

Weighted Lipschitz Estimate for Commutator of Bochner-Riesz Operators on Weighted Morrey Spaces

Shanshan Zhang and Lisheng Shu*

*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University,
Wuhu 241003, China*

Received 17 October 2013; Accepted (in revised version) 5 December 2013

Available online 30 June 2014

Abstract. In this paper, we will use a method of sharp maximal function approach to show the boundedness of commutator $[b, T_R^\delta]$ by Bochner-Riesz operators and the function b on weighted Morrey spaces $L^{p,\lambda}(\omega)$ under appropriate conditions on the weight ω , where b belongs to Lipschitz space or weighted Lipschitz space.

Key Words: Bochner-Riesz operator, weighted Morrey space, Lipschitz function.

AMS Subject Classifications: 42B25

1 Introduction and definitions

The Bochner-Riesz operator T_R^δ in \mathbb{R}^n is defined in terms of Fourier transform by

$$(T_R^\delta f)^\wedge(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{R^2}\right)_+^\delta f^\wedge(\xi), \quad R > 0,$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f . And the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator is defined by

$$(T_*^\delta)(x) = \sup_{R>0} |(T_R^\delta)(x)|.$$

It is well known that $T_R^\delta = (f * \phi_{1/R})(x)$ is a convolution operator with the kernel $\phi_{1/R}$ [1], where

$$\phi(x) = \pi^{-\delta} \Gamma(\delta+1) |x|^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+\delta\right)} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\delta}(2\pi|x|), \quad \phi_{1/R} = R^n \cdot \phi(Rx)$$

and $J_\mu(t)$ is the Bessel function,

$$J_\mu(t) = \frac{\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^\mu}{\Gamma\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^1 e^{its} (1-s^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} ds.$$

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* shulsh@mail.ahnu.edu.cn (L. Shu), 185826770@qq.com (S. Zhang)

The inequality

$$|\phi(x)| + |\nabla\phi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{\delta + \frac{n+1}{2}}} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for ϕ^δ .

Bochner-Riesz operators have been investigated by many authors. Lee [16], Tao [17] and many others studied the so-called Bochner-Riesz conjecture, i.e., if $p > 1$ and

$$\delta > \delta(p) := \max \left\{ n \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| - \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right\},$$

then T_R^δ is bounded on L^p . On the other hand, there are also many results concerning the weighted inequalities for them, see [18, 19].

Let b be a locally integrable function and T_R^δ the Bochner-Riesz operator T_R^δ , we define the commutator operator by Bochner-Riesz operator

$$[b, T_R^\delta]f(x) = b(x)T_R^\delta f(x) - T_R^\delta(bf)(x).$$

Wang [18] proved that and $T_R^\delta(\delta > (n-1)/2)$ is a bounded operator on the weighted Morrey spaces $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$. In 2013, we proved the boundedness of the commutator of Bochner-Riesz operators and weighted *BMO* functions.

In 2009, Komori and Shirai [2] defined Morrey space $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ and investigated the boundedness of classical operators in harmonic analysis, that is, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators, Calderón-Zygmund operators, the fractional integral operators, etc.

First we shall define the weighted Morrey space.

Definition 1.1 (see [2]). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \kappa < 1$ and ω be a weight. then the weighted Morrey space is defined by

$$L^{p,\kappa}(\omega) = \{f \in L^p_{loc}(\omega) : \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)} = \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_Q |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the supremum is taken over all balls Q in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \kappa < 1$. For two weights u and v , a weighted Morrey space with two weights is defined by

$$L^{p,\kappa}(u,v) = \{f \in L^p_{loc}(u) : \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u,v)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u,v)} = \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{v(Q)^\kappa} \int_Q |f(x)|^p u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the supremum is taken over all balls Q in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.2. Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. A locally integrable function b belongs to Lipschitz space $Lip_\beta^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|^{\frac{\beta}{n}}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_\infty$. A locally integrable function b belongs to the weighted Lipschitz space $Lip_\beta^p(\omega)$, if

$$\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p(\omega)} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{\omega(Q)^{\frac{\beta}{n}}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q|^p \omega(x)^{1-p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

When $p = 1$, we denote them by $Lip_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Lip_\beta(\omega)$, respectively.

