

The Oscillation Inequality of Harmonic Functions on Post Critically Finite Self-Similar Sets

Donglei Tang* and Rui Hu

Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Audit University, Nanjing 211815, Jiangsu, China

Received 14 November 2016; Accepted (in revised version) 18 March 2017

Abstract. In this paper we establish the oscillation inequality of harmonic functions and Hölder estimate of the functions in the domain of the Laplacian on connected post critically finite (p.c.f.) self-similar sets.

Key Words: p.c.f. Self-similar sets, oscillation inequality, Hölder estimate, harmonic functions.

AMS Subject Classifications: 28A80

1 Introduction

Recently there are considerable interests in studying Hölder estimates of harmonic functions or functions in the domain of Dirichlet forms and the Laplacian on various fractal sets (see [1, 2, 4–10]). The results above can be used in the upper bound estimates of heat kernel, the transition density estimates, and spaces embedding.

In [6] Strichartz established the Hölder estimates of harmonic functions and the functions in the domain of the Laplacian on a class of Sierpinski gasket type sets with D_3 symmetry. In [9], the authors extended the results for harmonic functions on level n Sierpinski gaskets and n -gaskets.

Let $F_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ be contractive mappings, K is the unique nonempty compact set satisfying

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i K,$$

$V_0 = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is boundary of K with $n \leq N$, and f is continuous on K . The initial energy of K can be defined as

$$\varepsilon_0(f, f) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (f(p_i) - f(p_j))^2.$$

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* tdonglei@nau.edu.cn (D. L. Tang), hurui@nau.edu.cn (R. Hu)

In [4] Kigami showed that there existed the matrices A_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ satisfying $h|_{F_i V_0} = A_j h|_{V_0}$ if h is a harmonic function on K .

For any stochastic matrix $M = (M_{i,j})$, Hajnal [3] defined

$$\lambda(M) = 1 - \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1, j}, M_{i_2, j}\} \in [0, 1].$$

Let $A_i = (a_{jk}^i)_{n \times n}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ denote the harmonic extension matrices obtained by using Kigami's Theory (see [4] for details). Let $\lambda_i \triangleq \lambda(A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

In the present paper we have obtained the main results as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\text{Osc}(f, E)$ denote the difference between the maximum and minimum values of f on a set E . If a continuous function h is harmonic on a p.c.f. self-similar set K , then*

$$\text{Osc}(h, F_i K) \leq \lambda_i \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Osc}(h, F_w K) \leq \lambda_w \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K). \quad (1.2)$$

Where $\lambda_w = \lambda_{w_1} \cdots \lambda_{w_m}$ for the word $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$. Furthermore,

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq 2\lambda_w \cdot \|h\|_\infty, \quad \text{if } x, y \in F_w K. \quad (1.3)$$

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we show some basic facts about p.c.f. self-similar sets. In Section 3 we establish the oscillation inequality of harmonic functions and Hölder estimate of the function in the domain of the Laplacian on p.c.f. self-similar sets. In Appendix, we show that if there exists $M_{ij} = 1 \in M$ for a stochastic matrix $M = (M_{i,j})$, then $\delta(M) = \lambda(M)$.

2 Basic facts about p.c.f. self-similar sets

In this section we summarize some basic facts about p.c.f. self-similar sets from Kigami [4].

Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, and K is the unique nonempty compact set satisfying

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i K.$$

For $m \geq 0$, we define $\Sigma_m = S^m = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^m$ with $\Sigma_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and call \emptyset the empty word. Also, set

$$\Sigma_* = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \Sigma_m \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_\infty = S^\infty.$$

Denote the length of $w \in \Sigma_*$ by $|w|$ for $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$. For $k \leq n$, let $w|_k = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ denote the initial segment of w of length k .

For $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \Sigma_{*}$, we let $F_w = F_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{w_n}$ and $K_w = F_w(K)$.

Let F_i be a continuous injection from K to itself for any $i \in S$. Then $(K, S, \{F_i\}_{i \in S})$ is called a self-similar structure if there exists a continuous surjection $\pi: \Sigma_{\infty} \rightarrow K$, such that

$$F_i \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma_i \quad \text{for every } i \in S,$$

where $\sigma_i: \Sigma_{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\infty}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_i(w_1w_2w_3 \cdots) = iw_1w_2w_3 \cdots$$

for each $w_1w_2w_3 \cdots \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let σ be the shift map on Σ_{∞} defined as $\sigma(w_1w_2w_3 \cdots) = w_2w_3 \cdots$, and $(K, S, \{F_i\}_{i \in S})$ is a self-similar structure. We define

$$C_K = \bigcup_{i,j \in S, i \neq j} K_i \cap K_j, \quad C = \pi^{-1}(C_K), \quad P = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \sigma^n(C), \quad V_0 = \pi(P).$$

C is called the critical set and P is called the post critical set. $(K, S, \{F_i\}_{i \in S})$ is called to be post critically finite (p.c.f.) if and only if the post critical set P is a finite set.

