

ON SIMULTANEOUS WEAKLY-Chebyshev SUBSPACES

Sh. Rezapour

(Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Iran)

H. Alizadeh

(Azad Islamic University, Iran)

and

S. M. Vaezpour

(Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran)

Received Dec. 14, 2009

© Editorial Board of Analysis in Theory & Applications and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

Abstract. In this paper, we shall introduce and characterize simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev (and weakly-Chebyshev) subspaces of normed spaces with respect to a bounded set S by using elements of the dual space.

Key words: *dual space, best simultaneous approximation, simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev subspace, simultaneous weakly-Chebyshev subspace*

AMS (2010) subject classification: 41A65, 46B20, 41A50

1 Introduction

Let W be a subspace of the normed space X and $x \in X$. We say that $w_0 \in W$ is a best approximation of x whenever $\|x - w_0\| = d(x, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \|x - w\|$. We denote the set of all best approximations of x by $P_W(x)$. It is known that $P_W(x)$ is a closed, bounded and convex subset for all $x \in X$. A subspace W is called pseudo-Chebyshev (proximal) if $\dim P_W(x) < \infty$ ($P_W(x) \neq \emptyset$) for all $x \in X$ ^[9]. In 2000, Mohebi and Radjavi generalized this notion to quasi-Chebyshev subspaces^{[9],[10]}. Then, Mohebi, Rezapour and Mazaheri generalized the notion of quasi-Chebyshev subspaces to weakly-Chebyshev subspaces^{[11],[13]}. In 2008, Shams, Mazaheri and Vaezpour provided the notion of w -Chebyshev subspaces^[17]. On the other hand, the theory of best simultaneous approximation is a generalization of best approximation theory and has been studied by many authors (for example, [1]-[8], [14]- [16], [18], [20]-[22]). But, in these work there is not any characterization about best simultaneous approximation by using the dual

space of the normed space. In this paper, we shall introduce and characterize simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev (and weakly-Chebyshev) subspaces of normed spaces with respect to a bounded set S by using elements of the dual space.

Suppose that X is a normed linear space, W a subset of X and S a bounded set in X . We define

$$d(S, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w\|.$$

An element $w_0 \in W$ is called a best simultaneous approximation to S from W whenever $d(S, W) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_0\|$. The set of all best simultaneous approximation to S from W will be denoted by $S_W(S)$. In the case $S = \{x\}$, $x \in X$, $S_W(S)$ is the set of all best approximations of x in W , $P_W(x)$. Thus, the simultaneous approximation theory is a generalization of best approximation theory in a sense.

A subset W is called S -simultaneous proximal (or simply, simultaneous proximal) if $S_W(S) \neq \emptyset$. Also, W is called simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev (simultaneous weakly-Chebyshev) if $S_W(S)$ is nonempty and compact (weakly compact) subset of W . Throughout this paper, we suppose that S is either a bounded or a finite set. Also, we shall use the following Lemma in the sequel which has been proved in [1] and [5].

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a normed linear space and M a proximal subspace of X . Then, for each non-empty bounded set S in X we have*

$$d(S, M) = \sup_{s \in S} \inf_{m \in M} \|s - m\|.$$

2 Main Results

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main results. Usually, using finite sets are interesting and give us some ideas. Therefore, in this section first we suppose that S is a finite set. First, we give some elementary results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a normed space, W a subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of X with linearly independent elements. Suppose that $Y = \text{span } S$. If $Y \cap W = \{0\}$, then there is a bounded linear functional f_0 on X such that $f_0|_W = 0$, $f_0|_S = 1$ and $\frac{1}{d(S, W)} \leq \|f_0\|$.*

Proof. First, consider the subspace

$$Z = \text{span } \{W, S\} = \left\{ w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \text{ are scalars and } w \in W \right\}.$$

Now, define the linear functional f_0 on Z by $f_0(w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i) = \sum_{i=m}^m \alpha_i$. Note that if

$$w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i = w' - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i s_i,$$

then we have

$$w - w' = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i s_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \beta_i) s_i.$$

