{k}(r)=\max (-k, \min (k, r)), \quad \forall r \in I R .
$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (3.2)-(3.5) hold true. Then there exists at last one solution $u$ such that $b(x, u) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), b(x, u)(t=0)=b\left(x, u_{0}\right)$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{k}(u) \in L^{p}\left(0, T, W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), u \geq \psi \text { a.e. in } Q_{T},  \tag{3.6}\\
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial s} ; T_{k}(u-\varphi)\right\rangle d s+\int_{Q_{t}} a(x, s, u, \nabla u) \nabla T_{k}(u-\varphi) d x d s \\
\quad-\int_{Q_{t}} \phi(x, s, u) \nabla T_{k}(u-\varphi) d x d s \leq \int_{Q_{t}} f T_{k}(u-\varphi) d x d s, \\
\forall k>0 \text { and } \forall \varphi \in K_{\psi} \cap L^{\infty}(Q) \text { such that } \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T, W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Q_{t}=\Omega \times(0, t)$.
Proof. The proof is divided into six steps.
Step 1: Approximate problem and a priori estimates. For each $\epsilon>0$, we define the following approximations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\epsilon}(x, t, s, \xi)=a\left(x, t, T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(s), \xi\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in Q_{T}, \forall s \in I R, \forall \xi \in I R^{N},  \tag{3.7a}\\
& \phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, r)=\phi\left(x, t, T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(r)\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in Q_{T}, \forall r \in I R  \tag{3.7b}\\
& f_{\epsilon} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { such that } f_{\epsilon} \rightarrow f \text { strongly in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \tag{3.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0 \epsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { such that } b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) \rightarrow b\left(x, u_{0}\right) \text { strongly in } L^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the approximate problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-}=f_{\epsilon} & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{3.9}\\ u_{\epsilon}(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(t=0)=b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) & \text { in } \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

As a consequence, proving existence of a weak solution $u_{\epsilon} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ is an easy task (see e.g., [13]).

Step 2: Let $\tau_{1} \in(0, T)$ and $t$ fixed in $\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)$. By choosing $T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \equiv T_{\beta+h}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ with $\beta \geq\|\psi\|_{\infty}$ as test function in (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta, h}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}(t)\right) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{t}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d x d s+\int_{Q_{t}} f_{\epsilon} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} B_{\beta, h}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) d x, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B_{\beta, h}(x, r)=\int_{0}^{r} T_{h}\left(s-T_{\beta}(s)\right) \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} d s
$$

Due to definition of $B_{k}^{\epsilon}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} B_{\beta, h}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}(t)\right) d x \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x, \quad \forall h>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta, h}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) d x \leq h \int_{\Omega}\left|b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)\right| d x=h| | b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) \|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall h>0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.11) and (3.12) and since $T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=u_{\epsilon}-\beta$ on $\left\{(x, t) / \beta \leq\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq \beta+h\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x+\alpha \int_{Q_{t}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d s \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{t}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\beta\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d s d x \\
& \quad+h\left(\left\|b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+| | f_{\epsilon} \|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq C \int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d s d x \\
& +C \int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d s d x \\
& \quad+h\left(\left\|b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|f_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant which varies from line to line and depends only the data. By

Gagliardo-Niremberg and Young inequalities we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d s d x \\
& \quad+\int_{Q_{t}} c(x, t)\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| d s d x \\
& \leq C \frac{\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)} \sup _{t \in\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+C \frac{N+2-\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)}\left(\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d s\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{N \gamma}{(N+2) p}\right) \frac{N+2}{N+2-\gamma}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\gamma=\frac{(N+2)}{N+p}(p-1),
$$

and by using (3.13) and (3.14), we can easily see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x+\alpha \int_{Q_{t}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d s \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{t}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq C \frac{\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)} \sup _{t \in\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+C \frac{N+2-\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)} \int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d s \\
& \quad+h\left(\left\|b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|f_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}-C \frac{\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\left.\tau_{1}\right)}\right)}\right) \sup _{t \in\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha-C \frac{N+2-\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)}\right) \int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d s \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{t}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq h\left(\left\|b\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|f_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $\tau_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}-C \frac{\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)}\right)>0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha-C \frac{N+2+\gamma}{N+2}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{\tau_{1}}\right)}\right)>0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, let us denote by $C$ the minimum between (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}}\left|\nabla T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d x d t \\
& \quad-\frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d s \leq \text { Ch. } \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
-\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \frac{T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)}{h} d x d t \leq C,
$$

