

Weighted Best Local Approximation

Segio Favier* and Claudia Ridolfi

Instituto de Matemática Aplicada San Luis, Conicet and Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Ejército de los Andes 950, San Luis, Argentina.

Received 12 March 2015; Accepted (in revised version) 23 September 2016

Abstract. In this survey the notion of a balanced best multipoint local approximation is fully exposed since they were treated in the L^p spaces and recent results in Orlicz spaces. The notion of balanced point, introduced by Chui et al. in 1984 are extensively used.

Key Words: Best Local approximation, multipoint approximation, balanced neighborhood.

AMS Subject Classifications: 41A10, 41A65

1 Introduction

The notion of a best multipoint local approximations of a function is fully treated in [2] where the L^p norm is used. Later, other approaches to best multipoint local approximations with L^p norms appeared in [7] and [8]. And finally, for Orlicz norms, we mention [3,5,9,12,13] and for a general family of norms [6,10] and [14]. However, in [2], Chui et al. introduced the concept of balanced points in L^p which includes different importance in each point.

More precisely, a rather general view of the problem is as follows. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function in a normed space X with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let Π^m denote the set of polynomial in \mathbb{R} of degree less or equal than m and suppose $\Pi^m \subseteq X$. Consider n points x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathbb{R} and a net of small Lebesgue measurable neighborhoods V_i^δ around each point x_i such that the Lebesgue measure $|V_i^\delta|$ goes to 0 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We select the best approximation to f near the points x_1, \dots, x_n by polynomial in Π^m . Formally, for each n -tuple of neighborhoods V_1, \dots, V_n we consider the polynomial $g_V \in \Pi^m$ which minimizes

$$\|(f-h)\chi_V\| \tag{1.1}$$

for all $h \in \Pi^m$, where $V = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$ and $V_i = V_i^\delta$. It is well known that a best $\|\cdot\|$ -approximation g_V always exists since Π^m has finite dimension. If any net g_V converges to a unique

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* sfavier@unsl.edu.ar (S. Favier), ridolfi@unsl.edu.ar (C. Ridolfi)

element $g \in \Pi^m$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then g is said to be a best local approximation to f at the points x_1, \dots, x_n . We will mention in this survey all the works which consider that the velocity of convergence $|V_i| \rightarrow 0$, as $|V| \rightarrow 0$, can be different at each point x_i . According to [2], this problem has been treated considering the concept of balanced neighborhoods in local approximation and it reflects the different importance of the points x_1, \dots, x_n . We need to deal with the necessary definition of balanced neighborhoods in each context.

As we pointed out above, Chui et al. study in [2] this problem when the space X is the usual L^p space, with the norm $\|f\|_p = (\int_B |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$, where B is a measurable set. They get results for balanced and non balanced neighborhoods. At last they generalize the results to the case of \mathbb{R}^k instead of \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, in [4], the authors get balanced results in L^p using other technique. We will discuss the L^p problem with more details in Section 3.

In [11] and [12] the authors study the problem in Orlicz spaces, it means,

$$X = L^\phi(B) := \left\{ f : \int_B \phi(\alpha|f(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \right\},$$

where ϕ is a convex function, non negative, defined on \mathbb{R}_0^+ and B is a Lebesgue measurable set. In these two works, the authors studied the best local approximation problem with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_\phi = \|f\|_{L^\phi(B)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_B \phi \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \tag{1.2}$$

and get results for balanced and non balanced neighborhoods. Furthermore, we can consider a different Luxemburg norms in Orlicz Spaces, that is

$$\|f\|_{\phi,B} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_B \phi \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq |B| \right\} \tag{1.3}$$

and it can generate different best approximation functions g_V than those obtained with the standard Luxemburg norm given in (1.2). In [13] the authors study the balanced neighborhoods problem with this norm using a different technique than that used in [11] and [12].

Moreover, in [9] the authors study the balanced problem in Orlicz spaces L^ϕ when the error (1.1) does not come from a norm, but considering

$$\int_V \phi(|f(x) - g_V(x)|) dx = \min_{h \in \Pi^n} \int_V \phi(|f(x) - h(x)|) dx.$$

The last three problems in L^p are equivalent, but in Orlicz spaces they are different problems and have different concepts of balanced neighborhoods. In Section 4 we will present the three problems in Orlicz spaces in detail.

2 Notations

We now introduce some notation. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded open set and set $|B|$ for its Lebesgue measure. Denote by \mathcal{M} the system of all equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable real valued functions defined on B .

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be n distinct points in B . Consider a net of measurable sets $\{V\}_{|V|>0}$ such that $V = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$, where V_i is a neighborhood of the point x_i and

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sup_{y \in V_i} |x_i - y| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $|V| \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to see that $V_i = x_i + |V_i|A_i$, $i \leq i \leq n$, where A_i is a measurable set with measure 1. Henceforward, we assume the sets A_i are uniformly bounded.

For each p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we consider the space $L^p = L^p(B)$ and the following norms

$$\|h\|_p = \|h\|_{L^p(B)} = \left(\int_B |h(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$\|h\|_{p,B} = \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |h(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

for $h \in \mathcal{M}$ and $p < \infty$. Sometimes we write $\|h\|_{L^p(B)}$ instead $\|h\chi_B\|_p$, where χ_B denote the characteristic function of the set $W \subset B$.

Let Φ be the set of convex functions $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, with $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $\phi(0) = 0$. For $\phi \in \Phi$ define the Orlicz space

$$L^\phi(B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M} : \int_B \phi(\alpha |f(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \right\}.$$

This space can be endowed with the Luxemburg norm $\|f\|_\phi$ defined in (1.2) as well as with the norm $\|f\|_{\phi,B}$ defined in (1.3). If $\phi(x) = x^p$, the last norm coincides with the norm $\|h\|_{p,B}$. Sometimes we write $\|f\|_{L^\phi(W)}$ instead of $\|f\chi_W\|_\phi$. The space L^ϕ with both norms is a Banach space and we refer to [1] for a detailed study of Orlicz spaces.