Definition 1.3 (see [3]). A weight function ω is in the Muckenhoupt class A_p with $1 < p < \infty$ if there exists $C > 1$ such that for any ball Q

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C, \quad (1.2)$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and the infimum of C satisfying the inequality (1.2) is denoted by $[\omega]_{A_p}$. We define $A_\infty = \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} A_p$.

When $p = 1$, $\omega \in A_1$ if there exists $C > 1$ such that for almost every x ,

$$M\omega(x) \leq C\omega(x) \quad (1.3)$$

and the infimum of C satisfying the inequality (1.3) is denoted by $[\omega]_{A_1}$.

Definition 1.4 (see [4]). A weight function ω belongs to $A_{p,q}$ for $1 < p < q < \infty$ if there exists $C > 1$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \leq C, \quad (1.4)$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and the infimum of C satisfying the inequality (1.4) is denoted by $[\omega]_{A_{p,q}}$.

When $p = 1$, $\omega \in A_{1,q}$ with $1 < q < \infty$ if there exists $C > 1$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{\omega(x)} \right) \leq C, \quad (1.5)$$

and the infimum of C satisfying the inequality (1.5) is denoted by $[\omega]_{A_{1,q}}$.

Definition 1.5. f is a locally integrable function. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators M and sharp maximal function M^\sharp are defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

$$M^\sharp(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy,$$

respectively, where

$$f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Following [14], for $0 < \sigma < 1$, we defined σ -sharp maximal function $M_\sigma^\sharp(f) = M^\sharp(|f|^\sigma)^{1/\sigma}$. This is the transformation of σ -sharp maximal functions by Fefferman and Stein [15]. And $M_\sigma(f) = M(|f|^\sigma)^{1/\sigma}$.

If $0 < \beta < n$, $r \geq 1$, we defined the fractional maximal operator $M_{\beta,r}$

$$M_{\beta,r}(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\beta r/n}} \int_Q |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{1/r}.$$

Let ω be a weight. $M_{\beta,r,\omega}$ denotes the fractional maximal operator with respect to the measure $\omega(x)dx$ defined by

$$M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(Q)^{1-\beta r/n}} \int_Q |f(y)|^r \omega(y) dy \right)^{1/r}.$$

The supremum is taken over all balls Q and $x \in Q$.

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $b \in Lip_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $0 < \beta < 1$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$, $0 < \kappa < \min\{p/s, p\beta/n\}$, $\omega^s \in A_1$ and $\delta > (n+1)/2$, then $[b, T_R^\delta]$ is bounded from $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)$ to $L^{s,\kappa s/p}(\omega^s)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $b \in Lip_\beta(\omega)$, if $0 < \beta < 1$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$, $0 < \kappa < p/s$, $\omega^{s/p} \in A_1$, $\delta > (n+1)/2$ and

$$r_\omega > \frac{1-\kappa}{p/s-\kappa},$$

then $[b, T_R^\delta]$ is bounded from $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ to $L^{s,\kappa s/p}(\omega^{1-s}, \omega)$, where r_ω is the critical index of ω for reverse Hölder condition.

Remark 1.1. The critical index of Bochner-Riesz operator is $\delta = (n-1)/2$. When $\delta \in ((n-1)/2, (n+1)/2)$, we can not get the boundedness of these commutators from our method. Besides Theorem 1.2 is not the extend of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout this paper, C always means a positive constant independent of the main parameters involved, and may change from one occurrence to another.

2 Lemmas and the proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1 (see [5]). *The following are true:*

- (1) $A_p \subsetneq A_q$, $1 \leq p < q$.
- (2) If $\omega \in A_p$, then $\omega^\alpha \in A_p$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.
- (3) If $\omega \in RH_r$, then $\omega \in RH_s$, $1 < s < r$. Moreover if $\omega \in RH_r$, $r > 1$, then $\omega \in RH_{r+\varepsilon}$ for the only one $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [6]). *If $\omega \in A_p$, $p \geq 1$, then exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\omega(2Q) \leq C\omega(Q),$$

for any ball Q .