since

$$
-\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \frac{T_{h}\left(u_{\epsilon}-T_{\beta}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)}{h} d x d t \geq 0
$$

for every $\beta \geq\|\psi\|_{\infty}$, we deduce by Fatou's lemma as $h \rightarrow 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \leq C . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau_{1} \in(0, T)$ and $t$ fixed in $\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)$. Using $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{(0, t)}$ as test function in (3.9), we integrate between $\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)$, we obtain with the same techniques used previously that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)} \int_{\Omega}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{Q_{\tau_{1}}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p} d x d t \leq C k \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (3.20) and Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ independently of $\epsilon$ and for any $k \geq 0$, so there exists a subsequence still denoted by $u_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \tilde{\xi}_{k} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k>0$ be large enough and $B_{R}$ be a ball of $\Omega$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k \text { meas }\left\{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|>k\right\} \cap B_{R} \times[0, T]\right\} & =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|>k\right\} \cap B_{R}}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{B_{R}}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x d t \\
& \leq\left(\int_{Q}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{B_{R}} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq T C_{R}(C M k)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies that:

$$
\text { meas }\left\{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|>k\right\} \cap B_{R} \times[0, T]\right\} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{k^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \forall k \geq 1,
$$

so we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{meas}\left\{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|>k\right\} \cap B_{R} \times[0, T]\right\}=0 .
$$

We will now use a method in [3] to show that for a subsequence still indexed by $u_{\epsilon}$ and $b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)$ converges almost everywhere in $Q_{T}$. For any integer $M \geq 1$, let $S_{M}$ an increasing function of $C^{2}(I R)$ and such that $S_{M}(r)=r$ for $|r| \leq \frac{M}{2}$ and $S_{M}(r)=M$ for $|r| \geq M$. Remark that $\operatorname{supp} S_{M}^{\prime} \subset[-M, M]$. We will show in the sequel that for any fixed integer $M$ the sequence

$$
B_{S_{M}}(x, z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} S_{M}^{\prime}(s) d s,
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{S_{M}}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial B_{S_{M}}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \text { is bounded in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)+L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \text {, } \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $\epsilon$. Indeed, we have first

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla B_{S_{M}}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| \leq\|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left|\nabla T_{M}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|\left\|S_{M}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I R)}+M\left\|S_{M}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I R)} B(x) \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of (3.20), (3.24) we then obtain (3.22). To show that (3.23) hold true, we multiply the approximate equation by $S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial B_{S_{M}}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}= & \operatorname{div}\left(a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)-a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{M}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \\
& -\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+S_{M}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)+f_{\epsilon} S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Each term in the right hand side of (3.25) is bounded in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ or in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$. Actually, since supp $S_{M}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{supp} S_{M}^{\prime \prime}$ are both included in $[-M, M], u_{\epsilon}$ may be replaced by $T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ in each of these terms. For $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{M}$, by (3.7b) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{Q_{T}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right)^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{p^{\prime}} d x d t\right| & \left.\left.\leq \int_{Q_{T}} c(x, t)^{p^{\prime}}\left|T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime} \gamma} \right\rvert\, S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\left.\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x d t \\
& =\int_{\left\{(x, t) ;\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}} c(x, t)^{p^{\prime}}\left|T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime} \gamma\left|S_{M}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder and Gagliardo-Niremberg inequality, in the right hand side integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{(x, t) ; \mid u_{\epsilon} \leq k\right\}} c(x, t)^{p^{\prime}}\left|T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime} \gamma}\left|S_{M}^{\prime}\left(b\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x d t \\
\leq & \left\|S_{M}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I R)}\|c(x, t)\|_{L^{\tau}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{N}}+\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p} d x d t\right) \\
\leq & c_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{M}$ is a constant independently of $\epsilon$ which will vary from line to line. By (3.3d) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{Q_{T}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right)^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{M}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d x d t\right| \\
\leq & \int_{Q_{T}}\left(S_{M}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{p^{\prime}}|c(x, t)|^{p^{\prime}}\left|T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x d t \leq c_{M} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