We recall that a function $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $\phi(2x) \leq k\phi(x)$, for $x \geq 0$. We also say that $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfies the Δ' condition if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\phi(xy) \leq C\phi(x)\phi(y)$ for $x, y \geq 0$. Note that it is easy to see that Δ' condition implies Δ_2 condition.

Let $f \in PC^m(B)$, where $PC^m(B)$ is the class of functions in $L^\phi(B)$ with $m-1$ continuous derivatives and with bounded piecewise continuous m^{th} derivative on B .

3 Balanced and non balanced problem in space L^p

In this section we present the results given in [2] and [4], both in L^p spaces, $p \geq 1$. In [2] Chui et al. introduce the balanced concept as follows. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, we

denote

$$\mathcal{V}_k(\alpha) := |V_k|^\alpha,$$

and assume the following condition which allows us to compare $\mathcal{V}_k(\alpha)$ with each other as functions of α .

For any nonnegative real numbers α and β and any pair $j, k, 1 \leq j, k \leq n$,

$$\text{either } \mathcal{V}_k(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}_j(\beta)) \text{ or } \mathcal{V}_j(\beta) = o(\mathcal{V}_k(\alpha)), \text{ as } |V| \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Given a collection of neighborhoods $\{V_i\}_1^n$ and a set of n non negative real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in \mathbb{R} , we say that $\mathcal{V}_j(\alpha_j)$ is maximal if for all $k, \mathcal{V}_k(\alpha_k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}_j(\alpha_j))$. When it happens we write $\mathcal{V}_j(\alpha_j) = \max\{\mathcal{V}_k(\alpha_k)\}$.

In the balanced case, the neighborhoods can have different measure, but it is not at random, there is a relationship between the measure of the sets $|V_k|$ and the amount of information of f over the points i_k .

Definition 3.1. A n -tuple of non negative integers (i_k) is balanced if for each j such that $i_j > 0, \max\{\mathcal{V}_k(i_k + 1/p)\} = o(\mathcal{V}_k(i_j - 1 + 1/p))$. In this case, we say that $m + 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ is a balanced integer and the neighborhoods V_k are balanced.

It is easy to see that to each balanced integer $m + 1$ there corresponds exactly one balanced n -tuple (i_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k = m + 1$.

Example 3.1. If $L^p = L^2$ and the neighborhoods are $V_1 = V_1(\epsilon) = x_1 + \epsilon[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $V_2 = V_2(\epsilon) = x_2 + \epsilon^{1/2}[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $(0,0), (0,1), (1,1), (1,2)$ and $(1,3)$ are balanced n -tuples, while $(2,2), (1,0), (2,0)$ and $(2,1)$ are non balanced n -tuples.

There exists a simple way to find all the balanced n -tuples.

Algorithm 3.1. It begins with the balanced n -tuple $(i_k^{(0)}) := (0)$ corresponding to the balanced integer 0. Let $(i_k^{(l)})$ be a balanced n -tuple. Let $C = C((i_k^{(l)})) := \{j: \mathcal{V}_j(i_j^{(l)} + 1/p) = \max\{\mathcal{V}_k(i_k^{(l)} + 1/p)\}\}$. To build the next n -tuple, $(i_k^{(l+1)})$, put $i_k^{(l+1)} = i_k^{(l)} + 1$ for $k \in C((i_k^{(l)}))$ and $i_k^{(l+1)} = i_k^{(l)}$ for $k \notin C$.

In [2], the authors prove that this algorithm generates exactly all the balanced n -tuples.

The following Lemma gives an order of the error produced in the approximation (1.1) with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Also, this Lemma exposes how to define a maximal element.

Lemma 3.1. Let (i_k) be an ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers. Suppose $h \in PC^{(l)}(B)$, where $l = \max\{i_k\}$ and $h^{(j)}(x_k) = 0, 0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\|h\|_{L^p(V)} = \mathcal{O}(\max\{\mathcal{V}_k(i_k + 1/p)\}).$$

We now present the Lemma 3 stated in [2], which will be used in the sequel. This lemma have importance in the proof of the main Theorems.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let Λ be a family of uniformly bounded measurable subsets of the real line with measure 1. Then there exists a constant M (depending on m and p) such that for all the polynomials $P \in \Pi^m$ and all $A \in \Lambda$,*

$$|c_k| \leq M \|P\|_{L^p(A)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m,$$

where $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k x^k$.

Now we present the first main result given in [2], which solved the problem of best local approximation, for balanced neighborhoods. In the proof they used the above lemmas.

Theorem 3.1. *If $m+1$ is a balanced integer with balanced n -tuple (i_k) and $f \in PC^l(B)$, $l = \max\{i_k\}$, then the best local approximation to f from Π^m is the unique $g \in \Pi^m$ defined by the $m+1$ interpolation conditions $f^{(j)}(x_k) = g^{(j)}(x_k)$, $0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Given a balanced integer $m+1$, as a consequence of the Algorithm, there exists a following and previous balanced integer and for example, the following balanced integer will be $m + \text{Car}(C)$, where $\text{Car}(C)$ is the cardinality of the set C . So we have the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Given the neighborhoods V_1, \dots, V_n , p and an integer $m+1$ we define:

- $\underline{m+1}$ the largest balanced integer less than or equal to $m+1$.
- (\underline{i}_k) the balanced n -tuple satisfying $\sum \underline{i}_k = \underline{m+1}$.
- $\overline{m+1}$ the smallest balanced integer greater than or equal to $m+1$.
- (\overline{i}_k) the balanced n -tuple satisfying $\sum \overline{i}_k = \overline{m+1}$.