More precisely, for all $\lambda > 1$ we have

$$\omega(\lambda Q) \leq C\lambda^{np}\omega(Q),$$

where C is a constant independent of Q and λ .

Lemma 2.3 (see [6, 7]). *If $\omega \in A_p \cap RH_r$, $p \geq 1$ and $r > 1$, then there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any ball Q and a measurable set $E \subset Q$,*

$$C_1 \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^p \leq \frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(Q)} \leq C_2 \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}.$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [8]). *Assume f is a locally integrable function and $\delta > (n-1)/2$, the Bochner-Riesz maximal operator*

$$T_*^\delta(f)(x) = \sup_{R>0} |T_R^\delta(f)(x)|$$

is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and of weak type $(1,1)$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [9, 10]). (1) *If $0 < \beta < 1$, then there exists a absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p} \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta},$$

(2) *If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\omega \in A_1$, then there exists a absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.6 (see [11]). *If $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$, then there exists a absolute constant $C > 0$ related to the dimension n*

$$\|T_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(f)\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Lemma 2.7 (see [2]). *If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$, $0 < \kappa < p/s$, and $\omega \in A_{p,s}$, then $M_{\beta,1}$ is bounded from $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)$ to $L^{s,\kappa s/p}(\omega^s)$.*

Lemma 2.8 (see [12]). *If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$ and $\omega^s \in A_1$, then for $0 < \kappa < p/s$ and $1 < r < p$,*

$$\|M_{\beta,r}(f)\|_{L^{s,\kappa/p}(\omega^s)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)}.$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let T_R^δ be the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator. If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$, $\omega^s \in A_1$, $\delta > (n-1)/2$, and $0 < \kappa < \beta p/n$, we have*

$$\|T_*^\delta(f)\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)}.$$

Proof. Fix a ball $B = B(x,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. We decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{2B}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\omega^s(B)^{\kappa/p}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\omega^s(B)^{\kappa/p}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f_1(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\omega^s(B)^{\kappa/p}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f_2(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & =: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to estimate the term J_1 . When $\delta > (n-1)/2$, using the fact

$$T_*^\delta(f)(x) \leq CM(f)(x),$$

see [2]. by Lemma 2.6, we have T_*^δ is bounded on L_ω^p for $\delta \geq (n-1)/2$.

If $\omega^s \in A_1$, $1 < p < s$, then $\omega^p \in A_1$, hence $\omega^p \in A_p$. Using the L_ω^p -boundedness of T_*^δ and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq C \frac{1}{\omega^s(B)^{\kappa/p}} \left(\int_{2B} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)} \frac{\omega^s(2B)^{\kappa/p}}{\omega^s(B)^{\kappa/p}} \\ & \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate J_2 . Observe that $x \in B$ and $y \in (2B)^c$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_R^\delta f_2(x)| & \leq |(f_2 * \phi_{\frac{1}{R}})(x)| \leq C \int_{(2B)^c} |\phi_{\frac{1}{R}}(x-y)f(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \int_{(2B)^c} |R^n \phi(R(x-y))f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta \geq (n-1)/2$, using (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_R^\delta f_2(x)| & \leq C \int_{(2B)^c} \left| \frac{R^n}{(R(x-y))^n} \right| |f(y)| dy \leq C \int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y-x_0|^n} dy \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} |2^{j+1}B| [\omega^p(2^{j+1}B)]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(y)|^p \omega(y)^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p,\omega^s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^s(2^{j+1}B)^{\kappa/p}}{\omega^p(2^{j+1}B)^{1/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$J_2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\omega^p(B)]^{1/p}}{[\omega^p(2^{j+1}B)]^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{[\omega^s(2^{j+1}B)]^{\kappa/p}}{[\omega^s(B)]^{\kappa/p}}.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$C \frac{|B|}{|2^{j+1}B|} \leq \frac{\omega^s(B)}{\omega^s(2^{j+1}B)}.$$

