

## CONVERGENCE OF A CONSERVATIVE DIFFERENCE SCHEME FOR THE ZAKHAROV EQUATIONS IN TWO DIMENSIONS\*

B.L. Guo

(*Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing, China*)

Q.S. Chang

(*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China*)

### Abstract

A conservative difference scheme is presented for the initial-boundary-value problem of a generalized Zakharov equations. On the basis of a prior estimates in  $L_2$  norm, the convergence of the difference solution is proved in order  $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ . In the proof, a new skill is used to deal with the term of difference quotient  $(e_{j,k}^n)_t$ . This is necessary, since there is no estimate of  $E(x, y, t)$  in  $L_\infty$  norm.

### 1. Introduction

The Zakharov equations describe physical phenomena in Plasma<sup>[12]</sup>. The global existence of a weak solution for the Zakharov equations was considered by Sulem and Sulem in [11]. The existence and uniqueness of a smooth solution in one dimension are proved provided that smooth initial data are described. For small initial data, the existence of a weak solution for the Zakharov equations in two and three dimensions is obtained.

Numerical methods for the Zakharov equations in one dimension were considered in [1], [2], [4], [5] and [10]. A spectral method is used to compute solitary waves in [10]. In [4] and [5], Glassey considered an implicit difference scheme for the equations and proved its convergence in order  $O(h + \tau)$ . A new conservative difference scheme with a parameter  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  was presented in [2]. If  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , the new scheme is identical to Glassey's scheme. For  $\theta = 0$  the new scheme is semi-explicit. In [1], we considered this semi-explicit scheme for generalized Zakharov equations and improved method of proof to get convergence in order  $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ . Numerical experiments demonstrate that the new scheme with  $\theta = 0$  is more accurate and efficient.

In this paper we consider the following periodic initial-value problem in two dimensions:

$$iE_t + E_{xx} + E_{yy} - NE = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

$$N_{tt} - N_{xx} - N_{yy} = (|E|^2)_{xx}, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$E|_{t=0} = E_0(x, y), \quad N|_{t=0} = N_0(x, y), \quad N_t|_{t=0} = N_1(x, y), \quad (1.3)$$

$$E(x+1, y, t) = E(x, y, t), \quad E(x, y+1, t) = E(x, y, t)$$

---

\* Received February 10, 1995.

$$N(x+1, y, t) = N(x, y, t), \quad N(x, y+1, t) = E(x, y, t), \quad (1.4)$$

where a complex unknown function  $E$  is the slowly varying envelope of highly oscillatory electric field and a real unknown function  $N$  denotes the fluctuation in the ion-density about its equilibrium value,  $E_0(x, y), N_0(x, y)$  and  $N_1(x, y)$  are periodic functions,  $N_1(x, y)$  satisfies the compatibility condition:

$$\iint_{\Omega} N_1(x, y) dx dy = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The periodic initial-value problem (1.1)–(1.5) possesses two conservative quantities:

$$\|E\|_{L^2}^2 = \text{const.} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\|E_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|E_y\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|N\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_y\|_{L^2}^2) + \iint_{\Omega} N|E|^2 dx dy = \text{Const.}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the potential function  $u$  is given by

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = N_t. \quad (1.8)$$

Assume that  $E_0 \in H^1(\Omega), N_0 \in L_2(\Omega), N_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  and  $\|E_0\|_{L_2} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$ , then there exists a weak solution  $E \in L^\infty(R^+, H^1(\Omega)), N \in L^\infty(R^+, L^2(\Omega))$  for the problem (1.1)–(1.5) (see [12]).

We propose an implicit conservative difference scheme for the problem (1.1)–(1.5) in this paper. We will prove the convergence of the difference solution in order  $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ . In the proof, a new skill is used to deal with the term  $(e_{j,k}^n)_t$ . this is necessary, since there is no estimate of  $E(x, y, t)$  in  $L_\infty$  norm.

In section 2, we describe the difference scheme and its basic properties. Some prior estimates and proof of the convergence of the difference solution are given in Section 3.

## 2. Finite difference Scheme

In this section, the finite difference method for the problem (1.1)–(1.5) is considered. As usual, the following notations are used

$$\begin{aligned} h_x &= \frac{1}{J}, \quad h_y = \frac{1}{K}, \\ x_j &= jh_x, \quad y_k = kh_y, \quad t^n = n\tau, \\ E(j, k, n) &\equiv E(x_j, y_k, t^n), \quad N(j, k, n) \equiv N(x_j, y_k, t^n), \\ E_{j,k} &\sim E(j, k, n), \quad N_{j,k}^n \sim N(j, k, n), \\ (W_{j,k}^n)_x &= \frac{1}{h_x}(W_{j+1,k}^n - w_{j,k}^n), \quad (W_{j,k}^n)_{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{h_x}(W_{j,k}^n - W_{j-1,k}^n), \\ W_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}(W_{j,k}^{n+1} + W_{j,k}^n), \quad \|W^n\|_2^2 = h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K |W_{j,k}^n|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|W^n\|_\infty = \sup_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq J \\ 1 \leq k \leq K}} |W_{j,k}^n|,$$

and in this paper  $C$  denotes a general constant, which may have different values in different occurrences. Thus, the difference scheme for the Zakharov equations is given as

$$i(E_{j,k}^n)_t + ((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}) - N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq K, n = 0, 1, \dots, \left[ \frac{T}{\tau} \right], \tag{2.1}$$

$$(N_{j,k}^n)_{t\bar{t}} - \frac{1}{2}((N_{j,k}^{n+1})_{x\bar{x}} + (N_{j,k}^{n-1})_{x\bar{x}} + (N_{j,k}^{n+1})_{y\bar{y}} + (N_{j,k}^{n-1})_{y\bar{y}}) = (|E_{j,k}^n|^2)_{x\bar{x}} + (|E_{j,k}^n|^2)_{y\bar{y}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq K, n = 0, 1, \dots, \left[ \frac{T}{\tau} \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

