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#### Abstract

Some sharp estimates for coefficients, distortion and the growth order are obtained for harmonic mappings $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, which are locally univalent harmonic mappings in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Moreover, denoting the subclass $T S_{H}^{\alpha}$ of the normalized univalent harmonic mappings, we also estimate the growth of $|f|, f \in T S_{H}^{\alpha}$, and their covering theorems.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $S$ denote the class of functions of the form $F(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, that are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Denoting $T$ to be the subclass of $S$ consisting of functions whose nonzero coefficients, from the second on, are negative. That is, an univalent analytic function $F \in T$ if and only if it can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A complex-valued harmonic function $f$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ has a canonical decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $g(0)=0$. Usually, we call $h$ the analytic part of $f$ and $g$ the co-analytic part of $f$. A complete and elegant account of the theory of planar harmonic mappings is given in Duren's monograph [1].

[^0]In [2], Ikkei Hotta and Andrzej Michalski denoted the class $L_{H}$ of all normalized locally univalent and sense-preserving harmonic functions in the unit disk with $h(0)=$ $g(0)=h^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Which means every function $f \in L_{H}$ is uniquely determined by coefficients of the following power series expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}, b_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, n=2,3,4, \ldots$ Clunie and Sheil-small introduced in [3] the class $S_{H}$ of all normalized univalent harmonic mappings in $\mathbb{D}$, obviously, $S_{H} \subset L_{H}$.

Lewy [4] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}$ is $J_{f}(z)>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To such a function $f$, not identically constant, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)=\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}, z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\omega(z)|<1$, it is called the second complex dilatation of $f$.
In [5], Silverman investigated the subclass of $T$ which denoted by $T^{*}(\beta)$, starlike of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta<1)$. That is, a function $F(z) \in T^{*}(\beta)$ if $\operatorname{Re}\left\{z F^{\prime}(z) / F(z)\right\}>\beta, z \in \mathbb{D}$. It was proved in [5] that

## Corollary 1.1.

$$
T=T^{*}(0) .
$$

In [7-8], Dominika Klimek and Andrzej Michalski studied the cases when the analytic parts $h$ is the identity mapping or a convex mapping, respectively. The paper [2] was devoted to the case when the analytic $h$ is a starlike analytic mapping. In [9], Qin Deng got sharp results concerning coefficient estimate, distortion theorems and covering theorems for functions in $T$. The main idea of this paper is to characterize the subclasses of $L_{H}$ and $S_{H}$ when $h \in T$.

In order to establish our main results, we need the following theorems and lemmas.
Theorem 1.1. ([8]) A function $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}$ is in $T$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. ([10]) If $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\ldots+a_{n} z^{n}+\ldots$ is analytic and $|f(z)| \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}, n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. ([8]) If $f \in T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|z| \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1+|z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality for

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Theorem 1.3. ([8]) If $f \in T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|-\frac{1}{2}|z|^{2} \leq|f(z)| \leq|z|+\frac{1}{2}|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality for

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

## 2 Main results and their proofs

Similar with the papers [2], [7], [8] and [12], we consider the following function sets.
Definition 2.1. For $\alpha \in[0,1)$, let

$$
T L_{H}^{\alpha}:=\left\{f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)} \in L_{H}: h(z) \in T,\left|b_{1}\right|=\alpha\right\}
$$

and

$$
T L_{H}:=\bigcup_{\alpha \in[0,1)} T L_{H}^{\alpha}
$$

Definition 2.2. For $\alpha \in[0,1)$, let

$$
T S_{H}^{\alpha}:=\left\{f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)} \in S_{H}: h(z) \in T,\left|b_{1}\right|=\alpha\right\}
$$

and

$$
T S_{H}:=\bigcup_{\alpha \in[0,1)} T S_{H}^{\alpha}
$$

For $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, applying Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.1, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n, n=2,3,4, \ldots$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1+\alpha-\alpha^{2}}{2}, \text { where }\left|b_{1}\right|=\alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sharp estimate for $\left|b_{2}\right|$, the extremal functions are