We use the fact that if $s/p > 1$, and $(\omega^p)^{s/p} \in A_1$, then $\omega^p \in RH_{s/p}$. Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{\omega^p(B)}{\omega^p(2^{j+1}B)} \leq C \left(\frac{|B|}{|2^{j+1}B|} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{s}}.$$

Hence

$$J_2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{jn})^{\frac{\kappa}{p} - \frac{p}{n}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)}.$$

We use the fact $\kappa < \beta p/n$ in the last inequality and then combining J_1 and J_2 , taking the supremum over all balls $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, it completes the proof of Lemma 2.9. \square

Lemma 2.10. *If $0 < \sigma < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $\delta > (n+1)/2$, and $b \in Lip_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for all $r > 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$M_\sigma^\sharp([b, T_R^\delta]f)(x) \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} (M_{\beta,r}(T_R^\delta f)(x) + M_{\beta,r}(f)(x) + M_{\beta,1}(f)(x)).$$

Proof. Fix a ball $B = B(x_0, r_B)$, where $B(x_0, r_B)$ denotes the ball with the center x_0 and radius r_B , and decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi_{2B}$. We observe that

$$[b, T_R^\delta]f(x) = (b(x) - b_{2B})T_R^\delta f(x) - T_R^\delta((b - b_{2B})f)(x).$$

For $0 < \sigma < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |[b, T_R^\delta]f(y)|^\sigma - |C|^\sigma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |[b, T_R^\delta]f(y) - C|^\sigma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B})T_R^\delta f(y)|^\sigma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_R^\delta((b(y) - b_{2B})f_1)(y)|^\sigma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ & \quad + C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_R^\delta((b(y) - b_{2B})f_2)(y) - C|^\sigma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ & \triangleq D_1 + D_2 + D_3. \end{aligned}$$

For the term D_1 , using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.5, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B}) T_R^\delta f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \left(\int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B})|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \frac{1}{|B|} |B|^{\frac{\beta}{n}} |B|^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \left(\frac{1}{|B|^{1-\frac{\beta r}{n}}} \int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,r}(T_R^\delta f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For the term D_2 , using Kolmogorov inequality (see [13, pp. 12]), Hölder inequality and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B}) T_R^\delta f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \left(\int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B})|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \frac{1}{|B|} |B|^{\frac{\beta}{n}} |B|^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \left(\frac{1}{|B|^{1-\frac{\beta r}{n}}} \int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,r}(T_R^\delta f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For the last term, we fix $C = T_R^\delta((b - b_{2B})f_2)(x_0)$. If $0 < 1/R < r_B$, $y \in B$, and $z \in (2B)^c$, using Hölder inequality and (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_R^\delta((b - b_{2B})f_2)(y) - T_R^\delta((b - b_{2B})f_2)(x_0)| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{1}{R}}(y-z)((b(z) - b_{2B})f_2)(z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{1}{R}}(x_0-z)((b(z) - b_{2B})f_2)(z) dz \right| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} R^n |\phi(R(y-z)) - \phi(R(x_0-z))| |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} R^n (R|x_0-y|) \frac{1}{(1+R|x_0-z|)^{\delta+\frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz dy \\ &\leq C r_B^{\delta-\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{Rr_B} \right)^{\delta-\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{2^{j+1}B \setminus 2^jB} \frac{1}{|x_0-z|^{\delta+\frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz dy. \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta > (n+1)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_3 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_B^{\delta - \frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{2^{j+1}B \setminus 2^jB} \frac{1}{|x_0 - z|^{\delta + \frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz dy \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_B^{\delta - \frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{2^{j+1}B \setminus 2^jB} \frac{1}{|x_0 - z|^{\delta + \frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_B^{\delta - \frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} \frac{1}{|2^j r_B|^{\delta - \frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |b(z) - b_{2^{j+1}B}| |f(z)| dz \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} |b_{2^{j+1}B} - b_{2B}| \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)| dz \\
 &\triangleq D_{31} + D_{32}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term D_{31} , using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{31} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \left(\int_{2^{j+1}B} |b(z) - b_{2^{j+1}B}|^{r'} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} |2^{j+1}B|^{\frac{\beta}{n}} |2^{j+1}B|^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|^{1 - \frac{\beta r}{n}}} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,r}(f)(x) \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,r}(f)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the term D_{32} , using Lemma 2.5, then $|b_{2^{j+1}B} - b_{2B}| \leq Cj \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} j |2^{j+1}|^{\beta/n}$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{32} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} j \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|^{1 - \frac{\beta}{n}}} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)| dz \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta)} \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,1}(f)(x) \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} M_{\beta,1}(f)(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$.