as a consequence of (3.25), we obtain (3.23).
As mentioned above, from (3.22) and (3.23), we deduce that for a subsequence, still indexed by $\epsilon, u_{\epsilon}$ and $b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)$ converges almost everywhere in $Q_{T}$, as $\epsilon$ goes to zero (see e.g., [4]) to a measurable functions $u$ and $b(x, u)$ respectively. With the fact that $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ then $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup T_{k}(u)$ weakly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ for any $k \geq 0$ as $\epsilon$ tends to zero. Actually $b(x, u)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Indeed by using (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.19) and (3.20) we deduce that

$$
\int_{\Omega} B_{k}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \leq k C+C_{1}
$$

and passing to the limit-inf as $\epsilon$ tends to zero, we obtain that with

$$
B_{k}(x, r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} T_{k}(s) d s .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} B_{k}(x, u(\tau)) d x \leq C_{2}
$$

for almost any $\tau$ in $(0, T)$. Due to the definition of $B_{k}(x, r)$ and the fact that

$$
\frac{1}{k} B_{k}(x, u)
$$

converges pointwise to

$$
\int_{0}^{u} s g(s) \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} d s=|b(x, u)|
$$

as $k$ tends to $+\infty$, as a consequence $b(x, u)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a solution of the approximate problem (3.9). Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t=0
$$

Proof. Let us now the Lipschitz continuous bounded function $\theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \equiv T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-T_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ as a test function in (3.9) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(T) d x+\int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
\leq & \int_{Q_{T}} c(x, t)\left|T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x d t+\int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t+\int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) d x \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B_{n}(x, r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \theta_{n}(s) d s,
$$

gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(T) d x+\int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \\
\leq & \int_{Q_{T}} c(x, t)\left|T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x d t+\int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) d x+\int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have set $\theta_{n} \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(T) d x \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad-\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t
$$

which indeed a positive function, then for every $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$, we have

$$
a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)=a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \text {. }
$$

As a consequence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{T}} a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
\leq & \int_{Q_{T}} c(x, t)\left|T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{\gamma}\left|\nabla \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x d t+\int_{\Omega} B_{n}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right) d x+\int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} \theta_{n}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Proceeding as in $[1,4,6]$, we show $\theta_{n}(u)$ converges to 0 strongly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, and by passing to the limit in (3.28) as $\epsilon$ tends to zero, and $n$ tends to $+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t=0 . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we complete the proof.
Step 3: This step is devoted to introduce for $k \geq 0$ fixed a time regularization of the function $T_{k}(u)$ in order to perform the monotonicity method. This kind of regularization has been introduced by R. Landes (see Lemma 6 and Proposition 3, pp. 230, and Proposition 4, pp. 231, in [12]). Let $v_{0}^{\mu}$ be a sequence of function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\|v_{0}^{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq k$ for all $\mu>0$. and $v_{0}^{\mu}$ converges to $T_{k}\left(u_{0}\right)$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and $\frac{1}{\mu}\left\|v_{0}^{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ converges to 0 . For $k \geq 0$ and $\mu>0$, let us consider the unique solution $\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu} \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap$ $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ of the monotone problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}}{\partial t}+\mu\left(\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}-T_{k}(u)\right)=0 & \text { in } D^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}(t=0)=v_{0}^{\mu} & \text { in } \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

Remark that $\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}$ converges to $T_{k}(u)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, weakly-* in $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\mu$ tends to $+\infty$, and we have

$$
\left\|\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq \max \left(\left\|\left(T_{k}(u)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\| \| v_{0}^{\mu} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right) \leq k, \quad \forall \mu, k>0
$$

