

## Coefficient Estimates for Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Ma-Minda Mocanu-Convex Functions

C. Selvaraj<sup>1</sup>, O. S. Babu<sup>2</sup> and G. Murugusundaramoorthy<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai-600005, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Govt. Arts College, Chennai-600039, India

<sup>3</sup> School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University, Vellore-632 014, India

Received 5 June 2013; Accepted (in revised version) 21 November 2013

Available online 30 June 2014

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new subclass of the function class  $\Sigma$  of bi-univalent functions of the Mocanu-convex type defined in the open unit disk, satisfy Ma and Minda subordination conditions. Furthermore, we find estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in the new subclass introduced here. Further Application of Hohlov operator to this class is obtained. Several (known or new) consequences of the results are also pointed out.

**Key Words:** Analytic functions, univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike functions, bi-convex functions, bi-Mocanu-convex functions, subordination, Hohlov operator.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 30C45

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$  defined in the open unit disk  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\Delta$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk  $\Delta$ . Since  $f \in \Sigma$

---

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: pamc9439@yahoo.co.in (C. Selvaraj), osbabu1009@gmail.com (O. S. Babu), gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com (G. Murugusundaramoorthy)

has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse  $g = f^{-1}$  has the expansion

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 + \dots \tag{1.2}$$

An analytic function  $f$  is subordinate to an analytic function  $g$ , written as  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , provided there is an analytic function  $w$  defined on  $\Delta$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  satisfying  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . Ma and Minda [5] unified various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either of the quantity  $zf'(z)/f(z)$  or  $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$  is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. For this purpose, they considered an analytic function  $\phi$  with positive real part in the unit disk  $\Delta$ ,  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) > 0$ , and  $\phi$  maps  $\Delta$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The class of Ma-Minda starlike functions consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the subordination  $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec \phi(z)$ . Similarly, the class of Ma-Minda convex functions of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the subordination  $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) \prec \phi(z)$ . A function  $f$  is bi-starlike of Ma-Minda type or bi-convex of Ma-Minda type if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are respectively Ma-Minda starlike or convex. These classes are denoted respectively by  $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\phi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\phi)$ . Also denote by  $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(\lambda, \phi)$  the class of Ma-Minda Mocanu-convex functions consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the subordination

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

In the sequel, it is assumed that  $\phi$  is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk  $\Delta$ , satisfying  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) > 0$ , and  $\phi(\Delta)$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has a series expansion of the form

$$\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots, \quad B_1 > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Recently there has been triggering interest to study bi-univalent functions (see [7, 9, 10]). Motivated by the works of Ali et al. [1] and Goyal and Goswami [3], in this paper we introduce a new subclass  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$  of bi-univalent functions to estimate the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for the functions in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $h : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a convex univalent function such that  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ ,  $z \in \Delta$ . A function  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec h(z) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad f \in \Sigma, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] \prec h(w) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If we set  $h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , then the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$  reduces to  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, A, B)$  which is defined as

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad f \in \Sigma, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + Aw}{1 + Bw} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

In particular, by setting

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1,$$

the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$  reduces to  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, 1 - 2\beta, -1) \equiv \mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, \beta)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** Taking  $\lambda = 0$  in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, A, B)$  we have  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(0, A, B)$  and if  $f \in \mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(0, A, B)$ , then

$$e^{i\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad f \in \Sigma, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \prec \frac{1 + Aw}{1 + Bw} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

We note that  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^0(0, 1 - 2\beta, -1) \equiv \mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\beta)$  [2].

**Remark 1.3.** Taking  $\lambda = 1$  in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, A, B)$ , we have  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(1, A, B)$  and if  $f \in \mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(1, A, B)$ , then

$$e^{i\gamma} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad f \in \Sigma, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + Aw}{1 + Bw} \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

We also note that  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^0(1, 1 - 2\beta, -1) \equiv \mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\beta)$  [2].

The object of the paper is to estimate the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for the functions in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$ . Further we define a new generalized subclass of  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$  involving Hohlov operator and obtained the Maclaurin coefficients for  $f$  in this new generalized class.