Therefore we have

$$D_3 \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} (M_{\beta,r}(f)(x) + M_{\beta,1}(f)(x)).$$

If $1/R \geq r_B$, fix δ_0 such that $(n+1)/2 < \delta_0 < \delta$. It is seen from the first condition that

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &\leq C r_B^{\delta_0 - \frac{n+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{R r_B}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2} - \delta_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{2^{j+1}B \setminus 2^jB} \frac{1}{|x_0 - z|^{\delta + \frac{n+1}{2}}} |b(z) - b_{2B}| |f(z)| dz dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta_0)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |b(z) - b_{2^{j+1}B}| |f(z)| dz \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta_0)} |b_{2^{j+1}B} - b_{2B}| \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)| dz \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} (M_{\beta,r}(f)(x) + M_{\beta,1}(f)(x)) \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.10. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $1 < p < n/\beta$, we can take a real number r such that $1 < r < p$. Using Lemma 2.10, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|[b, T_R^\delta]f\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} &\leq C \|M_\sigma^\sharp([b, T_R^\delta]f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \left(\|M_{\beta,r}(T_R^\delta f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} + \|M_{\beta,r}(f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} + \|M_{\beta,1}(f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that if $\omega^s \in A_1$, then $\omega \in A_{p,s}$. For $0 < \kappa < \min\{p/s, p\beta/n\}$, using Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[b, T_R^\delta]f\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} (\|T_R^\delta\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)} + \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)}) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1 (see [12]). *If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$ and $\omega \in A_\infty$, for $0 < \kappa < p/s$, we have*

$$\|M_{\beta,\omega}(f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

Lemma 3.2 (see [12]). *If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$, $0 < \kappa < p/s$ and $\omega \in A_\infty$, then for $1 < r < p$, we have*

$$\|M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

Lemma 3.3 (see [12]). *If $0 < \beta < n$, $1 < p < n/\beta$, $1/s = 1/p - \beta/n$ and $\omega^{s/p} \in A_1$. For $0 < \kappa < p/s$ and $r_\omega > (1 - \kappa)/(p/s - \kappa)$, then*

$$\|M_{\beta,1}(f)\|_{L^{s, \frac{\kappa s}{p}}(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *If $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\omega \in A_1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $b \in Lip_\beta(\omega)$, and $\delta > (n+1)/2$, then for all $r > 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$M_\sigma^\sharp([b, T_R^\delta]f)(x) \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} (\omega(x) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(T_R^\delta)(x) + \omega(x) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x) + \omega(x)^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}} M_{\beta,1}(f)(x)).$$

Proof. The process of proof is similar to Lemma 2.10. If $\omega \in A_1$, using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B}) T_R^\delta f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \left(\int_B |(b(y) - b_{2B})|^{r'} \omega^{1-r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \left(\int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r \omega(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \frac{\omega(B)}{|B|} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(B)^{1-\frac{\beta r}{n}}} \int_B |T_R^\delta f(y)|^r \omega(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \omega(x) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(T_R^\delta f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Kolmogorov inequality, Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$D_2 \leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |(b(y) - b_{2B}) f(y)| dy \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta} \omega(x) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x).$$

According to the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have

$$D_3 \leq D_{31} + D_{32},$$

where

$$D_{31} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}-\delta)} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |b(z) - b_{2^{j+1}B}| |f(z)| dz.$$