Remark 3.1. Given a non balanced integer $m+1$, set $C = C((\underline{i}_k)) = \{j : \mathcal{V}_j(\underline{i}_j + 1/p) = \max\{\mathcal{V}_k(\underline{i}_k + 1/p)\}\}$. Then, as the algorithm generates exactly all the balanced integers, the next balanced integer is $\overline{m+1}$, with $\underline{i}_k = \overline{i}_k$ for $k \notin C$ and $\overline{i}_k = \underline{i}_k + 1$ for $k \in C$.

We establish the following auxiliary lemma from [2] which it is used to prove one of the main results.

Lemma 3.3. *Given $(|V_1|, \dots, |V_n|)$ and $m+1$, define $l = \max\{\overline{i}_k\}$. If $f \in PC^l(B)$ and for each V ,*

$$\|f - g_V\|_{L^p(V)} = \min_{h \in \Pi^m} \|f - h\|_{L^p(V)},$$

then g_V is bounded on B uniformly for all $|V| > 0$ and for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\frac{(f - g_V)^{(j)}(x_k) \mathcal{V}_k(j+1/p)}{E} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad j = 1, \dots, \overline{i}_k - 1,$$

where $E = \max\{\mathcal{V}_j(\underline{i}_j + 1/p)\}$.

From Lemma 3.3, if there exists a best local approximation g , then it satisfy the equations $f^{(j)}(x_k) = g^{(j)}(x_k), 0 \leq j \leq \underline{i}_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$, since

$$(f - g)^{(j)}(x_k) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{E}{\mathcal{V}_k(j+1/p)}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{E}{\mathcal{V}_k(\underline{i}_k - 1 + 1/p)}\right) = o(1)$$

for the values j and k above because $\underline{m} + 1$ is a balanced integer. These are $\underline{m} + 1$ constraints and there are $m + 1 - \underline{m} + 1$ degrees of freedom. The remaining $m + 1 - \underline{m} + 1$ degrees of freedom must then be chosen to minimize the local L^p error around the $\underline{m} + 1 - \underline{m} + 1$ points. The calculations required to do this are more difficult than the previous ones and the following strong assumption is needed to prove the best local approximation existence.

The n -tuple of neighborhoods (V_1, \dots, V_n) satisfy

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}_k(\underline{i}_k + 1/p)}{E} = e_k + o(1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

where e_k is a fixed constant.

Remark 3.2. Given $m + 1$, set $C = \{k: 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_k(\underline{i}_k + 1/p) = \max\{\mathcal{V}_l(\underline{i}_l + 1/p)\}\}$. From the algorithm $e_k = 0$ for $k \notin C$ and $e_k \neq 0$ for $k \in C$.

Now we present the main Theorem from [2].

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $m + 1$ is not balanced. Assume that each A_k is either an interval for each V_k or is independent of the net $\{V_k\}$. Assume that the measure $(|V_1|, \dots, |V_n|)$ satisfies for each k ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}_k(\underline{i}_k + 1/p)}{\max\{\mathcal{V}_j(\underline{i}_j + 1/p)\}} = e_k + o(1)$$

with e_k a constant independent of the net $\{V_k\}$. Let $J_A(i, p)$ denote the minimum L^p norm over the measurable set A of an i^{th} degree polynomial with unit leading coefficient. If $f \in PC^l(B)$, where $l = \max\{\bar{i}_k\}$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$, then the best local approximation to f from π^m is the unique solution of the constrained l_p minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{h \in \pi^m} \|(e_k J_{A_k}(\underline{i}_k, p)(f - g)^{(\underline{i}_k)}(x_k))_{k=1}^n\|_{l_p} \\ & \text{subject to } \begin{cases} (f - g)^{(j)}(x_k) = 0, \\ 0 \leq j \leq \underline{i}_k - 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where, if A_k is an interval, we can replace $J_{A_k}(\underline{i}_k, p)$ by $J_{[0,1]}(\underline{i}_k, p)$.

If $p = 1$ the l_p minimization may not have a unique solution; if it does, however, it is the best local approximation.

By the other hand, in [4] the balanced result (Theorem 3.1) is proved with other technique. The authors prove a Polya-type inequality for polynomials in L^p spaces and it has an application to best local approximation. The Polya-type inequality is the following.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let i_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, be n positive integers such that $i_1 + \dots + i_n = m + 1$. Then there exists a constant K depending on p, i_k , for $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{K}{\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} |V_k|^{i_k - 1 + 1/p}} \|P\|_{L^p(V)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m,$$

for all $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j x^j \in \pi^m$, $V = \cup_{k=1}^n V_k$, with $|V_k| > 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

In [2] the authors prove that if (i_1, \dots, i_n) is a balanced n -tuple and f is a function sufficiently differentiable in a neighborhood of the n -points x_1, \dots, x_n , the best local approximation is the classical Hermite polynomial on the points x_1, \dots, x_n , fixed from the interpolation conditions of the function f in x_k up to order $i_k - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. In [4], the authors get a similar result for more general functions f . They introduce the following class of Lebesgue measurable functions.

Definition 3.3. Given $p > 0$ and $m + 1 = i_1 + \dots + i_n$, a function f belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_{m,p}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ if $f \in L^p(B)$ and there exists a polynomial $H \in \pi^m$ satisfying

$$\|f - H\|_{V,p} = o(|V_k|^{i_k - 1 + 1/p}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \text{as } |V| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

These classes are similar to those introduced in [4] and [14], for $n = 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 3.3 it follows that the polynomial H is unique if $f \in \mathcal{H}_{m,p}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. It is called the *generalized Hermite polynomial* of f on x_1, \dots, x_n with respect to the n -tuple (i_1, \dots, i_n) . Moreover,

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{m,p}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. Then the best local approximation to f from π^m , say H , is the *generalized Hermite polynomial* of f on x_1, \dots, x_n with respect to the n -tuple (i_1, \dots, i_n) .