Since $W \cap Y = \{0\}$, $w - w' = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \beta_i) s_i = 0$. Hence, $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i$$

and so f_0 is a function. Also, It is clear that f_0 is linear, $f_0|_W = 0$ and $f_0|_S = 1$. Since f_0 is continuous on W , $\|f_0\|$ is bounded. Now, suppose that $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i = 1$ and $c \geq 0$ is an arbitrary constant such that $\|f_0 z\| \leq c \|z\|$ for all $z \in Z$. Then,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \right| = 1 \leq c \|w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i\| = c \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i s_i \right\|,$$

for all $w \in W$. Hence,

$$1 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \|w - s_i\| \leq c \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|w - s_i\|) = c \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|w - s_i\|,$$

for all $w \in W$. Thus, $\frac{1}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|w - s_i\|} \leq c$ and so

$$\sup_{w \in W} \frac{1}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|w - s_i\|} = \frac{1}{\inf_{w \in W} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|w - s_i\|} = \frac{1}{d(S, W)} \leq c.$$

Therefore, $\frac{1}{d(S, W)} \leq \|f_0\|$.

If in Lemma 2.1 we have $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|s_i\| \leq \frac{1}{\|f_0\|^2 d(S, W)}$, then $\|f_0\| = \frac{1}{d(S, W)}$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a normed space, W a subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of X with linearly independent elements. Suppose that $Y = \text{span } S$. If $Y \cap W = \{0\}$, then there exists $f_1 \in X^*$ such that $f_1|_W = 0$ and $\|f_1\| \leq \frac{1}{d(S, W)}$.*

Proof. Take the functional f_0 in Lemma 2.1. If $\frac{1}{d(S, W)} = \|f_0\|$, then put for $f_1 = f_0$. If $\|f_0\| d(S, W) > 1$, then choose a natural number n such that

$$(\|f_0\| d(S, W))^n > d(S, W).$$

Now, put $f_1 = \frac{f_0}{d(S, W)^n \|f_0\|^{n+1}}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a normed space, W a subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of X with linearly independent elements. Suppose that $Y = \text{span } S$. If $Y \cap W = \{0\}$, then there exists $f_2 \in X^*$ such that $f_2|_W = 0$, $\|f_2\| \leq 1$ and $f_2(w - s_i) \leq d(S, W)$ for all $w \in W$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Take the functional f_0 in Lemma 2.1 and put $f_2 = \frac{f_0}{d(S, W) \|f_0\|^2}$.

Now, we consider that S is only a finite set and note that we shall use finiteness of the set S to prove of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$. Then, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$ if and only if there exists $f_3 \in X^*$ such that $f_3|_W = 0$, $\|f_3\| \leq 1$ and $f_3(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.*

Proof. First suppose that there exists $f_3 \in X^*$ such that $f_3|_W = 0$, $\|f_3\| \leq 1$ and $f_3(s_i) \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\| = f_3(s_i) = f_3(s_i - w) \leq \|f_3\| \|s_i - w\| \leq \|s_i - w\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w\|,$$

for all $w \in W$. Hence, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. Now for the converse part, suppose that $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. Since $S \subseteq X \setminus W$ and W is a proximal subspace of X , by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\| = d(S, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w\| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \inf_{w \in W} \|s_j - w\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j + W\|. \end{aligned}$$

Choose i such that $\|s_i + W\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j + W\|$. Since $d(s_i, W) = \|s_i + W\| > 0$, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $f(s_i) = 1$ and $\|f\| = \frac{1}{\|s_i + W\|}$. If $f_3 = \frac{f}{\|f\|}$, then $\|f_3\| = 1$, $f_3|_W = 0$ and $f_3(s_i) = \|s_i + W\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$. Then, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$ if and only if*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\|_{W^\perp} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|,$$

where $\|s_j\|_{W^\perp} = \sup\{|f(s_j)| : \|f\| \leq 1, f \in W^\perp\}$.

Proof. First suppose that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\|_{W^\perp} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$. Then, for each $w \in W$ we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\|_{W^\perp} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w\|_{W^\perp} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w\|.$$

Hence, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. Now for the converse part, suppose that $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. By using Lemma 2.4, we know that there exists $f_3 \in X^*$ such that $f_3|_W = 0$, $\|f_3\| \leq 1$ and $f_3(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$

for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\| &= f_3(s_i) \leq \|s_i\|_{W^\perp} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\|_{W^\perp} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|_{W^\perp} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\|_{W^\perp} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_0\|$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X , $M \subseteq W$ and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$. Then, $M \subseteq S_W(S)$ if and only if there exists $f \in X^*$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m\|$ for all $m \in M$.*

Proof. First suppose that there exists $f \in X^*$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m\|$ for all $m \in M$. Then, for each $m \in M$ and $w \in W$ we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m\| = f(s_i) = f(s_i - w) \leq \|s_i - w\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w\|.$$