And

$$D_{32} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}-\delta)} |b_{2^{j+1}B} - b_{2B}| \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}B|} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)| dz.$$

We have

$$D_{31} \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} \omega(x) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x).$$

If $\omega \in A_1$, using Lemma 2.5, we can get

$$|b_{2^{j+1}B} - b_{2B}| \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} j \omega(x) \omega(2^{j+1}B)^{\frac{\beta}{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{32} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}-\delta)} j \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} \frac{\omega(x)\omega(2^{j+1}B)^{\beta/n}}{|2^{j+1}B|^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(z)| dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}-\delta)} \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} M_{\beta,1}(f)(x) \omega(x)^{1+\beta/n} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} M_{\beta,1}(f)(x) \omega(x)^{1+\beta/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can get

$$D_3 \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} \omega(x)^{1+\beta/n} (M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x) + M_{\beta,1}(f)(x)).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

Proof of the Theorem 1.2. If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $1 < p < n/\beta$, we can take a real number r such that $1 < r < p$. Using Lemma 3.4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} &\| [b, T_R^{\delta}] f \|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^{1-s}, \omega)} \leq C \| M_{\sigma}^{\sharp}([b, T_R^{\delta}] f) \|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} \left(\| \omega(\cdot) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(T_R^{\delta} f) \|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} + \| \omega(\cdot) M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f) \|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega(\cdot) \| M_{\beta,1,\omega}(f) \|_{L^{s, \frac{ks}{p}}(\omega^s)} \right) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} (\| T_R^{\delta} f \|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)} + \| f \|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)}) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_{\beta}(\omega)} \| f \|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega^p, \omega^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by NSFC (NO. 11201003) and Education Committee of Anhui Province (NO. KJ2011A138 and NO. KJ2012A133).

References

- [1] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, New Jersey, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- [2] Y. Komori and S. Shirai, Weighted Morrey spaces and a singular integral operator, Math. Nachr., 282(2) (2009), 219–231.
- [3] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 165 (1974), 207–226.
- [4] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 192 (1972), 261–274.

- [5] C. Sadosky, *Interpolation of Operators and Singular Integral*, Caracas, Venezuela. Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1979.
- [6] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1985.
- [7] R. F. Gundy and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted integral inequalities for nontangential maximal function, Lusin area integral, and Walsh-Paley series, *Studia Math.*, 49 (1974), 107–124.
- [8] G. E. Hu and S. Z. Lu, The maximal operator associated with the commutator of the Bochner-Riesz operator, *Beijing Math.*, 21 (1996), 96–106.
- [9] M. Paluszyński, Characterization of the Besov space via the commutator operator of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss, *Indiana Univ. Math.*, 44 (1995), 1–17.
- [10] J. Garcia-Cuerva, *Weighted H^p spaces*, *Dissertations Math.*, 162 (1979), 1–63.
- [11] X. L. Shi and Q. Y. Sun, Weighted norm inequalities for Bochner-Riesz operators and singular integral operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 116 (1992), 665–673.
- [12] H. Wang, Some estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators on the weighted Morrey spaces, *Sci. Sin. Math.*, 42(1) (2012), 31–45.
- [13] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Addison-Wesley and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid in 1995.
- [14] C. Pérez, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators, *Funct. Anal.*, 128 (1995), 163–185.
- [15] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [16] S. Lee, Improved bounds for Bochner-Riesz and maximal Bochner-Riesz operators, *Duke Math. J.*, 122(1) (2004), 205–232.
- [17] T. Tao, The weak-type endpoint Bochner-Riesz conjecture and related topics, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 47(3) (1998), 1097–1124.
- [18] H. Wang, Some estimates for Bochner-Riesz operators on the weighted Morrey spaces, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series*, 55(3) (2012), 551–560.
- [19] J. Duoandikoetxea, A. Moyua, O. Oruetebarria and E. Seijo, Radial A_p weights with applications to the disc multiplier and the Bochner-Riesz operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 57(3) (2008), 1261–1281.