In particular, under certain differentiability conditions of the function f , from Lemma 3.1 the polynomial H , which interpolates the data $f^{(j)}(x_k)$, $0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, satisfies

$$\|f - H\|_{L^p(V)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |V_k|^{i_k + 1/p}\right) = \mathcal{O}(\max\{|V_k|^{i_k + 1/p}\}).$$

If in addition, (i_1, \dots, i_n) is a balanced n -tuple, then $f \in \mathcal{H}_{m,p}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and H fulfills (3.2). Therefore, it is obtained as a consequence of Theorem 3.3 the analogous result for balanced k -tuples, proved in Theorem 1 of [2]. However in [4] the authors do not assume any condition of classic differentiability over the function f .

Here we have exposed two techniques to get existence of multipoint local approximation with balanced neighborhoods. They can be generalized to Orlicz spaces as we show in the next section.

4 Balanced and non balanced problems in Orlicz spaces L^ϕ

In this section we present the best local approximation polynomials using balanced neighborhoods in Orlicz spaces L^ϕ . According with the norm that we consider to minimize the error (1.1), we obtain three different problems which we include in the following subsection.

4.1 Best local approximation in L^ϕ with norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$

In this subsection we will expose the existence of best multipoint local $\|\cdot\|$ -approximation to a function f from Π^n for a suitable integer n , it means, for the balanced and non balanced cases. This problem is considered in an arbitrary Orlicz space L^ϕ with the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$. We refer to [1] for a detailed treatment of Orlicz spaces. For this purpose, we introduce the concept of $\|\cdot\|$ -balanced integer in this context. The following results follow the pattern given in [2] for L^p spaces and they appeared in [11] and [12].

Now we assume in this article that $\phi \in \Phi$ and it satisfies the Δ_2 -condition and recall that $V = \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k$ is a net of union of neighborhoods of the points x_1, \dots, x_n and denote by g_V a best $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximation to f from Π^m on V , it means,

$$\|(f - g_V)\mathcal{X}_V\|_\phi = \min_{h \in \Pi^m} \|(f - h)\mathcal{X}_V\|_\phi.$$

For each $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we denote

$$v_k(\alpha) := \frac{|V_k|^\alpha}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|V_k|}\right)},$$

and instead of (3.1) we assume in this context that for any nonnegative integers α and β , and any pair $j, k, 1 \leq j, k \leq n$, either

$$v_k(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(v_j(\beta)) \quad \text{or} \quad v_j(\beta) = o(v_k(\alpha)). \tag{4.1}$$

Let (i_k) be an ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers. We say that $v_j(i_j)$ is *maximal* if $v_k(i_k) = \mathcal{O}(v_j(i_j))$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. We denote it by

$$v_j(i_j) = \max\{v_k(i_k)\}.$$

Definition 4.1. An n -tuple (i_k) of nonnegative integers is said to be $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced if for each $i_j > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{v_j(i_j - 1)} \max\{v_k(i_k)\} = o(1).$$

If (i_k) is $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced, we say that $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ is a $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integer.

Next we set, from [11], an example of $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integers.

Example 4.1. Define $\phi(x) = \frac{x^2}{\ln(e+x)}$, $x \geq 0$. It can be seen that ϕ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition (see [1, pp. 30]). Given x_1, x_2 and let the neighborhoods satisfy $|V_2| = |V_1|^2$. Thus these neighborhoods satisfy the conditions (4.1) and every integer is $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced.

In [11] an algorithm is presented and it generates all the $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integer as in L^p .

Algorithm 4.1. Begin with the $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced n -tuple $\langle i_k^{(0)} \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ corresponding to the $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integer 0. Then, given $\langle i_k^{(s)} \rangle$ for $s \geq 0$, set $C = \{l: v_l(i_l^{(s)}) = \max\{v_k(i_k^{(s)})\}\}$. We build the next $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced n -tuple $\langle i_k^{(s+1)} \rangle$ taking $i_k^{(s+1)} = i_k^{(s)} + 1$, for $k \in C$ and $i_k^{(s+1)} = i_k^{(s)}$, for $k \notin C$.

Remark 4.1. It is proved in [11] that to each $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integer there corresponds exactly one $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced n -tuple. Also an integer $m+1$ is $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced if only if $m+1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ for some $\langle i_k \rangle$ generated by this algorithm.

Now, we cite from [11] the following auxiliary lemmas and the first main result. Instead of Lemma 3.1, in [11] the authors prove the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\langle i_k \rangle$ be an increasing ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers. Suppose $h \in PC^l(X)$, where $l = \max\{i_k\}$ and $h^{(j)}(x_k) = 0, 0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\|h\|_{L^\phi(V)} = \mathcal{O}(\max\{v_k(i_k)\}).$$

Instead of Lemma 3.2 we have

Lemma 4.2. Let Λ be a family of uniformly bounded measurable subsets of the real line with measure 1 and let $0 < r < 1$, then there exists a constant $s > 0$ such that

$$\left\| |P|^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{\|P\|_{\infty, A}}{s}, \|P\|_{\infty, A} \right] \right) \cap A \right\| \geq r, \tag{4.2}$$

for all $A \in \Lambda$ and for all $P \in \Pi^m$.