Let p_j are fixed points of the mapping $F_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N, V_0 = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ with $n \leq N$,

$$V_{k+1} = \bigcup_{j \in S} F_j V_k \quad \text{and} \quad V_* = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} V_k.$$

We use a sequence of complete graphs G_0, G_1, \dots , with vertices $V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \cdots$ to approximate a p.c.f. fractal K . For $x, y \in V_m$ and $x \neq y$, if there exists a word $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ with length $|w| = m$ such that $x, y \in F_w V_0$, we call x, y have the edge relation, denoted by $x \sim_m y$.

Let $r = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N\}$ be the scaling factor on K .

The initial energy is defined by

$$\varepsilon_0(f, f) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (f(p_i) - f(p_j))^2. \tag{2.1}$$

On each graph G_m the energy ε_m is defined by

$$\varepsilon_m(f, f) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{r_i} \varepsilon_{m-1}(f \circ F_i, f \circ F_i) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1, \tag{2.2}$$

here f is a continuous function on K .

Proposition 2.1 (see [4]). (i) For any continuous function f on K , the sequence $\varepsilon_m(f, f)$ is monotone increasing, so

$$\varepsilon(f, f) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_m(f, f) \tag{2.3}$$

is well-defined in $[0, \infty]$, and $\varepsilon(f, f) = 0$ if and only if f is constant. The domain of the energy ε consists of continuous functions with finite energy.

(ii) A function h is said to be harmonic on K if it satisfies

$$\varepsilon(h, h) = \min_{u \in C(K)} \{\varepsilon(f, f) : f|_{V_0} = h|_{V_0}\}.$$

The space of harmonic functions is n -dimensional with $n = \#V_0$ and any harmonic function can be determined uniquely by its boundary values. If h is harmonic on K , and the values of h on $V_0 = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ are known, then all of the values $h(x)$ for $x \in V_m$ are desired as follow.

$$(h(F_w p_1) h(F_w p_2) \cdots h(F_w p_n))^T = A_w (h(p_1) h(p_2) \cdots h(p_n))^T, \quad (2.4)$$

here T means the transition of a matrix, $A_w = A_{w_m} \circ \cdots \circ A_{w_1}$ for a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$, and A_{w_i} is a stochastic matrix introduced by Kigami [4] for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

3 Main results

In this section we will show the oscillation inequality of harmonic functions and Hölder estimate of the functions in the domain of the Laplacian on connected p.c.f. self-similar sets.

For any stochastic matrix $M = (M_{i,j})$, Hajnal [3] defined two parameters λ and δ .

$$\lambda(M) := 1 - \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1, j}, M_{i_2, j}\} \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\delta(M) := \max_j \max_{i_1, i_2} |M_{i_1, j} - M_{i_2, j}| \in [0, 1].$$

Generally $\delta(M) \leq \lambda(M)$. One can prove that for a 2×2 or 3×3 stochastic matrix M , $\delta(M) = \lambda(M)$. It is known that (see [3])

$$\delta(M_1 M_2 \cdots M_k) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(M_i).$$

By Kigami's theory (see [4] for details), we can obtain the harmonic extension matrices $A_i = (a_{jk}^i)_{n \times n}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\delta_i \triangleq \delta(A_i)$, and $\lambda_i \triangleq \lambda(A_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\text{Osc}(f, E)$ denote the difference between the maximum and minimum values of f on a set E . If a continuous function h is harmonic on a p.c.f. self-similar set K , then*

$$\text{Osc}(h, F_i K) \leq \lambda_i \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Osc}(h, F_w K) \leq \lambda_w \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K). \quad (3.2)$$

Where $\lambda_w = \lambda_{w_1} \cdots \lambda_{w_m}$ for the word $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$. Furthermore,

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq 2\lambda_w \cdot \|h\|_\infty, \quad \text{if } x, y \in F_w K. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For a p.c.f. self-similar set K , suppose that h is a harmonic function on K with boundary values $h(p_1), h(p_2), \dots, h(p_n)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $h(p_1) \leq h(p_2) \leq \dots \leq h(p_n)$. Then $h \circ F_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ has boundary values

$$h(F_i p_1) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{1k}^i h(p_k), \quad h(F_i p_2) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{2k}^i h(p_k), \quad \dots, \quad h(F_i p_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^i h(p_k).$$

