

ON SOME GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE PARANORMED SEQUENCE SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH MULTIPLIER SEQUENCE DEFINED BY MODULUS FUNCTION

Binod Chandra Tripathy

(*Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology, India*)

Prabhat Chandra

(*Patna University, India*)

Received May 4, 2009

© Editorial Board of Analysis in Theory & Applications and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

Abstract. In this article we introduce the paranormed sequence spaces $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, associated with the multiplier sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)$, defined by a modulus function f . We study their different properties like solidness, symmetricity, completeness etc. and prove some inclusion results.

Key words: *paranorm, solid space, symmetric space, difference sequence, modulus function, multiplier sequence*

AMS (2010) subject classification: 40A05, 46A45

1 Introduction

Throughout the article w , c , c_0 , ℓ_∞ denote the spaces of *all*, *convergent*, *null* and *bounded* sequences, respectively. The zero sequence is denoted by $\theta = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$. The scope for the studies on sequence spaces was extended on introducing the notion of an associated multiplier sequence. S. Goes and G. Goes in [3] defined the differentiated sequence space dE and the integrated sequence space $\int E$ for a given sequence space E , by using the multiplier sequence (k^{-1}) and (k) , respectively. P.K. Kamthan in [4] used $(k!)$ as the multiplier sequence for studying some sequence spaces. We shall use a general multiplier sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)$ for our study.

The notion of difference sequence was introduced by H. Kizmaz in [5] as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{(x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and ℓ_∞ , where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

It was further generalized in [12] as follows:

$$Z(\Delta_m) = \{(x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and ℓ_∞ , where $\Delta_m x_k = x_k - x_{k+m}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Throughout the article $p = (p_k)$ is a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. The notion of paranormed sequences was studied by [10] at the initial stage. It was further investigated by [6], [7], [11], [13] and many others.

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano in [8]. It was further investigated with applications to sequence spaces by [1], [9] and many others.

Remark 1.1. It is well known that $\ell_\infty(p) = \ell_\infty$, $c(p) = c$ and $c_0(p) = c_0$ if and only if $0 < h = \inf p_k \leq H = \sup p_k < \infty$, (one may refer to [6] and [7]).

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A modulus f is a mapping from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (ii) $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$;
- (iii) f is increasing;
- (iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

Hence f is continuous everywhere in $[0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence space E is said to be solid (or normal) if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$, whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 2.3. A sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical preimages of all its step spaces.

Remark 2.1. From the above definitions it is clear that " A sequence space E is solid implies that E is monotone".

Definition 2.4. A sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $(x_{\pi(n)}) \in E$, whenever $(x_n) \in E$, where π is a permutation of \mathbf{N} .

Definition 2.5. A sequence space E is said to be convergence free if $(y_n) \in E$, whenever $(x_n) \in E$ and $x_n = 0$ implies $y_n = 0$.

For (a_k) and (b_k) two sequences of complex terms and $p = (p_k) \in \ell_\infty$, we have the following known inequality:

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\},$$

where $H = \sup p_k$ and $D = \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\}$.

Definition 2.6. Let f be a modulus function, then for a given multiplier sequence $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)$, we introduce the following sequence spaces:

$$c(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) = \{(x_k) \in w : (f(|\lambda_k(\Delta_m x_k - L)|))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } L\},$$

$$c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) = \{(x_k) \in w : (f(|\lambda_k(\Delta_m x_k)|))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty\},$$

$$\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) = \{(x_k) \in w : \sup_k (f(|\lambda_k(\Delta_m x_k - L)|))^{p_k} < \infty\}.$$

When $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, the above sequence spaces are denoted as $c(\Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(\Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ respectively. When $\lambda_k = 1$ for all $k \in N$, the above sequence spaces are denoted as $c(f, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Delta_m, p)$ respectively.

Taking $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda_k = 1$, for all $k \in N$, the above sequence spaces are denoted as $c(\Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(\Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta_m, p)$ respectively. Further taking $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in N$, the above spaces are denoted as $c(\Delta_m)$, $c_0(\Delta_m)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta_m)$ respectively (please refer to [12]). Further taking $m = 1$, we get the spaces $c(\Delta)$, $c_0(\Delta)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$ respectively, studied by [5].

Similarly taking different combinations of restrictions, we will get different paranormed sequence spaces.

The following result will be used for establishing a result of this article.

Lemma 2.1.^[7] Let $c_0(p)$ denote the set of sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $|x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. If $p_k > 0$ and $q_k > 0$, then $c_0(q) \subset c_0(p)$ if and only if $\lim - \inf \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$.

3 Main Results

In this section we prove the results involving the classes of sequences $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$.