Proposition 4.1. Given an integer $m+1$, consider the Definition 3.2 for the Luxemburg norm, then

- a) If $\underline{i}_j + 1 = \bar{i}_j$, then $\max\{v_k(\underline{i}_k)\} = \mathcal{O}(v_j(\bar{i}_j - 1))$;
- b) If $\underline{i}_j = \bar{i}_j$, then $\max\{v_k(\underline{i}_k)\} = o(v_j(\bar{i}_j - 1))$;
- c) If $\underline{m+1} < \overline{m+1}$, then $\max\{v_k(\bar{i}_k)\} = o(\max\{v_k(\underline{i}_k)\})$.

We now present the first important result from [11] concerning to the behavior of a net $\{g_V\}_{|V|>0}$ of best $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximations from Π^m , as $|V| \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m + 1$ be a positive integer and $l = \max\{\bar{i}_k\}$. If $f \in PC^l(X)$ and $\{g_V\}_{|V|>0}$ is a net of best $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximations of f from π^m on V , then $\{g_V\}_{|V|>0}$ is uniformly bounded on X .*

Using the same technique it is obtained

Lemma 4.3. *Given an integer $m + 1$, set $l = \max\{\dot{i}_k\}$. If $f \in PC^l(X)$ and $\{g_V\}_{|V|>0}$ is a net of best $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximations of f from π^m on V , then*

$$|(f - g_V)^{(j)}(x_k)v_k(j)| = \mathcal{O}(\max\{v_k(\dot{i}_k)\}), \tag{4.3}$$

$$0 \leq j \leq \dot{i}_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Thus, using the $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced definition, it follows the main result of [11].

Theorem 4.2. *Let (i_k) be a $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced n -tuple and let $0 < m + 1 = \sum i_k$. If $l = \max\{i_k\}$, $f \in PC^l(X)$, then the best local $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximation to f from Π^m is the unique $g \in \Pi^m$ defined by the $m + 1$ interpolation conditions*

$$f^{(j)}(x_k) = g^{(j)}(x_k),$$

$$0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Now, we cite the following results from [12], which are a continuity of the above analysis.

Set $E = \max\{v_l(\dot{i}_l)\}$ and

$$c_{j,k} = c_{j,k}(V) := (f - g_V)^{(j)}(x_k) \frac{v_k(j)}{E}, \tag{4.4}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_k - 1$. As a consequence of Lemma 4.3 we have

$$c_{j,k} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_k - 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Since

$$g_V^{(i)}(x_s) = f^{(i)}(x_s) - c_{i,s} \frac{E}{v_s(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{E}{v_s(i)} = o(1)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_s - 1$, from (4.5) we obtain

$$g_V^{(i)}(x_s) = f^{(i)}(x_s) + o(1), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_s - 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Consider the following basis for Π^m , say $\{u_{j,k}\} \cup \{w_r\}$, with $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_k - 1$, and $r = 1, 2, \dots, (m + 1) - \underline{m + 1}$, which satisfies

$$u_{j,k}^{(j')}(x_{k'}) = \delta_{(j,k),(j',k')} \quad \text{and} \quad w_r^{(j')}(x_{k'}) = 0, \quad k' = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad j' = 0, 1, \dots, \dot{i}_{k'} - 1,$$

where $\delta_{(j,k),(j',k')}$ is the Kronecker delta. Observe that if $g \in \pi^m$, then

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} a_{j,k} u_{j,k}(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{(m+1)-m+1} b_r w_r(x),$$

where $g^{(j)}(x_k) = a_{j,k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, i_k - 1$.

Thus, if there exists a best local approximation g , since (4.6) it will satisfy the equations $f^{(j)}(x_k) = g^{(j)}(x_k)$, $0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. The remaining $m+1 - \underline{m+1}$ degrees of freedom must then be chosen so as to minimize the local L^p error around the $m+1 - \underline{m+1}$ points. It required a delicate analysis and it appears in [12]. There are many auxiliary lemmas here to prove the main result, which solve the best local approximation problem when $(m+1)$ is not a balanced integer. As an example of the auxiliary lemmas we expose the following (see [12]).

Given a non balanced integer $m+1$, set

$$C = C((i_k)) = \{j : v_j(i_j + 1/p) = \max\{v_k(i_k + 1/p)\}\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *There holds*

$$\Gamma := \left\| \sum_{k \in C} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{i_s-1} \frac{c_{i,s}}{v_s(i)} u_{i,s}^{(i_k)}(x_k) \right) \frac{(x-x_k)^{i_k}}{i_k!} \mathcal{X}_{V_k}(x) \right\|_{L^\phi(V)} = o(1).$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. If for each $x \geq 0$ there exists*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\alpha x)}{\phi(\alpha)} =: \psi(x),$$

then $\psi(x) = x^p$ for some $p \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.6. *For every $k \in C$, set*

$$P_{k,V}(y) := \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} \frac{c_{j,k}(V)}{j!} y^j + c_k^*(\delta) y^{i_k}, \quad k \in C,$$

such that $\lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} c_{j,k}(V) = d_{j,k}$ and $\lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} c_k^(V) = m_k$. If*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\alpha x)}{\phi(\alpha)} =: \psi(x)$$

exists for $x \geq 0$ and $\lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} \alpha_k(V) = \infty$ for each $k \in C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} \left[\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{k \in C} \int_{A_k} \frac{\phi\left(\alpha_k(V) \frac{|P_{k,V}(y)|}{\lambda}\right)}{\phi(\alpha_k(V))} dy \leq 1 \right\} \right] \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{k \in C} \int_{A_k} \psi\left(\frac{|P_k(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_k(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} \frac{d_{j,k}}{j!} y^j + m_k y^{i_k}.$$