$$
f_{0}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\overline{\left(-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) z+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} z^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) \ln (1-\alpha z)}, \alpha \neq 0,  \tag{2.2}\\
z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\overline{\frac{1}{2} z^{2}-\frac{1}{3} z^{3}}, \alpha=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2+\alpha-2 \alpha^{2}}{n}, n=3,4,5, \ldots . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}}, z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ by Theorem 1.1. Let $g^{\prime}(z)=\omega(z) h^{\prime}(z)$, where $\omega(z)$ is the dilatation of $f$. Since $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, it has a power series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $\left|c_{0}\right|=|\omega(0)|=\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|=\left|b_{1}\right|=\alpha$. Recall that $|\omega(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then by Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 1-\left|c_{0}\right|^{2}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the formula (1.3), (1.5) and (2.4), we give

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_{n} z^{n-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \tilde{a}_{n} z^{n-1}, z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where $\tilde{a}_{1}=1, \tilde{a}_{n}=-\left|a_{n}\right|, n=2,3,4, \ldots$, which leads to

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) b_{n+1} z^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1) \tilde{a}_{k+1} c_{n-k}\right) z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
(n+1) b_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1) \tilde{a}_{k+1} c_{n-k}, n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Applying the formula (1.6) and (2.5), and by simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|b_{2}\right| & \leq\left|\tilde{a}_{1}\right|\left|c_{1}\right|+2\left|\tilde{a}_{2}\right|\left|c_{0}\right| \\
& \leq 1-\left|c_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{0}\right| \\
& =1+\alpha-\alpha^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we will prove the estimate is sharp. For $\alpha \in[0,1) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Consider a function $f_{0}(z)=h_{0}(z)+\overline{g_{0}(z)}$, such that $h_{0}(z)=z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2} \in T$, and suppose that the dilatation of $f_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\omega_{0}(z)=\frac{z-\alpha}{1-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Applying the formula (1.5), we obtain

$$
g_{0}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{\alpha-(1+\alpha) z+z^{2}}{1-\alpha z}=-\alpha+\left(1+\alpha-\alpha^{2}\right) z+\left(-1+\alpha+\alpha^{2}-\alpha^{3}\right) z^{2}+\ldots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

which implies the estimate of (2.1) is sharp. Since $g_{0}(0)=0$, by integration, we uniquely deduce

$$
g_{0}(z)= \begin{cases}\left(-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) z+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} z^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{j}}\right) \ln (1-\alpha z), \alpha \neq 0, & z \in \mathbb{D} . \\ \frac{1}{2} z^{2}-\frac{1}{3} z^{3}, \alpha=0, & \end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $\left|\omega_{0}(z)\right|<1, z \in \mathbb{D}$, which means $f_{0}(z)=h_{0}(z)+\overline{g_{0}(z)} \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$.
In the same way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left|b_{n}\right| & \leq\left|\tilde{a}_{1}\right|\left|c_{n-1}\right|+2\left|\tilde{a}_{2}\right|\left|c_{n-2}\right|+\ldots+(n-1)\left|\tilde{a}_{n-1}\right|\left|c_{1}\right|+n\left|\tilde{a}_{n}\right|\left|c_{0}\right| \\
& \leq\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k\left|\tilde{a}_{k}\right|\right)\left(1-\left|c_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+\left|c_{0}\right| \\
& \leq 2+\alpha-2 \alpha^{2}, \quad n=3,4,5, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.1. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T L_{H}$, then

$$
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{17}{8 n}, \quad n=3,4,5 \ldots
$$

Proof. By simple calculation, we have

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in[0,1)}\left|b_{n}\right|=\left.\frac{2+\alpha-2 \alpha^{2}}{n}\right|_{\alpha=1 / 4}=\frac{17}{8 n}, \quad n=3,4,5, \ldots .
$$

then the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.
Since the analytic part $h$ of $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$ belongs to $T$, we have the following distortion estimate of $h$ by Theorem 1.2.[9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|z| \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1+|z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next aim is to give the distortion estimate of the co-analytic part $g$ of $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$.