Theorem 3.1. The classes of sequences $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We prove the theorem for the class of sequences $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$. The other cases can be proved similarly. Let $(x_k), (y_k) \in c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$. Then

$$(f(|\lambda_k(\Delta_m x_k)|))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1}$$

and

$$(f(|\lambda_k(\Delta_m y_k)|))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in C$, we have

$$(f(|\lambda_k \Delta_m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|))^{p_k} \leq D([\alpha] + 1)f(|\lambda_k \Delta_m x_k|)^{p_k} + D([\beta] + 1)f(|\lambda_k \Delta_m y_k|)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

by (1) and (2)

Hence $(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k) \in c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$.

Thus $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 3.2. Let $p = (p_k) \in \ell_\infty$. Then the spaces $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, are paranormed spaces paranormed by g ,

$$g(x) = \sup_k (f(|\lambda_k \Delta_m x_k|))^{p_k/M},$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup p_k)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(x) \geq 0$, $g(-x) = g(x)$, $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Next we show the continuity of the product. Let α be fixed and $g(x) \rightarrow 0$. Then it is obvious that $g(\alpha x) \rightarrow 0$.

Next let $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and x be fixed. Since f is continuous, we have $f(|\alpha||\lambda_k \Delta_m x_k|) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have

$$\sup_k [f(|\alpha \lambda_k \Delta_m x_k|)]^{p_k/M} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $g(\alpha x) \rightarrow 0$, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore g is a paranorm.

Proposition 3.3. $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset c(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset \ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and the inclusions are proper.

Proof. The proof is a routine verification and suitable examples can be constructed to show that the inclusions are proper.

Theorem 3.4. The spaces $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, are neither solid nor monotone in general, but the spaces $c_0(f, \Lambda, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, p)$ are solid and as such are monotone.

Proof. Let (x_k) be a given sequence and (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we have

$$(f(|\lambda_k \alpha_k x_k|))^{p_k} \leq (f(|\lambda_k x_k|))^{p_k}, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The solidness of $c_0(f, \Lambda, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, p)$ follows from this inequality. The monotonicity follows by Remark 2.1.

The first part of the proof follows from the following examples.

Example 3.1. Let $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $m = 1$, $\lambda_k = 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $p_k = 1$ for k odd and $p_k = 2$ for k even. Then define (x_k) by $x_k = k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, belongs to $c(\Delta, p)$ and

$\ell_\infty(\Delta, p)$. For E a sequence space, consider its step space E_J defined by $(y_k) \in E_J$ implies $y_k = 0$ for k odd and $y_k = x_k$ for k even. Then (y_k) neither belongs to $(c(\Delta, p))_J$ nor to $(\ell_\infty(\Delta, p))_J$. Hence the spaces are not monotone. Hence are not solid by Remark 2.1.

Example 3.2. Let $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $m = 1$, $\lambda_k = 2 + k^{-1}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $p_k = 2$ for k odd and $p_k = 3$ for k even. Consider the sequence (x_k) defined by $x_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(x_k) \in c_0(\Lambda, \Delta, p)$. Now consider the step spaces as defined in Example 3.1. Then $(y_k) \notin c_0(\Lambda, \Delta, p)$. Hence $c_0(\Lambda, \Delta, p)$ is not monotone, as such $c_0(\Lambda, \Delta, p)$ is not solid by Remark 2.1.

Theorem 3.5. *The spaces $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, are not convergence free.*

Proof. The result follows from the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $m = 1$, $\lambda_k = 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $p_k = 1$ for k odd and $p_k = 2$ for k even. Consider the sequence (x_k) defined by $x_k = k^{-1}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(x_k) \in Z(\Delta, p)$ for $Z = c, c_o, \ell_\infty$. Consider the sequence (y_k) defined by $y_k = k^2$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then (y_k) neither belongs to $c(\Delta, p)$ nor to $c_0(\Delta, p)$ nor to $\ell_\infty(\Delta, p)$. Hence the spaces are not convergence free.

The proof of the following results follows from the Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 3.6. *Let (p_k) and (q_k) , be two sequences of real numbers. Then $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, q)$ if and only if $\liminf \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$.*

The following result is a consequence of the above result.

Corollary 3.7. *Let (p_k) and (q_k) , be two sequences of real numbers. Then $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m p) = c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, q)$ if and only if $\liminf \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$ and $\liminf \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$.*

The proof of the following results is routine verification.

Proposition 3.8. (i) *Let $0 < p_k < q_k < \infty$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, q) \subset c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$.*

(ii) *Let $0 < \inf p_k < p_k < 1$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m) \subset c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$.*

(iii) *Let $1 < p_k < \sup p_k < \infty$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m)$.*

Proposition 3.9. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) $h > 0$ and $H < \infty$.