We now can give, under certain conditions, the existence of the best local $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximation.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. Assume that there exists $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\alpha x)}{\phi(\alpha)}$ for all $x \geq 0$ and therefore this limit is x^p for some $p \geq 1$. Let $m+1$ be a non $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -balanced integer and $l = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{i_k\}$. For each $k \in C$ suppose*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_k(\underline{i}_k)}{E} = e_k > 0. \tag{4.7}$$

If $f \in PC^l(X)$ then, for $|V| \rightarrow 0$, the limit of any convergent subsequence of $\{g_V\}$, a net of best $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximations of f from Π^m , is a solution of the following minimization problem in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1-m+1}$:

$$\begin{cases} \min_{h \in \Pi^m} \left\| \left\langle e_k J_{A_k}(\underline{i}_k, p)(f-h)^{(\underline{i}_k)}(x_k) / \underline{i}_k! \right\rangle_{k \in K} \right\|_{l_p}, \\ \text{with the constraints } (f-h)^{(j)}(x_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, \underline{i}_k - 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

where, for $k \in C$, $J_{A_k}(\underline{i}_k, p)$ is the minimum L_p norm over A_k of an \underline{i}_k th degree polynomial with unit leading coefficient. In particular, if (4.8) has a unique solution g , then $g = \lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} g_V$ and therefore this is a best local $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ -approximation to f from Π^m on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

The following example shows that $\lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} g_V$ may not exist if ϕ does not satisfy the assumption that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\alpha x)}{\phi(\alpha)}$ exists for all $x \geq 0$. The proof is in [12].

Example 4.2. Let $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, A_1 = A_2 = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, $|V_1| = 2\delta, |V_2| = \delta$, for $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ and let $\Pi^m = \Pi^0$ be the subspace formed by the constant functions in L^ϕ . Define

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 2x - 1, & \text{if } x \in [1, 2], \\ 23^\eta x - 3^{2\eta}, & \text{if } x \in [23^{\eta-1}, 3^\eta], \quad \eta \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \in [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$, $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in [\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}]$.

4.2 Best local approximation with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\phi, B}$

In this section we expose the analysis given in [13] to prove the existence of the best local approximation to a function f , with balanced neighborhoods, when the error (1.1) is the following. Denote $g_V \in \Pi^m$ such that

$$\|f - g_V\|_{\phi, V} = \min_{h \in \Pi^m} \|f - h\|_{\phi, V}.$$

These best approximation can be different to that given with the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\phi(V)}$.

The analysis in [13] follows the pattern used in [4] for L^p spaces. We begin with the following auxiliary lemmas and properties.

If ϕ satisfies the Δ' -condition, it is easy to see that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\phi^{-1}(x)\phi^{-1}(y) \leq K\phi^{-1}(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

We assume in this section that $\phi \in \Phi$ and it satisfies the Δ' -condition.

Proposition 4.2. The family of all seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{\phi,V}$ with $|V| > 0$, has the following properties:

- (a) $\|\mathcal{X}_V\|_{\phi,V} = \frac{1}{\phi^{-1}(1)}$.
- (b) If $f, g \in L^\phi(X)$ satisfy $|f| \leq |g|$ on V , then $\|f\|_{\phi,V} \leq \|g\|_{\phi,V}$. The inequality is strict if $|f| < |g|$ on some subset of V with positive measure.
- (c) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\phi,G} \leq \frac{M}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{|G|}{|D|}\right)} \|f\|_{\phi,D}, \quad f \in L^\phi(X), \tag{4.11}$$

for all pair of measurable sets G, D , with $G \subset D$ and $|G| > 0$.

Lemma 4.7. There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\left|P^{(j)}(a)\right| \leq \frac{M}{\epsilon^j} \|P\|_{\phi,[a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon]}$$

for all $P \in \Pi^m$, $[a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon] \subset B$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$.

Lemma 4.8. Let $C \subset B$ be an interval, $E \subset C$, $|E| > 0$. For all $P \in \Pi^m$, there exists an interval $F := F(E, P) \subset C$ such that

- a) $|F| \geq \frac{|E|}{2m}$,
- b) $\|P\|_{\phi,F} \leq 2m \|P\|_{\phi,E}$.

Now, we present the main result concerning to Pólya inequality in L^ϕ .

Theorem 4.4. Let $\phi \in \Phi$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let i_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, be n positive integers such that $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k = m + 1$. Let E_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, be disjoint pairwise compact intervals in \mathbb{R} , with $0 < |E_k| \leq 1$. Then there exists a positive constant M depending on ϕ , i_k and E_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{M}{\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ |V \cap E_k|^{i_k-1} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{|V \cap E_k|}{|V|}\right) \right\}} \|P\|_{\phi,V}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m, \tag{4.12}$$

for all $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j x^j$, $V \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$ with $|V \cap E_k| > 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Now, we will introduce the concept of balanced integer in that context. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, we denote

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\alpha) := \frac{|V_k|^\alpha}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{|V|}{|V_k|}\right)}.$$

The following condition allows us that $\mathcal{A}_k(\alpha)$ can be compared with each other as functions of α when $|V| \rightarrow 0$.

For any nonnegative integers α and β and any pair $j, k, 1 \leq j, k \leq n$,

$$\text{either } \mathcal{A}_k(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_j(\beta)) \text{ or } \mathcal{A}_j(\beta) = o(\mathcal{A}_k(\alpha)), \text{ as } |V| \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Let (i_k) be an ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers. We say that $\mathcal{A}_j(i_j)$ is a maximal element of $(\mathcal{A}_k(i_k))$ if $\mathcal{A}_k(i_k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_j(i_j))$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. We denote it by

$$\mathcal{A}_j(i_j) = \max\{\mathcal{A}_k(i_k)\}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}_k(i_k) = \mathcal{O}(\max\{\mathcal{A}_k(i_k)\}).$$

Definition 4.2. An n -tuple $\langle i_k \rangle$ of nonnegative integers is balanced if

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}_k(i_k) = o\left(\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ |V_k|^{i_k-1} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{|V_k|}{|V|}\right) \right\}\right).$$

In this case, we say that $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ is a balanced integer and (V_k) are balanced neighborhoods.