## 2 Coefficients estimates for the function class $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, h)$

In order to prove our main result for the functions class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, h)$ , we recall the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let the function  $\phi(z)$  given by  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n$  be convex in  $\Delta$ . Suppose also that the function  $h(z)$  given by  $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ , is holomorphic in  $\Delta$ . If  $h(z) \prec \phi(z)$  ( $z \in \Delta$ ), then  $|h_n| \leq |B_n|$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).*

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, h)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|B_1| \cos \gamma}{1 + \lambda}}, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{|B_1| \cos \gamma}{(1+\lambda)^2} \right) |B_1| \cos \gamma, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, h)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ . Then from (1.4) and (1.5) we have

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec p(z) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] \prec q(w) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $p(z) \prec h(z)$  and  $q(w) \prec h(w)$  and have the following forms:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + q_3 w^3 + \dots, \quad w \in \Delta. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$e^{i\gamma} (1 + \lambda) a_2 = p_1 \cos \gamma, \tag{2.7a}$$

$$e^{i\gamma} [-(1 + 3\lambda) a_2^2 + 2(1 + 2\lambda) a_3] = p_2 \cos \gamma, \tag{2.7b}$$

$$-e^{i\gamma} (1 + \lambda) a_2 = q_1 \cos \gamma, \tag{2.7c}$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} [(3 + 5\lambda) a_2^2 - 2(1 + 2\lambda) a_3] = q_2 \cos \gamma. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7a) and (2.7c), it follows that

$$p_1 = -q_1, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$2e^{2i\gamma}(1+\lambda)^2 a_2^2 = (p_1^2 + q_1^2) \cos^2 \gamma. \tag{2.10}$$

Adding (2.7b) and (2.8) it follows that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(p_2 + q_2)}{2(1+\lambda)} e^{-i\gamma} \cos \gamma. \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $p(z), q(z) \in h(\Delta)$ , applying Lemma 2.1 for the coefficients  $p_2$  and  $q_2$ , we get

$$|a_2|^2 = \frac{|B_1| \cos \gamma}{(1+\lambda)}, \tag{2.12}$$

which gives the estimate on  $|a_2|$  as asserted in (2.1).

Subtracting (2.8) from (2.7b) we get

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{(p_2 - q_2) e^{-i\gamma} \cos \gamma}{4(1+2\lambda)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting the value of  $a_2^2$  from (2.10) in (2.13) we get

$$a_3 = \frac{(p_2 - q_2) e^{-i\gamma} \cos \gamma}{4(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{(p_1^2 + q_1^2) e^{-2i\gamma} \cos^2 \gamma}{2(1+\lambda)^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, once again for the coefficients  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  and  $q_2$ , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|B_1| \cos \beta}{2(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{|B_1|^2 \cos^2 \gamma}{(1+\lambda)^2},$$

which gives the estimate on  $|a_3|$  as asserted in (2.2). □

By setting

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1,$$

in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, A, B)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{(A-B) \cos \gamma}{1+\lambda}}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{(A-B) \cos \gamma}{(1+\lambda)^2} \right) (A-B) \cos \gamma, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

If we take  $\lambda = 0$  in corollary 2.1, we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(0, A, B)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{(A-B)\cos\gamma},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + (A-B)\cos\gamma\right)(A-B)\cos\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

If we take  $\lambda = 1$  in corollary 2.1 we obtain

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(1, A, B)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{(A-B)\cos\gamma}{2}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{(A-B)\cos\gamma}{4}\right)(A-B)\cos\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

Further, by setting

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z}{1-z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1,$$

in Theorem 2.1 we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f$  be given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \beta)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)\cos\gamma}{1+\lambda}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left(\frac{1}{(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{4(1-\beta)\cos\gamma}{(1+\lambda)^2}\right)(1-\beta)\cos\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

If we take  $\lambda = 0$  in corollary 2.4 we obtain

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{\Sigma}^{\gamma}(0, \beta)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2(1-\beta)\cos\gamma},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq (1+4(1-\beta)\cos\gamma)(1-\beta)\cos\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

If we take  $\lambda = 1$  in corollary 2.4 we obtain

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(1, \beta)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{(1-\beta)\cos\gamma},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left(\frac{1}{3} + (1-\beta)\cos\gamma\right)(1-\beta)\cos\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** On taking  $\gamma=0$ , we observe that the classes  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(\lambda, h)$ ,  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(0, h)$  and  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^\gamma(1, h)$  becomes the familiar classes  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^0(\lambda, h) \equiv \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(\lambda, h)$ ,  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^0(0, h) \equiv \mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(h)$  and  $\mathcal{SP}_\Sigma^0(1, h) \equiv \mathcal{K}_\Sigma(h)$ , respectively. These classes were studied by Ali et al. [1] for the superordinate function  $h(z) = \phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ , ( $B_1 > 0$ ).

In particular, Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5 reduces to Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 of [8] (also see Theorem 3.1 of [2]) respectively. Further Corollary 2.6 reduces to Theorem 4.1 of [2]. Also see Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 [6].