 $S(r)=r$ for $|r| \leq k$, and supp $S^{\prime}$ is compact. Then

$$
\liminf _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}, S^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}\right)\right\rangle d t \geq 0
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $L^{1}(\Omega)+W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
We prove the following lemma which is the critical point in the development of the monotonicity method.
Lemma 3.3. The subsequence of $u_{\epsilon}$ satisfies for any $k \geq 0$

$$
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \leq \int_{Q_{T}} \sigma_{k} \nabla T_{k}(u) d x d t .
$$

Proof. Let $S_{n}$ be a sequence of increasing $C^{\infty}$-function such that

$$
S_{n}(r)=r \text { for }|r| \leq n, \operatorname{supp}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset[-(n+1),(n+1)] \text { and }\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I R)} \leq 1 \text { for any } n \geq 1 .
$$

We use the sequence $\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}$ of approximation of $T_{k}(u)$, let

$$
W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}=T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}
$$

and plug the test function $S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}, S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\right\rangle d t+\int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q_{T}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q_{T}} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t \\
= & \int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we pass to the limit in (3.30) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \mu \rightarrow+\infty$ and then $n \rightarrow+\infty$ for $k$ real number fixed. In order to perform this task we prove below the following results for any fixed

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k \geq 0 & \text { for any } n \geq k, \quad \text { (3.31a) } \\
\operatorname{liminflim}_{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}, W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\right\rangle d t \geq 0 & \text { for any } n \geq 1, ~(3.31 \mathrm{~b}) \\
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t=0 & \text { for any } n \geq 1, ~(3.31 \mathrm{c}) \\
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t=0 & \text { (3.31d) } \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t=0, & \\
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t=0, & \text { (3.31e) } \\
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d s d t=0 . & \tag{3.31f}
\end{array}
$$

Proof of (3.31a). The function $S_{n}$ is increasing and belongs in $C^{\infty}(I R)$, then we have for $|r| \leq k \leq n, S_{n}(r)=r$, while $\operatorname{supp} S_{n}^{\prime}$ is compact. In view of the definition of $W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$ and lemma 3.2 applies with $S=S_{n}$ for fixed $n \geq k$, as a consequence (3.31a) holds true.

Proof of (3.31b). Let us recall the main properties of $W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$. For fixed $\mu>0: W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$ converges to $T_{k}(u)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}$ weakly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Remark that $\left\|W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq 2 k$ for any $\epsilon, \mu>0$, then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup T_{k}(u)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu} \quad \text { a.e in } Q_{T} \text { and } L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { weakly } *, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, one had supp $S_{n}^{\prime \prime} \subset[-(n+1),-n] \cup[n, n+1]$ for any fixed $n \geq 1$ and $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$ : $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}=\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \quad$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, since supp $S^{\prime} \subset[-(n+$ 1), $n+1$ ], on the other hand $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ converges to $\phi\left(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)\right) S_{n}^{\prime}(u)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, and $\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| \leq c(x, t)(n+1)^{\gamma}$ for $n \geq 1$, by (3.32) and strongly convergence of $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\mu}$ in $L^{p}\left(0, T, W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ we obtain (3.31b).
Proof of (3.31c). For any fixed $n \geq 1$ and $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$.

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}=\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T},
$$

as in the previous step it is possible to pass to the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ since by (3.32) we have

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \phi\left(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u) W_{\mu} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} .
$$

Since $\left|\phi\left(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u) W_{\mu}\right| \leq 2 k|c(x, t)|(n+1)^{\gamma}$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$ and $\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}$ converges to 0 in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, we obtain (3.31c).
Proof of (3.31d). In view of the definition of $S_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} d x d t\right| \\
\leq & T\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I R)}\left\|W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $n \geq 1$, any $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$ any $\mu>0$. By (3.29) it is possible to establish (3.31d).
Proof of (3.31f). By (3.7c), the pointwise convergence of $u_{\epsilon}$ and $W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$ and its boundness it is possible to pass the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then for $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$ and for any $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} f_{\epsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}\right) d x d t=0
$$