To each balanced integer there corresponds exactly one balanced n -tuple. Moreover, there are an algorithm which gives all balanced n -tuples which it is proved in [13].

Given (i_k) , set

$$C = C((i_k)) := \{j : \mathcal{A}_j(i_j) = \max\{\mathcal{A}_k(i_k)\}\}.$$

Algorithm 4.2. Let v_q be a balanced integer and let $(i_k^{(v_q)})$ be the corresponding balanced n -tuple. To build the next n -tuple, $(i_k^{(v_q+1)})$, put $i_k^{(v_q+1)} = i_k^{(v_q)} + 1$ for $k \in C((i_k^{(v_q)}))$ and $i_k^{(v_q+1)} = i_k^{(v_q)}$ for $k \notin C((i_k^{(v_q)}))$.

The algorithm generates n -tuples candidates to be balanced. We can observe it with the following example.

Example 4.3. Define $\phi(x) = x^3(1 + |\ln x|)$, $x > 0$ and $\phi(0) = 0$. Consider two points x_1, x_2 with $|V_1| = \delta^{4/3}$, $|V_2| = \delta^{1/3}$ and $A_1 = A_2 = [0, 1]$. The 2-tuple $(0, 1)$ is balanced. Here, the set $C((0, 1)) = \{0\}$, however $(1, 1)$ is not a balanced 2-tuple.

Lemma 4.9. *Let (i_k) be an ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers. Suppose $h \in PC^l(X)$, where $l = \max\{i_k\}$ and $h^{(j)}(x_k) = 0, 0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\|h\|_{\phi, V} = \mathcal{O}(\max\{\mathcal{A}_k(i_k)\}).$$

If a polynomial $P \in \Pi^m, m + 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$, satisfies $P^{(j)}(x_k) = f^{(j)}(x_k), 1 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$, we call it the Hermite interpolating polynomial of the function f on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

Now, we are in condition to prove the main result in this Section.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (i_k) be a balanced n -tuple and $m + 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$. If $l = \max\{i_k\}$ and $f \in PC^l(X)$, then the best local approximation to f from Π^m on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is the Hermite interpolating polynomial of f on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.*

Proof. Let $H \in \Pi^m$ be the Hermite interpolating polynomial and let $\{g_V\}$ be a net of best approximations of f from Π^m respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\phi, V}$. From Lemma 4.9,

$$\|g_V - H\|_{\phi, V} = \mathcal{O}(\max\{\mathcal{A}_k(i_k)\}).$$

Using Theorem 4.4 and the equivalence of the norms in Π^m , we get

$$\|g_V - H\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{K}{\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ |V_k|^{i_k - 1} \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|V_k|}{|V|} \right) \right\}} \|g_V - H\|_{\phi, V}.$$

So, the definition of balanced n -tuple implies $g_V \rightarrow H$, as $|V| \rightarrow 0$. □

4.3 Best local ϕ -approximation

In this section we present the analysis of the problem given in [9]. Here the authors study the existence of the best local approximation, with balanced neighborhoods, when the error (1.1) is the following

$$\int_V \phi(|f(x) - g_V(x)|) dx = \min_{h \in \Pi^n} \int_V \phi(|f(x) - h(x)|) dx.$$

The technique used in [9] follows the pattern used in [2]. Assume that $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfies the Δ' -condition.

Given a net of neighborhoods $\{V\}$, denote for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$c_k(\beta) := \phi(|V_k|^\alpha) |V_k|.$$

Assume for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and any j, k such that $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, that either

$$c_j(\beta) = \mathcal{O}(c_k(\alpha)) \quad \text{or} \quad c_k(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(c_j(\beta))$$

or both. Then, $c_j(\alpha_j)$ is the maximal of the n -tuple $(c_k(\alpha_k))$, with $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$, if for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n, c_k(\alpha_k) = \mathcal{O}(c_j(\alpha_j))$. We denote it by

$$\max\{c_k(\alpha_k)\}.$$

Definition 4.3. An n -tuple (i_k) of nonnegative integers is said to be ϕ -balanced if for each j such that $i_j > 0$,

$$\phi \left(\frac{1}{|V_j|^{i_j-1}} \right) \max \left\{ \frac{c_k(i_k)}{|V_j|} \right\} = o(1).$$

If (i_k) is ϕ -balanced, then $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ is said to be a ϕ -balanced integer.

The n -tuple (V_k) is said to be ϕ -balanced neighborhoods if the dimension $m+1$ of the space Π^m is a ϕ -balanced integer.

To each ϕ -balanced integer there corresponds exactly one ϕ -balanced (i_k) .

Remark 4.2. If $\phi(x) = x^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ the last definition of ϕ -balanced is equivalent to those considered by Chui et al. in [2].

Example 4.4. Let $\phi(x) = x^3(1 + |\ln x|)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ a convex function that satisfies the Δ' condition and $(|V_1|, |V_2|) = (\delta, e^{-1/\delta})$, for $\delta > 0$; then each integer m is a ϕ -balanced integer.

Now we state an algorithm that generates all the ϕ -balanced n -tuples.

Algorithm 4.3. Begin with the ϕ -balanced n -tuple $(i_k^{(0)}) = (0)$ corresponding to the ϕ -balanced integer 0. Given $(i_k^{(l)})$, determine a maximal element of $(c_k(i_k^{(l)}))$, say $c_{k^*}(i_{k^*}^{(l)}) = \max\{c_k(i_k^{(l)})\}$ and define $i_k^{(l+1)} = i_k^{(l)}$ for $k \neq k^*$ and $i_{k^*}^{(l+1)} = i_{k^*}^{(l)} + 1$ for $k = k^*$.

