

ON THE ESTIMATIONS OF BOUNDS FOR DETERMINANT OF HADAMARD PRODUCT OF H -MATRICES^{*1)}

Yao-tang Li

(Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China)

Ji-cheng Li

(Department of Mathematics, Xian Jiaotong University, Xian 710049, China)

Abstract

In this paper, some estimations of bounds for determinant of Hadamard product of H -matrices are given. The main result is the following: if $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are nonsingular H -matrices of order n and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii} > 0$, and A_k and $B_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of A and B , respectively, then

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq |a_{11}b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} + \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik}a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right],$$

where $\mathcal{M}(A_k)$ denotes the comparison matrix of A_k .

Key words: H -matrix, Determinant, Hadamard product.

1. Introduction

Let $R^{m \times n}$ denote the set of $m \times n$ real matrices, S_n^+ denote the set of $n \times n$ positive definite real symmetric matrices. For $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in R^{m \times n}$, the Hadamard product of A and B is defined as an $m \times n$ matrix denoted by $A \circ B : (A \circ B)_{ij} = a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

We write $A \geq B$ if $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ for all i, j . A real $n \times n$ matrix A is called a nonsingular M -matrix if $A = sI - B$ satisfied: $s > 0, B \geq 0$ and $s > \rho(B)$, the spectral radius of B , let M_n denote the set of all $n \times n$ nonsingular M -matrices. Suppose $A \in R^{n \times n}$, its comparison matrix $\mathcal{M}(A) = (m_{ij})$ is defined by the following:

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, & \text{if } i = j \\ -|a_{ij}|, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

A real (or complex) $n \times n$ matrix A is called an H -matrix if its comparison matrix $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is a nonsingular M -matrix, let H_n denote the set of all $n \times n$ nonsingular H -matrices.

* Received November 24, 1997.

¹⁾This work is supported by the Science Foundations of Yunnan Province (2000A0001-1M) and the Science Foundations of the Education Department of Yunnan Province (9911126).

On the estimations of bounds for determinant of Hadamard product of matrices, we have the following well-known result.

Oppenheim's inequality: If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in S_n^+$ then

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right) \cdot \det(B) \quad (2)$$

Lynn^[2] had proved that inequality (2) holds for M -matrices and Fielder and Ptak^[3] given a similar result when A is an M -matrix and B is a weakly diagonally dominant matrix. Jianzhou Liu and Li Zhu^[1] improved Oppenheim's inequality recently as following theorem:

Theorem 1^[1]. *If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are nonsingular M -matrices, A_k and B_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, are the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of A and B , respectively, then*

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq a_{11}b_{11} \prod_{k=2}^n \left[b_{kk} \frac{\det(A_k)}{\det(A_{k-1})} + \frac{\det(B_k)}{\det(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_{ik}a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we shall generalize Jianzhou Liu's results and give an inequality similar to (3) for nonsingular H -matrices.

2. Some Lemmas

In this section, we shall give some lemmas which shall be used in the following.

Lemma 1^[4]. *If A and $B \in M_n$ then $\mathcal{M}(A \circ B) \in M_n$.*

Lemma 2. *If A and $B \in H_n$ then $A \circ B \in H_n$.*

Proof. By the definition of Hadamard product and the definition of comparison matrix, we can easily obtain the following equality:

$$\mathcal{M}(A \circ B) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(A) \circ \mathcal{M}(B)) \quad (4)$$

If A and $B \in H_n$ then $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}(B) \in M_n$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(A) \circ \mathcal{M}(B)) \in M_n$ by Lemma 1, that is: $\mathcal{M}(A \circ B) \in M_n$. So $A \circ B \in H_n$.

Lemma 3^[5]. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ with $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. A is a nonsingular M -matrix.
2. A has all positive diagonal elements, and there exists a positive diagonal matrix D such that AD is strictly diagonally dominant.
3. All of the leading principal minors of A are positive.

From the definition of H -matrix and Lemma 3, we can easily prove the following result.

Lemma 4. *A matrix A is nonsingular H -matrix if and only if there exists a positive diagonal matrix D such that AD is strictly diagonally dominant.*

Lemma 5^[1]. *If A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix with $a_{ii} > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$\det A \geq \det \mathcal{M}(A) > 0$$

Lemma 6^[1]. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n$, A_k and $B_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, be the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of A and B , respectively, then

$$\det[\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] \geq a_{11}b_{11} \prod_{k=2}^n \left[b_{kk} \frac{\det(A_k)}{\det(A_{k-1})} + \frac{\det(B_k)}{\det(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_{ik}a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right) \right] \tag{5}$$

3. Estimations of Bounds for Determinant of Hadamard Product of H -Matrices

In this section, for A and $B \in H_n$, we study the estimations of bounds for $\det(A \circ B)$.

Theorem 2. If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$, then $\det(A \circ B) \neq 0$ and $\det(A \circ B)$ has the same sign to $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii}$.