By the Maximum principle (see [4, Theorem 3.2.5 and Theorem 3.2.15]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Osc}(h, K) &= \max_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} |h(p_k) - h(p_j)| = |h(p_n) - h(p_1)|, \\ \text{Osc}(h, F_i K) &= \max_{1 \leq l < m \leq n} |h(F_i p_l) - h(F_i p_m)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the harmonic extension matrices $A_i = (a_{jk}^i)_{n \times n}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are stochastic matrices, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}^i = 1, \quad a_{jk}^i \geq 0,$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Without loss of generality, we only need to prove for $i = 1$. Note that A_1 is of the form as follows.

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $h(F_1 p_l) = a_{l1} h(p_1) + a_{l2} h(p_2) + \dots + a_{ln} h(p_n)$, $h(F_1 p_m) = a_{m1} h(p_1) + a_{m2} h(p_2) + \dots + a_{mn} h(p_n)$, so,

$$\begin{aligned} & h(F_1 p_l) - h(F_1 p_m) \\ &= [a_{l1} h(p_1) + a_{l2} h(p_2) + \dots + a_{ln} h(p_n)] - [a_{m1} h(p_1) + a_{m2} h(p_2) + \dots \\ & \quad + a_{mn} h(p_n)] \\ &= [a_{l1} h(p_1) + a_{l2} h(p_2) + \dots + (1 - a_{l1} - a_{l2} - \dots - a_{l(n-1)}) h(p_n)] - \\ & \quad - [a_{m1} h(p_1) + a_{m2} h(p_2) + \dots + (1 - a_{m1} - a_{m2} - \dots - a_{m(n-1)}) h(p_n)] \\ &= (a_{m1} - a_{l1}) [h(p_n) - h(p_1)] + (a_{m2} - a_{l2}) [h(p_n) - h(p_2)] + \dots \\ & \quad + (a_{m(n-1)} - a_{l(n-1)}) [h(p_n) - h(p_{n-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{i|a_{mi} \geq a_{li}\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, $\{i|a_{mi} < a_{li}\} = \{i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}, \dots, i_n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & h(F_1 p_l) - h(F_1 p_m) \\ & \leq (a_{mi_1} - a_{li_1})[h(p_n) - h(p_{i_1})] + (a_{mi_2} - a_{li_2})[h(p_n) - h(p_{i_2})] + \dots \\ & \quad + (a_{mi_k} - a_{li_k})[h(p_n) - h(p_{i_k})] \\ & \leq (a_{mi_1} - a_{li_1})[h(p_n) - h(p_1)] + (a_{mi_2} - a_{li_2})[h(p_n) - h(p_1)] + \dots \\ & \quad + (a_{mi_k} - a_{li_k})[h(p_n) - h(p_1)] \\ & = [(a_{mi_1} + a_{mi_2} + \dots + a_{mi_k}) - (a_{li_1} + a_{li_2} + \dots + a_{li_k})][h(p_n) - h(p_1)] \\ & = [1 - (a_{mi_{k+1}} + a_{mi_{k+2}} + \dots + a_{mi_n} + a_{li_1} + a_{li_2} + \dots + a_{li_k})][h(p_n) - h(p_1)] \\ & = [1 - \sum_j \min\{a_{lj}, a_{mj}\}][h(p_n) - h(p_1)] \\ & \leq [1 - \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{a_{i_1 j}, a_{i_2 j}\}][h(p_n) - h(p_1)] = \lambda_1 [h(p_n) - h(p_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$h(F_1 p_m) - h(F_1 p_l) \leq \lambda_1 \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K).$$

Thus

$$|h(F_1 p_l) - h(F_1 p_m)| \leq \lambda_1 \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K).$$

So

$$\text{Osc}(h, F_1 K) = \max_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} |h(F_1 p_j) - h(F_1 p_k)| \leq \lambda_1 \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Then (3.2) can be derived recursively.

By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| & \leq \max_{x, y \in F_w K} |h(x) - h(y)| = \text{Osc}(h, F_w K) \\ & \leq \lambda_w \cdot \text{Osc}(h, K) \leq \lambda_w \cdot 2 \|h\|_\infty = 2\lambda_w \cdot \|h\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we finished the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Remark 3.1. Note that for a stochastic matrix $M = (M_{ij})$, if there exists $m_{ij} = 1 \in M$, then $\delta(M) = \lambda(M)$. See the appendix for the proof. Note that for general n -gasket with $4 \nmid n$ with its boundary consisting of exactly n vertices, every harmonic extension matrix A_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ always has an element $a_{ii} = 1$. For level n Sierpinski gasket, the harmonic extension matrices are 3×3 matrices, so $\delta(M) = \lambda(M)$. This is just Theorem 4.1 in [9].