Proof of (3.31e). Similar to (3.31f). Now we turn back to the proof of Lemma 3.3. Due to (3.31a)-(3.31f) we can to pass to the limit-sup when $\mu$ tends to $+\infty$ and to the limit as $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in (3.30). using the definition of $W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}$ we deduce that for any $k \geq 0$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\nabla\left(T_{k}(u)_{\mu}\right) d x d t \leq 0\right.
$$

Since

$$
S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)=a\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)
$$

for $k \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $k \leq n$, using the properties of $S_{n}^{\prime}$ the above inequality implies that for $k \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}(u)_{\mu} d x d t \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, for $\epsilon<\frac{1}{n+1}$,

$$
S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)=S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \sigma_{k} \quad \text { weakly in }\left(L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that for a fixed $n \geq 1: S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)$ converges to $S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \sigma_{n+1}$ weakly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ when $\epsilon$ tends to 0 . Finally, using the strong convergence of $\left(T_{k}(u)_{\mu}\right)$ to $T_{k}(u)$ in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\mu$ tends to $+\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\mu} d x d s d t \\
= & \int_{Q_{T}} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \sigma_{n+1} \nabla T_{k}(u) d x d t \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

as soon as $k \leq n$. Now for $k \leq n$ we have

$$
a\left(x, t, T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{n+1}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}=a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|u_{\epsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T},
$$

which implies that, by the fact that $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$ a.e. $Q_{T}$, and (3.34), and by passing to the limit when $\epsilon$ tends to 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n+1} \chi_{|u| \leq k}=\sigma_{k} \chi_{\{|u| \leq k\}} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}-\{|u|=k\} \quad \text { for } k \leq n . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by (3.36) and (3.34) we have for $k \leq n: \sigma_{n+1} \nabla T_{k}(u)=\sigma_{k} \nabla T_{k}(u)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$. Recalling (3.33), (3.35) the proof of the lemma is complete.

Step 4: We prove that the weak limit $\sigma_{k}$ of $a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ can be identified with $a\left(x, t, T_{k}(u), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)$.

Lemma 3.4. the subsequence of $u_{\epsilon}$ defined in Step 1 satisfies for any $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}}\left(a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)-a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right)\left(\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\nabla T_{k}(u)\right) d x d t \\
& =0 . \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Using (3.3b) we have

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}}\left(a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)-a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right)\left(\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\nabla T_{k}(u)\right) d x d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq 0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by (3.3a), the almost everywhere convergence of $u_{\epsilon}$, we have the sequence $\left.a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right)$ converges to $\left.a\left(x, t, T_{k}(u), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, and

$$
\left.\mid a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right) \mid \leq v\left[h_{k}(x, t)+\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{p-1}\right] \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T},
$$

uniformly with respect to $\epsilon$. As a consequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right) \rightarrow a\left(x, t, T_{k}(u), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right) \quad \text { strongly in }\left(L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using the fact that $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, (3.34) and (3.39) make it possible to pass to the lim-sup as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 in (3.38) and we have (3.37).

Lemma 3.5. For fixed $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma_{k}=a\left(x, t, T_{k}(u), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right) \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as $\epsilon$ tends to 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup a\left(x, t, T_{k}(u), \nabla T_{k}(u)\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}(u) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{n \leq|u| \leq n+1\}} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u d x d t=0,  \tag{3.42a}\\
& u \geq \psi \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{3.42b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We observe that for for any $k>0$, any $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{k}$ and any $\xi \in I R^{N}$ :

$$
a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \xi\right)=a\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \xi\right)=a_{\frac{1}{k}}\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \xi\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} .
$$

Since $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ converges to $T_{k}(u)$ weakly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, and by (3.37) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{T}} a_{\frac{1}{k}}\left(x, t, T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t=\int_{Q_{T}} \sigma_{k} \nabla T_{k}(u) d x d t \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for fixed $k>0$, the function $a_{\frac{1}{k}}(x, t, s, \xi)$ is continuous and bounded with respect to $s$, the usual Minty's argument applies in view of (3.34) and (3.43). It follows that (3.40) holds true. In order to prove (3.43), by (3.3b), (3.37) and proceeding as in [4] it's easy to show (3.41). Taking the limit as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 in (3.29) and using (3.41) show that $u$ satisfies (3.42a).