(ii) $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m)$.

(iii) $c(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset c(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m)$.

(iv) $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) \subset \ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m)$.

Theorem 3.10. *The spaces $(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, are not symmetric in general.*

Proof. The result follows from the following examples.

Example 3.4. Let f be any modulus function, $m = 0$ and $\lambda_k = k$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $p_k = 1$ for k odd and $p_k = 4$ for k even. Consider the sequence (x_k) defined by $x_k = k^{-2}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then (x_k) belongs to $c(f, \Lambda, p)$ as well as $c_0(f, \Lambda, p)$. Consider its rearrangement (y_k) defined as follows:

$$(y_n) = (x_1, x_3, x_4, x_2, x_6, x_7, x_8, \dots, x_{24}, x_5, x_{26}, x_{27}, \dots, x_{624}, x_{25}, x_{626}, \dots).$$

Then (y_n) neither belongs to $c(f, \Lambda, p)$ nor to $c_0(f, \Lambda, p)$. Hence the spaces $c(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p)$, are not symmetric in general.

Example 3.5. Let f be any modulus function, $m = 0$ and $\lambda_k = k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $p_k = 1 + k^{-1}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider the sequence (x_k) defined by $x_k = k^{-1}$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then (x_k) belongs to $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, p)$. Consider its rearrangement (y_k) as defined in Example 3.4. Then $(y_n) \notin \ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, p)$. Hence the space $\ell_\infty(f, \Lambda, p)$ is not symmetric in general.

Remark 3.1. We have $Z(f, \Lambda, \Delta_m, p) = (f, \Delta_m, p)$, for $Z = c, c_0, \ell_\infty$ if and only if $(\lambda_k) \in \ell_\infty$.

The following result is a consequence of Remark 1.1 and Remark 2.1.

Proposition 3.11. *The spaces $c_0(f, \Lambda, \Delta, p)$ and $Z(f, \Lambda, p)$, for $Z = c, c_0, \ell_\infty$ are solid if and only if*

- (i) $(\lambda_k) \in \ell_\infty$.
- (ii) $h > 0$ and $H < \infty$.

References

- [1] Bilgin, T., The Sequence Space $\ell(p, f, q, s)$ on Seminormed Spaces, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., (1994), 295-304.
- [2] Et, M., Altin, Y., Choudhary, B. and Tripathy, B.C., On Some Classes of Sequences Defined by Sequences of Orlicz Functions, Math. Inequalities Appl., 9:2(2006), 335-342.
- [3] Goes, G. and Goes, S., Sequences of Bounded Variation and Sequences of Fourier Coefficients, Math. Zeift., 118(1970), 93-102.
- [4] Kamthan, P. K., Bases in Certain Class of Frechet Spaces, Tamkang Jour. Math., 7(1976), 41-49.
- [5] Kizmaz, H., On Certain Sequence Spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 24:2(1981), 169-176.
- [6] Lascarides, C. G., A Study of Certain Sequence Spaces of Maddox and a Generalization of a Theorem of Iyer, Pacific Jour. Math., 38:2(1971), 487-500.
- [7] Maddox, I. J., Spaces of Strongly Summable Sequences, Quart. Jour. Math. (2nd Ser.), 18(1967), 345- 355.
- [8] Nakano, H., Concave Modulars, J. Math Soc. Japan, 5(1953), 29-49.
- [9] Ruckle, W. H., FK Spaces in which the Sequence of Co-ordinate Vectors is Bounded, Canad. Jour. Math., XXV(5)(1973), 973-978.
- [10] Simons, S., The Sequence Spaces $\ell(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$, Proc. London Math. Soc., 15:3(1965), 422-436.
- [11] Tripathy, B. C., On Generalized Difference Paranormed Statistically Convergent Sequences, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 35:5(2004), 655-663.

- [12] Tripathy, B.C. and Esi, A., A New type of Difference Sequence Spaces, *International. Journal Sci. Tech.*, 1:1(2006), 11-14.
- [13] Tripathy, B. C. and Sen, M., On Generalized Statistically Convergent Sequences, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32:11(2001), 1689-1694.
- [14] Tripathy, B. C. and Sen, M., Characterization of Some Matrix Classes Involving Paranormed Sequence Spaces, *Tamkang Jour. Math.*, 37:2(2006), 155-162.

Binod Chandra Tripathy

Mathematical Sciences Division

Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology

Paschim Baragaon; Garchuk; Guwahati-781035

INDIA

E-mail: tripathybc@yahoo.com; tripathybc@rediffmail.com

Prabhat Chandra

Department of Mathematics

Patna University

PATNA - 800005

INDIA

E-mail : prabhatchandra_patna@yahoo.com