In [9], the authors proved the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. a) The above algorithm generates all ϕ -balanced (i_k) .

b) If a n -tuple $(i_k^{(l)})$ generated by the algorithm ($l \geq 1$) is ϕ -balanced, then there is a unique maximal element of $(v_k(i_k^{(m-1)}))$.

As we see in the following example, the lemma gives a way to find candidates of ϕ -balanced n -tuples.

Example 4.5. If $\phi(x) = x^3(|\ln x| + 1)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $(|V_1|, |V_2|) = (\delta, \delta^4)$, for $\delta > 0$, then in the first step the algorithm generates the 2-tuple $(1, 0)$ and the corresponding maximal $\max\{c_k(i_k)\} = c_1(1)$ is unique. However the second 2-tuple generated by the algorithm is $(2, 0)$ and it is not ϕ -balanced.

Now we expose the auxiliary lemmas given in [9].

Lemma 4.11. Let i_1, \dots, i_n be nonnegative integers. Suppose $h \in PC^l(X)$, where $l = \max\{i_k\}$ and that $h^{(j)}(x_k) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq i_k - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\int_V \phi(|h|) dx = \mathcal{O}(\max\{c_k(i_k)\}).$$

As a corollary of Lemma 3.1, we mention the following result.

Proposition 4.3. Let Λ be a family of uniformly bounded measurable subsets of the real line with measure 1. Let $P(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_mx^m$ be an arbitrary polynomial of degree m . Then there exists a constant M (depending on m) such that for all $P(x)$ and all $A \in \Lambda$,

$$\phi(|b_k|) \leq M \int_A \phi(|P(x)|) dx,$$

$$0 \leq k \leq m.$$

Instead of lemma 3.3, in [9] the authors prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.12. Given C , set a ϕ -balanced n -tuple (i_k) such that $m+1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$ and define $l = \max\{i_k\}$. If $f \in PC^l(X)$ and $\{g_V\}$ is a net of best ϕ -approximations, then there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $|V| > 0$,

$$\int_X \phi(|g_V|) dx \leq M.$$

Lemma 4.13. Given V and a ϕ -balanced n -tuple $\langle i_k \rangle$ such that $m+1 = \sum_{k=1}^n i_k$, define $l = \max\{i_k\}$. If $f \in PC^l(X)$ and $\{g_V\}$ is a net of best ϕ -approximations, then for each k

$$\phi(|(f - g_V)^{(j)}(x_k)| |V_k|^j) = \mathcal{O} \left(\max \left\{ \frac{c_l(i_l)}{|V_k|} \right\} \right),$$

$$0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1.$$

Using Lemma 4.13 and the definition of ϕ -balanced n -tuple it is obtained the main result in that context, which solve the best local approximation problem when the neighborhoods are ϕ -balanced.

Theorem 4.6. If $m+1$ is a ϕ -balanced integer with ϕ -balanced (i_k) and $f \in PC^l(X)$, ($l = \max\{i_k\}$), then the best local ϕ -approximation to f from Π^m is the unique $g \in \Pi^m$ defined by the $m+1$ interpolation conditions

$$f^{(j)}(x_k) = g^{(j)}(x_k),$$

$$0 \leq j \leq i_k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor M. Marano for his helpful comments about this survey.

References

- [1] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Function and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [2] C. Chui, D. Diamond and R. Raphael, On best data approximation, *Approx. Theory Appl.*, 1(1) (1984), 37–56.
- [3] S. Favier, Convergence of function averages in Orlicz spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 15 (1994), 263–278.
- [4] H. Cuenya and F. Levis, Pólya-type polynomial inequalities in L^p spaces and best local approximation, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 26(7-8) (2005), 813–827.
- [5] H. Cuenya, M. Lorenzo and C. Rodriguez, Weighted inequalities and applications to best local approximation in luxemburg norm, *Anal. Theory Appl.*, 20(3) (2004), 265–280.
- [6] H. Cuenya, M. Lorenzo and C. Rodriguez, A unified approach to certain problems of best local approximation, *Anal. Theory Appl.*, 23(2) (2007), 162–170.
- [7] M. Marano, *Mejor Aproximación Local*, Phd Thesis, Universidad Nacional de San Luis, (1986).
- [8] A. Alzamel and J. M. Wolfe, Interpolation and best L_p local approximation, *J. Approx. Theory*, 32 (1981), 96–102.
- [9] S. Favier and C. Ridolfi, Weighted best local approximation in orlicz spaces, *Anal. Theory Appl.*, 24(3) (2008), 225–236.
- [10] H. Cuenya and F. Zó, Best approximations on small regions. a general approach, In *Proceedings of the Second International School. Advanced Courses of Mathematical Analysis II*. Edited by M. V. Velasco and A. Rodriguez-Palacios, World Scientific, (2007), 193–213.
- [11] H. Cuenya, S. Favier, F. Levis and C. Ridolfi, Weighted best local $\|\cdot\|$ -approximation in Orlicz spaces, *Jaen J. Approx.*, 2(1) (2010), 113–127.
- [12] H. Cuenya, F. Levis, M. Marano and C. Ridolfi, Best local approximation in orlicz spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 32(11) (2011), 1127–1145.
- [13] H. Cuenya, F. Levis and C. Ridolfi, Pólya-type polynomial inequalities in Orlicz spaces and best local approximation, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 26 (2012).
- [14] R. Macías and F. Zó, Weighted best local L^p approximation, *J. Approx. Theory*, 42 (1984), 181–192.