Thus, $m \in S_W(S)$ for all $m \in M$. Hence, $M \subseteq S_W(S)$. Now for the converse part, suppose that $M \subseteq S_W(S)$ and $m_0 \in M$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m_0\|$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Since

$$M \subseteq S_W(S), \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m_0\| = d(S, W) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m\| \quad \text{for all } m \in M.$$

Therefore, $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - m\|$ for all $m \in M$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$. Then, W is a simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev subspace of X if and only if there do not exist $f \in X^*$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W without a convergent subsequence such that $\|f\| \leq 1$, $f|_W = 0$ and $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_n\|$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$. Then, W is a simultaneous weakly-Chebyshev subspace of X if and only if there do not exist $f \in X^*$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W without a weakly convergent subsequence such that $\|f\| \leq 1$, $f|_W = 0$ and $f(s_i) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - w_n\|$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Let X be a normed space, W a subspace of X , $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$ and $f \in W^\perp$. If we define

$$M_{f,S} = \left\{ x \in X : \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - x\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j\| \text{ and } f(s_i) \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|s_j - x\|, \text{ for some } i \right\},$$

then $M_{f,S}$ is a bounded, closed and convex subset of X .

Corollary 2.9. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X , $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ a subset of $X \setminus W$ and $f \in W^\perp$. If $M_{f,S}$ is compact (weakly compact) for all $f \in W^\perp$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$, then W is a simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev (simultaneous weakly-Chebyshev) subspace of X .*

Hereafter, we suppose that S is a bounded set. The following result is another version of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and S a weakly compact subset of $X \setminus W$ with $\sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| < \infty$. Then, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$ if and only if there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_0\|$ for some $s_0 \in S$.*

Proof. First suppose that there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_0\|$ for some $s_0 \in S$. Then, a similar proof of Lemma 2.4 shows $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. Now for the converse part, suppose $w_0 \in S_W(S)$. Then, by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$d(S, W) = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w\| = \sup_{s \in S} \inf_{w \in W} \|s - w\| = \sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\|.$$

But, note that the function defined by $\phi(s) = \|s + W\|$ is continuous. Since S is a weakly compact subset of X , there exists $s_0 \in S$ such that

$$\phi(s_0) = \|s_0 + W\| = \sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| = d(S, W).$$

Since $S \subseteq X \setminus W$, $d(s_0, W) = \|s_0 + W\| > 0$ and so there exists $g \in X^*$ such that $g|_W = 0$, $g(s_0) = 1$ and $\|g\| = \frac{1}{d(s_0, W)}$. If $f = \frac{g}{\|g\|}$, then $\|f\| = 1$, $f|_W = 0$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_0\|$.

The proof of the following result is similar to that of Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and S a weakly compact subset of $X \setminus W$ with $\sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| < \infty$. Then, $w_0 \in S_W(S)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{s \in S} \|s\|_{W^\perp} = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_0\|,$$

where $\|s\|_{W^\perp} = \sup\{|f(s)| : \|f\| \leq 1, f \in W^\perp\}$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X , $M \subseteq W$ and S a weakly compact subset of $X \setminus W$ with $\sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| < \infty$. Then, $M \subseteq S_W(S)$ if and only if there exist $f \in X^*$ and $s_0 \in S$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - m\|$ for all $m \in M$.*

Proof. First suppose that there exist $f \in X^*$ and $s_0 \in S$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - m\|$ for all $m \in M$. Then, for each $m \in M$ and $w \in W$ we have

$$\sup_{s \in S} \|s - m\| = f(s_0) = f(s_0 - w) \leq \|s_0 - w\| \leq \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w\|.$$

Thus, $m \in S_W(S)$ for all $m \in M$. Hence, $M \subseteq S_W(S)$. Now for the converse part, suppose that $M \subseteq S_W(S)$ and $m_0 \in M$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_W = 0$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - m_0\|$ for some $s_0 \in S$. Since $M \subseteq S_W(S)$, $\sup_{s \in S} \|s - m_0\| = d(S, W) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - m\|$ for all $m \in M$. Therefore, $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - m\|$ for all $m \in M$.