Theorem 2.2. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{(\alpha-|z|)(1-|z|)}{1-\alpha|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{(\alpha+|z|)(1+|z|)}{1+\alpha|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These inequalities are sharp. The equalities hold for the harmonic function $f_{0}(z)$ which is defined in (2.2).

Proof. Let $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha e^{i \psi}$. Consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\frac{e^{-i \psi} \omega(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha e^{-i \psi} \omega(z)^{\prime}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It satisfies assumptions of the Schwarz lemma, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-i \psi} \omega(z)-\alpha\right| \leq|z|\left|1-\alpha e^{-i \psi} \omega(z)\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-i \psi} \omega(z)-\frac{\alpha\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{1-\alpha^{2}|z|^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{|z|\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)}{1-\alpha^{2}|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality holds only for the functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)=e^{i \psi} \frac{e^{i \varphi} z+\alpha}{1+\alpha e^{i \varphi} z^{\prime}} \quad \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$.
Hence, applying the triangle inequalities and the formula (2.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha-|z|}{1-\alpha|z|} \leq|\omega(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha+|z|}{1+\alpha|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, applying the formula (2.6) together with (2.13) to the identity $g^{\prime}=\omega h^{\prime}$, we obtain (2.7) and (2.8). The function $f_{0}(z)$ defined in (2.2) shows that inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) are sharp. The proof is completed.

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T L_{H}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1+|z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha$ tend to 1 in the estimate (2.8), then the corollary follows from theorem 2.2. immediately.

From the Theorem 1.3[9], we can get the growth estimate of the analytic part $h$ of $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$

$$
|z|-\frac{1}{2}|z|^{2} \leq|h(z)| \leq|z|+\frac{1}{2}|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Next results, we give the growth estimate of co-analytic part $g$ of $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$.
Theorem 2.3. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
|g(z)| \leq \begin{cases}\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)|z|+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}|z|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) \ln (1+\alpha|z|), \alpha \neq 0, & z \in \mathbb{D} .  \tag{2.15}\\ \frac{1}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}|z|^{3}, \alpha=0, & \end{cases}
$$

The inequality is sharp. The equality hold for the harmonic function $f_{0}(z)$ which is defined in (2.2).

Proof. Let $\Gamma:=[0, z]$, applying the estimate (2.8), we have

$$
|g(z)|=\left|\int_{\Gamma} g^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta\right| \leq \int_{\Gamma}\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right||d \zeta| \leq \int_{0}^{|z|} \frac{(\alpha+s)(1+s)}{1+\alpha s} d s
$$

By integration, we obtain the estimate (2.15). The function $f_{0}(z)$ defined (2.2) shows that the inequality (2.15) is sharp.

Using the distortion estimates in Theorem 1.2[9] and Theorem 2.2, we can easily deduce the following Jacobian estimates of $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$.
Theorem 2.4. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T L_{H^{\prime}}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{f}(z) \geq \frac{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)(1+|z|)(1-|z|)^{3}}{(1+\alpha|z|)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
J_{f}(z) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{3}}{(1-\alpha|z|)^{2}}, \alpha>|z|, &  \tag{2.17}\\ (1+|z|)^{2}, \alpha \leq|z|, & z \in \mathbb{D} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Observe that if $f \in T L_{H}^{\alpha}$, then $h^{\prime}$ does not vanish in $\mathbb{D}$. We can give the Jacobian of $f$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|\omega(z)|^{2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the dilatation of $f$. Applying (2.6) and (2.13) to the (2.17) we obtain

$$
J_{f}(z) \geq(1-|z|)^{2} \frac{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{(1+\alpha|z|)^{2}}, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and

$$
J_{f}(z) \leq \begin{cases}(1+|z|)^{2} \frac{2\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{(1-\alpha|z|)^{2}}, \alpha>|z|, & \\ (1+|z|)^{2}, \alpha \leq|z|, & z \in \mathbb{D},\end{cases}
$$

this completes the proof.
Since every univalent function is locally univalent, we can give the growth estimate of $f \in T S_{H}^{\alpha}$.