Using the estimate (3.19), we have

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} \leq C \epsilon,
$$

by letting $\epsilon$ to 0 , we obtain

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} d x d t=0
$$

then we conclude that $u \geq \psi$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$.
Step 5: We show that $u$ satisfies (3.6). Let $\varphi \in K_{\psi} \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Pointwise multiplication of the approximate equation (3.9) by $T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)$ and use the integration par parts, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial s} ; T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle d s+\int_{Q_{t}} a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right) d x d s \\
& -\int_{Q_{t}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right) d x d s-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{Q_{t}} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right) d x d s \\
= & \int_{Q_{t}} f_{\epsilon} T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right) d x d s . \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

We pass to the limit as in (3.44) $\epsilon$ tend to 0 . The first term of (3.44) can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial s} ; T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial s} T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u_{\epsilon}-\varphi} T_{k}(r) \frac{\partial b(x, r+\varphi)}{\partial r} d s d x-\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u_{0 \epsilon-}-\varphi(0)} T_{k}(r) \frac{\partial b(x, r+\varphi(0))}{\partial r} d r d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (3.4b), (3.5), (3.8) and since $u_{\epsilon}$ converges to $u$ a.e in $Q_{T}$, we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial b\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial s} ; T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle d s
$$

converges to

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial s} ; T_{k}(u-\varphi)\right\rangle d s
$$

as $\epsilon$ tends to zero and for all $t \in(0, T)$.
The term

$$
a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)=a\left(x, t, T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\varphi\right)
$$

for $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{M}$, where $M=k+\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}$, so using Lemma 3.5, we get

$$
a_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)
$$

converges to $a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla T_{k}(u-\varphi)$ weakly in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
Furthermore, since

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)=\phi\left(x, t, T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(T_{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-\varphi\right)
$$

a.e. in $Q_{T}$, for $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{M}$ and where $M=k+\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}$. We can easily see that $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\right.$ $\varphi$ ) converges to $\phi(x, t, u) \nabla T_{k}(u-\varphi)$ weakly in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Finally, the term

$$
-\frac{1}{\epsilon} T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\psi\right)^{-} T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)
$$

is positive and we have $f_{\epsilon} T_{k}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right)$ converges to $f T_{k}(u-\varphi)$ strongly in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
As a consequence of the above convergence result, we are in a position to pass to the limit as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 in Eq. (3.44) and to conclude that $u$ satisfies (3.6).

It remains to show that $b(x, u)$ satisfies the initial condition. To this end, firstly remark that, in view of the definition of $S_{M}^{\prime}$ (see (3.22)), we have $B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$. Secondly, by (3.23) we show that

$$
\frac{\partial B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial t}
$$

is bounded in $L^{1}(Q)+L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$. As a consequence, an Aubin's type Lemma (see e.g., [16], Corollary 4) implies that $B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)$ lies in a compact set of $C^{0}\left([0, T] ; W^{-1, s}(\Omega)\right)$ for any $s<\inf \left(p^{\prime}, \frac{N}{N-1}\right)$. It follows that, on one hand, $B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(t=0)$ converges to $B_{M}(x, u)(t=0)$ strongly in $W^{-1, s}(\Omega)$. On the order hand, the smoothness of $B_{M}$ imply that $B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(t=0)$ converges to $B_{M}(x, u)(t=0)$ strongly in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for all $q<+\infty$, we conclude that $B_{M}\left(x, u_{\epsilon}\right)(t=0)=B_{M}\left(x, u_{0 \epsilon}\right)$ converges to $B_{M}(x, u)(t=0)$ strongly in $L^{q}(\Omega)$, we obtain $B_{M}(x, u)(t=0)=B_{M}\left(x, u_{0}\right)$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and for all $M>0$, now letting $M$ to $+\infty$, we conclude that $b(x, u)(t=0)=b\left(x, u_{0}\right)$ a.e. in $\Omega$.

As a conclusion of Step 1 to Step 5, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.
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