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$, then $A \circ B \in H_n$ by Lemma 2. From Lemma 4 there exists a positive diagonal matrix $D' = \text{diag}(d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_n)$ such that $(A \circ B)D'$ is strictly diagonally dominant. It is evident that we can change $D' = \text{diag}(d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_n)$ into $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ by changing signs of $d'_i, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $|d_i| = d'_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that every diagonal element of $(A \circ B)D$ is positive and $(A \circ B)D$ is also strictly diagonally dominant. Obviously,

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii} \right) \cdot \det D = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii}b_{ii}d_i) > 0 \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 5 we have

$$\det[(A \circ B)D] \geq \det \mathcal{M}[(A \circ B)D] > 0$$

hence

$$\det(A \circ B)\det D = \det[(A \circ B)D] > 0 \tag{7}$$

From (6) and(7) we obtain:

$$\det(A \circ B) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii} \right) > 0 \tag{8}$$

that is: $\det(A \circ B) \neq 0$ and $\det(A \circ B)$ has the same sign as $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii}b_{ii})$.

Theorem 3. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii} > 0$, then

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq \det[\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] > 0 \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii}b_{ii}) > 0$, from the proof of the Theorem 2, we know that there exists a diagonal matrix

$$D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$$

such that:

$$\det [(A \circ B)D] \geq \det \mathcal{M}[(A \circ B)D] > 0 \tag{10}$$

It is easy to prove that:

$$\mathcal{M}[(A \circ B)D] = \mathcal{M}(A \circ B)\mathcal{M}(D)$$

therefore, by (10) we have:

$$\det (A \circ B) \cdot \det D \geq \det [\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] \cdot \det \mathcal{M}(D) > 0 \tag{11}$$

By the Theorem 2 and the assumed condition $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii}b_{ii}) > 0$, we have $\det (A \circ B) > 0$.

From (11), it follows that $\det D > 0$, so $\det D = \det [\mathcal{M}(D)]$. Thus, it follows from (11) that

$$\det (A \circ B) \geq \det [\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] > 0$$

Theorem 4. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}b_{ii} > 0$, A_k and B_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, be the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of A and B , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} \det[\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] \geq & |a_{11}b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik}a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Proof. From A and $B \in H_n$, we know $A \circ B \in H_n$ by Lemma 2, that is: $\mathcal{M}(A \circ B) \in M_n$. It is easy to prove that

$$\mathcal{M}(A \circ B) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(A) \circ \mathcal{M}(B))$$

hence,

$$\det [\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] = \det [\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(A) \circ \mathcal{M}(B))].$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}(B) \in M_n$,

$$\mathcal{M}(A)_k = \mathcal{M}(A_k), \mathcal{M}(B)_k = \mathcal{M}(B_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

so by Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det [\mathcal{M}(A \circ B)] &= \det [\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(A) \circ \mathcal{M}(B))] \\ &\geq |a_{11}b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A)_k}{\det \mathcal{M}(A)_{k-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B)_k}{\det \mathcal{M}(B)_{k-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik}a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right] \\ &= |a_{11}b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(A)_k$ and $\mathcal{M}(B)_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, denote the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}(B)$, respectively.

Theorem 5. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii} b_{ii} > 0$, A_k and B_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) be the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrices of A and B , respectively, then

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq |a_{11} b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} + \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right]. \tag{14}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3 and theorem 4, the conclusion is obvious.

Theorem 6. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in H_n$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii} b_{ii} > 0$, then

$$\det(A \circ B) \geq \prod_{k=1}^n |b_{kk}| \cdot \det \mathcal{M}(A) + \prod_{i=1}^n |a_{ii}| \cdot \det \mathcal{M}(B) \cdot \left(\prod_{k=2}^n \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii} a_{kk}} \right| \right). \tag{15}$$

Proof. By Theorem 5, the inequality (14) holds. Since all terms appearing in (14) are nonnegative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A \circ B) &\geq |a_{11}| |b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} + \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right] \\ &\geq |a_{11}| |b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left(|b_{kk}| \frac{\det \mathcal{M}(A_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(A_{k-1})} \right) \\ &\quad + |a_{11} b_{11}| \prod_{k=2}^n \left[\frac{\det \mathcal{M}(B_k)}{\det \mathcal{M}(B_{k-1})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii}} \right| \right) \right] \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |b_{kk}| \right) \cdot \det \mathcal{M}(A) \\ &\quad + \left(\prod_{i=1}^n |a_{ii}| \right) \cdot \det \mathcal{M}(B) \cdot \left[\prod_{k=2}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{ik} a_{ki}}{a_{ii} a_{kk}} \right| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4. Remark

When A and $B \in M_n$, A and $B \in H_n$, and $a_{ii} > 0$, $b_{ii} > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\mathcal{M}(A) = A$, $\mathcal{M}(B) = B$, $\mathcal{M}(A_k) = A_k$, $\mathcal{M}(B_k) = B_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, so the Theorem 4, Theorem 5

and Theorem 6 are the generalizations of Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 of [1], respectively.

References

- [1] Jian-zhou Liu and Li Zhu, Some improvement of Oppenheim's inequality for M -matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, **18** (1997), 305–311.
- [2] M.S. Lynn, On the Schur product of the H -matrices and nonnegative matrices and related inequalities, *Proc. Cambridge Philos.*, **60** (1964), 425–531.
- [3] M. Fielder and V. Ptak, Diagonally dominant matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **92** (1967), 420–433.
- [4] K. Fan, Inequalities for M -matrices, *Indag. Math.*, **26** (1964), 602–610.
- [5] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Science*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.