Corollary 2.13. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and S a weakly compact subset of $X \setminus W$ with $\sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| < \infty$. Then, W is a simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev subspace of X if and only if there do not exist $f \in X^*$, $s_0 \in S$ and a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W without a convergent subsequence such that $\|f\| \leq 1$, $f|_W = 0$ and $f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_n\|$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary 2.14. *Let X be a normed space, W a proximal subspace of X and S a weakly compact subset of $X \setminus W$ with $\sup_{s \in S} \|s + W\| < \infty$. Then, W is a simultaneous quasi-Chebyshev subspace of X if and only if there do not exist $f \in X^*$, $s_0 \in S$ and a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W without a weakly convergent subsequence such that $\|f\| \leq 1$, $f|_W = 0$ and*

$$f(s_0) = \sup_{s \in S} \|s - w_n\| \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

References

- [1] Alizadeh, H., Rezapour, S. H. and Vaezpour, S. M., On ε -simultaneous Approximation in Quotient Spaces, The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 5:2(2009) 1-7.
- [2] Goel, D. S., Holland, A. S., Nasim, C. and Shaney, B. N., On Best Simultaneous Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 17:4(1974), 523-527.
- [3] Holland, A. S., Shaney, B. N. and Tzimbalacio, J., On Best Simultaneous Approximation, J. Approx. Theory, 17 (1976), 187-188.
- [4] Iranmanesh, M. and Mohebi, H., On Best Simultaneous Approximation in Quotient Spaces, Analysis in Theory and Applications, 23:1(2007), 35-49.
- [5] Iranmanesh, M. and Mohebi, H., Best Simultaneous Approximation in Tensor Product Spaces, Applied Mathematics Sciences, 1:18(2007), 883-894.
- [6] Li, C. and Watson, G. A., On Best Simultaneous Approximation, J. Approx. Theory, 91 (1997), 332-348.
- [7] Lim, K., Simultaneous Approximation of Compact Sets by Elements of Convex Sets in Normed Linear Spaces, J. Approx. Theory, 12 (1974), 332-351.
- [8] Mohebi, H., Downward Sets and Their Best Simultaneous Approximation Properties with Applications, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 25:7-8 (2004), 685-705.
- [9] Mohebi, H., On Quasi-Chebyshev Subspaces of Banach Spaces, J. App. Theory, 107:1 (2000), 87-95.
- [10] Mohebi, H. and Radjavi, H., On Compactness of the Best Approximant Set, J. Nat. Geom., 21:1-2 (2002), 52-62.
- [11] Mohebi, H. and Mazaheri, H., On Compactness and Weakly Compactness of the Best Approximant Set, Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line, 5:10 (2001), 31-42.
- [12] Mohebi, H. and Rezapour, Sh., On Compactness of the Set of Extensions of a Continuous Linear Functional, J. Nat. Geom., 22: 1-2 (2002), 91-102.
- [13] Mohebi, H. and Rezapour, Sh., Upper Semi-continuity of the Projective Maps, J. Nat. Geom., 21:1-2 (2002), 63-80.
- [14] Nargan, T. D. and Tzimbalacio, J., On Best Simultaneous Approximation, J. Approx. Theory, 39 (1983), 93-96.

- [15] Phillips, G. M. and MC Cabe, J. H., On Best Simultaneous Chebyshev Approximation, *J. Approx. Theory*, 27 (1979), 93-98.
- [16] Saidi, F. B. and Hussein, D., Best Simultaneous Approximation in $L^p(I, E)$, *J. Approx. Theory*, 116 (2002), 369-379.
- [17] Shams, M., Mazaheri, H. and Vaezpour, S. M., On w -Chebyshev Subspaces in Banach Spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 45:3 (2008), 601-606.
- [18] Shaney, B. N. and Singh, S. P., On Best Simultaneous Approximation in Banach Spaces, *J. Approx. Theory*, 35 (1982), 222-224.
- [19] Singer, I., *Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [20] Tanimoto, S., A Characterization of Best Simultaneous Approximations, *J. Approx. Theory*, 59 (1989), 359-361.
- [21] Tanimoto, S., On Best Simultaneous Approximation, *Math. Japonica*, 48 (1998), 275-279.
- [22] Watson, G. A., A Characterization of Best Simultaneous Approximations, *J. Approx. Theory*, 75 (1993), 175-182.

Sh. Rezapour

Department of Mathematics

Azarbaidjan University of Tarbiat Moallem

Tabriz, Iran

E-mail: sh.rezapour@azaruniv.edu

H. Alizadeh

Department of Mathematics

Azad Islamic University

Science and Research Branch

Tehran, Iran

E-mail: alizadehhossain@yahoo.com

S. M. Vaezpour

Department of Mathematics

Amirkabir University of Technology

Tehran, Iran

E-mail: vaez@aut.ac.ir