Theorem 2.5. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T S_{H}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
|f(z)| \geq \begin{cases}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)|z|+\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha}|z|^{2}-\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) \ln (1+\alpha|z|), \alpha \neq 0, &  \tag{2.19}\\ |z|-|z|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}|z|^{3}, \alpha=0, & z \in \mathbb{D}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
|f(z)| \leq \begin{cases}\left(2+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)|z|+\frac{1+\alpha}{2 \alpha}|z|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) \ln (1+\alpha|z|), \alpha \neq 0, & z \in \mathbb{D}  \tag{2.20}\\ |z|+|z|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}|z|^{3}, \alpha=0 & \end{cases}
$$

Proof. For any point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and suppose $r:=|z|$, we denote $\mathbb{D}_{r}:=\mathbb{D}(0, r)=\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|z|<r\}$, and let

$$
R:=\min _{z \in \mathbb{D}_{r}}\left|f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)\right|,
$$

then $\mathbb{D}(0, R) \subseteq f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right) \subseteq f(\mathbb{D})$. Hence, there exists $z_{r} \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{r}$ such that $R=\left|f\left(z_{r}\right)\right|$. Let $\Gamma(t):=t f\left(z_{r}\right), t \in[0,1]$, then $\gamma(t):=f^{-1}(\Gamma(t)), t \in[0,1]$ is a well-defined Jordan arc. Since $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$, then we can write

$$
R=\left|f\left(z_{r}\right)\right|=\int_{\Gamma}|d w|=\int_{\gamma}|d f|=\int_{\gamma}\left|h^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta+\overline{g^{\prime}(\zeta)} d \bar{\zeta}\right| \geq \int_{\gamma}\left(\left|h^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|-\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|\right)|d \zeta| .
$$

By $g^{\prime}=\omega h^{\prime}$ and the formula (2.6) and (2.13). We obtain

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|-\left|g^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|=\left|h^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|(1-|\omega(\zeta)|) \geq(1-|\zeta|)\left(1-\frac{\alpha+|\zeta|}{1+\alpha|\zeta|}\right)=\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\zeta|)^{2}}{1+\alpha|\zeta|}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
R \geq \int_{\gamma} \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\zeta|)^{2}}{1+\alpha|\zeta|}|d \zeta|=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\gamma(t)|)^{2}}{1+\alpha|\gamma(t)|} d t \geq \int_{0}^{|z|} \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^{2}}{1+\alpha \rho} d \rho .
$$

Integrating, we obtain the estimate (2.19). To prove (2.20) we simply use the inequality

$$
|f(z)|=|h(z)+\overline{g(z)}| \leq|h(z)|+|g(z)| .
$$

Then, by the formula (1.8) and (2.15) with simple calculation we have (2.20), this completes the proof.

Finally, the growth estimate of $f \in T S_{H}^{\alpha}$ yields a covering estimate.
Theorem 2.6. If $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \in T S_{H}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
D(0, R) \subset f(D),
$$

where

$$
R:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{3}}\right) \ln (1+\alpha), \alpha \neq 0, \\
\frac{1}{3}, \alpha=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The images of $\alpha \in[0,1) \mapsto R$ are shown in Fig. 1. This figure is drawn by using Mathematica.
Proof. If we let $|z|$ tend to 1 in the estimate (2.19), then the Theorem 2.6. follows immediately from the argument principle for harmonic mappings.


Figure 1: The image of $\alpha \in[0,1) \mapsto R$.
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