### 3 Application of Hohlov operator

For the functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and given by the series

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  denoted by  $f_1 \star f_2$  is defined as

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (f_2 \star f_1)(z), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

By using the Hadamard product (or convolution), Hohlov [4] introduced and studied the linear operator  $I_c^{a,b} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$I_c^{a,b} f(z) = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \star f(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where  ${}_2F_1(z)$  is the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_2F_1(z) = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and  $(\kappa)_n$  the Pochhammer symbol or shifted factorial, written in terms of the gamma function  $\Gamma$  by

$$(\kappa)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+n)}{\Gamma(\kappa)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n=0, \\ \kappa(\kappa+1)\dots(\kappa+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  ${}_2F_1(z)$  is symmetric in  $a$  and  $b$  and that the series (3.1) terminates if at least one of the numerator parameter  $a$  and  $b$  is zero or a negative integer.

For the function  $f$  of the form (1.1), we observe that

$$\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} a_n z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n a_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\Gamma_n = \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

The three parameter family of operators  $\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b}$  contains as a special cases most of the known linear integral or differential operators. In particular, if  $b = 1$  in (3.2), then  $\mathcal{J}_c^{a,1}$  reduces to the Carlson-Shaffer operator a special case of Hohlov operator. Similarly, it is straight forward to show that Hohlov operator is also a generalization of Ruscheweyh and Bernardi operators.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $h : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a convex univalent function such that  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(h(z)) > 0, z \in \Delta$ . A function  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, h)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))'}{\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))''}{(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))'} \right) \right] \prec h(z) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad f \in \Sigma, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w))'}{\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w))''}{(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))'} \right) \right] \prec h(w) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2), \lambda \geq 0$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

First we obtain the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for the functions in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, h)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f$  given by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, h)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|B_1| \cos \gamma}{2(1+2\lambda)\Gamma_3 - (1+3\lambda)\Gamma_2^2}}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq |B_1| \cos \gamma \left( \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)\Gamma_3} + \frac{|B_1| \cos \gamma}{(1+\lambda)^2 \Gamma_2^2} \right), \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\gamma \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ .



*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, h)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ . Then from (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))'}{\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))''}{(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} f(z))'} \right) \right] = p(z) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w))'}{\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w))''}{(\mathcal{J}_c^{a,b} g(w))'} \right) \right] = q(w) \cos \gamma + i \sin \gamma, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $p(z) \prec h(z)$  and  $q(w) \prec h(w)$  and have the forms as in (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.

Now equating the coefficients in (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$e^{i\gamma} (1+\lambda) \Gamma_2 a_2 = p_1 \cos \gamma, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$e^{i\gamma} [-(1+3\lambda) \Gamma_2^2 a_2^2 + 2(1+2\lambda) \Gamma_3 a_3] = p_2 \cos \gamma, \quad (3.10b)$$

$$-e^{i\gamma} (1+\lambda) \Gamma_2 a_2 = q_1 \cos \gamma, \quad (3.10c)$$

and

$$e^{i\gamma} [ \{4(1+2\lambda) \Gamma_3 - (1+3\lambda) \Gamma_2^2\} a_2^2 - 2(1+2\lambda) \Gamma_3 a_3 ] = q_2 \cos \gamma. \quad (3.11)$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can complete the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** For  $a = c$  and  $b = 1$ , we get  $\Gamma_n = 1$  whence Theorem 3.1 reduces to Theorem 2.1. Consequently various other interesting corollaries of our main result (Theorem 2.1) can be derived similarly.

## 4 Concluding remarks

On specializing the parameters  $\gamma, \lambda$  and suitable choices of  $A$  and  $B$ , as mentioned in Remark 1.1 to Remark 1.3 we can deduce analogous new subclasses of the class  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, A, B)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, 0, A, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, 1, A, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha)$ ,  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, 0, \alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{SP}_{c,\Sigma}^{a,b}(\gamma, 1, \alpha)$ . Various other interesting corollaries and consequences of our main results (which are asserted by Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 above) can be derived similarly hence we omit the details.

## References

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda star-like and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25 (2012), 344–351.
- [2] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, 31(2) (1986), 70–77.
- [3] S. P. Goyal and Pranay Goswami, Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of bi-univalent functions for a class defined by fractional derivative, *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.*, 20 (2012), 179–182.

- [4] Yu. E. Hohlov, Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions (in Russian), *Izv. Vyss. Ucebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 10 (1978), 83–89.
- [5] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of functions, *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992*, 157–169, *Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal.*, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [6] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, C. Selvaraj and O. S. Babu, On Pascu-type subclasses of bi-univalent functions and applications of Hohlov operator, communicated.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(10) (2010), 1188–1192.
- [8] Xiao-Fei Li and An-Ping Wang, Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Int. Math. Forum*, 7(30) (2012), 1495–1504.
- [9] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25 (2012), 990–994.
- [10] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218 (2012), 11461–11465.