Theorem 3.2. Let μ be a self-similar measure. For every function f on $\text{dom}(\Delta_\mu)$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c\lambda_w, \quad \text{if } x, y \in F_w K, \tag{3.4}$$

where the constant c may be a multiple of $\|h\|_\infty$, and h is a harmonic function on K satisfying $h|_{V_0} = f|_{V_0}$.

Proof. Replacing r_w by λ_w in the proof of Theorem 8.4 in [6] will prove the result. □

Appendix

For a stochastic matrix $M = (M_{i,j})$, if there exists $M_{ij} = 1 \in M$, then $\delta(M) = \lambda(M)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $M_{11} = 1$. Then $M_{1j} = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

(1) When

$$\delta(M) = \max_{i_1, i_2} |M_{i_1, 1} - M_{i_2, 1}|.$$

There exists k such that

$$M_{k, 1} = \min_i \{M_{i, 1}\}.$$

Then

$$|M_{i_1, 1} - M_{i_2, 1}| \leq |M_{1, 1} - M_{k, 1}| = 1 - M_{k, 1},$$

so $1 - M_{k, 1} = \delta(M)$, $M_{k, 1} = 1 - \delta(M)$.

For any i_1, i_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &\leq \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &\leq \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &= \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ 1 - \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &= 1 - \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\} = \lambda(M), \\ \lambda(M) &= 1 - (1 - \delta(M)) = \delta(M). \end{aligned}$$

(2) When

$$\delta(M) = \max_{i_1, i_2} |M_{i_1, h} - M_{i_2, h}|, \quad h \neq 1.$$

There exists k such that

$$M_{k, h} = \max_i \{M_{i, h}\}.$$

Then

$$|M_{i_1, h} - M_{i_2, h}| \leq |M_{k, h} - M_{1, h}| = M_{k, h},$$

so $M_{k, h} = \delta(M)$.

If there exists i such that $M_{i, 1} < 1 - \delta(M)$, then

$$|M_{1, 1} - M_{i, 1}| = M_{1, 1} - M_{i, 1} > 1 - (1 - \delta(M)) = \delta(M),$$

which is contradict with the definition of $\delta(M)$. That means $M_{i, 1} \geq 1 - \delta(M)$. Since

$$1 = M_{k, 1} + M_{k, 2} + \dots + M_{k, n} \geq M_{k, 1} + M_{k, h} \geq 1 - \delta(M) + \delta(M) = 1,$$

thus $M_{k, 1} = 1 - \delta(M)$.

For any i_1, i_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &\leq \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &\leq \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &= \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\}, \\ 1 - \sum_j \min\{M_{1,j}, M_{k,j}\} &= 1 - \min_{i_1, i_2} \sum_j \min\{M_{i_1,j}, M_{i_2,j}\} = \lambda(M), \\ \lambda(M) &= 1 - (1 - \delta(M)) = \delta(M). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201232), and Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

References

- [1] M. Barlow, *Diffusion on Fractals*, Lecture Notes Math., vol. 1690, Springer, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [2] P. J. Fitzsimmons, B. M. Hambly and T. Kumagai, Transition density estimates for Brownian motion on affine nested fractals, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 165 (1994), 595–620.
- [3] J. Hajnal, Weak ergodicity in non-homogeneous Markov chain, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 54 (1958), 233–246.
- [4] J. Kigami, *Analysis on Fractals*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [5] T. Kumagai, Estimates of transition density for Brownian motion on nested fractals, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 96 (1993), 205–224.
- [6] R. S. Strichartz, Taylor approximations on Sierpinski gasket type fractals, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 174(1) (2000), 76–127.
- [7] R. S. Strichartz, Function Spaces on fractals, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 198 (2003), 43–83.
- [8] R. S. Strichartz, *Differential Equations on Fractals: A Tutorial*, Princeton University Press, 2006.
- [9] Donglei Tang, Rui Hu and Chunwei Pan, Hölder estimate of harmonic functions on a class of p.c.f. self-similar sets, *Anal. Theory Appl.*, 30(3) (2014), 296–305.
- [10] A. Teplyaev, Gradients on fractals, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 174 (2000), 128–154.