The initial conditions are approximated as

$$E_{j,k}^0 = E^0(x_j, y_k), \quad N_{j,k}^0 = N^0(x_j, y_k), \tag{2.3}$$

$$N_{j,k}^1 = N_{j,k}^0 + \tau N_1(x_j, y_k). \tag{2.4}$$

The periodic conditions are given as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{j+J,k}^n &= E_{j,k}^n, & E_{j,k+K}^n &= E_{j,k}^n, \\ N_{j+J,k}^n &= N_{j,k}^n, & N_{j,k+K}^n &= N_{j,k}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We define the potential function  $u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  by

$$(u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}} = (N_{j,k}^n)_t, u_{0,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = u_{J,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = u_{j,0}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = u_{j,K}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

In computation,  $N_{j,k}^0, N_{j,k}^1, E_{j,k}^0$  are obtained from the initial conditions (2.3) and (2.4). Putting  $n = 0$  in (2.1),  $E_{j,k}^1$  is solved. Putting  $n = 1$  in (2.1) and solve for  $E_{j,k}^2$ , etc. We note that the scheme (2.1)–(2.5) is implicit, but the equations (2.2) are linear for  $N_{j,k}^{n+1}$ . The scheme (2.1) is also linear for  $E_{j,k}^{n+1}$  if  $N_{j,k}^{n+1}$  is known. In practical computation, we need only to solve two five-diagonal systems of equations in each step of time.

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $E_0(x, y) \in H^1(\Omega), N_0(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega), N_1(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega)$ . The difference problem (2.1)–(2.5) possesses the following invariants  $\|E^n\|_2^2 = \text{Const.}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} H_h^n &= \|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (\|N^n\|_2^2 + \|N^{n-1}\|_2^2) + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^n|^2 = \text{Const.} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Computing the inner product of (2.1) with  $\overline{(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n)}$  yields

$$i((E_{j,k}^n)_t, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}) + ((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n})$$

$$-(N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((E_{j,k}^n)_t, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}(E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n), \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}\right) = \frac{1}{\tau}(\|E^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|E^n\|_2^2), \\ ((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}) &= ((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}}, \overline{2E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}) + ((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}, \overline{2E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}) \\ &= -2((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_x, \overline{(E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_x}) - 2((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_y, \overline{(E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_y}) \\ &= -2(\|E_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2), \\ (N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n}) &= 2h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we take imaginary part for (2.7) and use the formulae derived above to get

$$\|E^{n+1}\|_2^2 = \|E^n\|_2^2 = \|E^0\|_2^2 = \text{Const.}$$

Computing the inner product of (2.1) with  $\tau(\overline{E_{j,k}^n})_t$  and taking real part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}((E_{j,k}^n)_t, \tau(\overline{E_{j,k}^n})_t) + \operatorname{Re}((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n}) \\ - \operatorname{Re}(N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Direct computation yields  $\operatorname{Im}((E_{j,k}^n)_t, \tau(\overline{E_{j,k}^n})_t) = \tau \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\|E_t^n\|_2^2) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n}) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n), \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} (|E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Summing by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (E_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}, \overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}((E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n)_x, \overline{(E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n)_x}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}((E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n)_y, \overline{(E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n)_y}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\|E_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|E_y^n\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|E_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|E_y^n\|_2^2 + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 \\ & - h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^n|^2 = h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{2} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N_{j,k}^{n+1} - N_{j,k}^{n-1}) |E_{j,k}^n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Computing the inner product of (2.2) with  $(u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$  and summing by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((N_{j,k}^n)_{t\bar{t}}, u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^{n-1}, (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}) \\ & = (|E_{j,k}^n|^2, (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & -((u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{t\bar{t}}, (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_x) - ((u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{t\bar{t}}, (u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})_y) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^{n-1}, (N_{j,k}^n)_t + (N_{j,k}^{n-1})_t) = (|E_{j,k}^n|^2, (N_{j,k}^n)_t + (N_{j,k}^{n-1})_t), \end{aligned}$$

where the definition of  $u_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  is used. Direct computation shows that this equation equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|u_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|u_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|u_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|N^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|N^{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ & = -h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N_{j,k}^{n+1} - N_{j,k}^{n-1}) |E_{j,k}^n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|E_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|u_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|u_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\|N^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|N^n\|_2^2) \\ & + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 = \|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|u_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|u_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} (\|N^n\|_2^2 + \|N^{n-1}\|_2^2) + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |E_{j,k}^n|^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $H_h^{n+1} = H_h^n = H_h^0 = \text{Const.}$

Comparing (1.6), (1.7) with invariants given in the Theorem 1, we know that the difference scheme (2.1), (2.2) keeps two conservative laws that the differential equations possess.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume the solutions of the differential problem (1.1)-(1.5),  $E(x, y, t) \in C^5(\Omega \times (0, T))$ ,  $N(x, y, t) \in C^5(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . Then the truncation errors of the difference scheme (2.1)-(2.2) are given as*

$$\begin{aligned}
 iE_t + E_{xx} + E_{yy} - NE &= -\frac{i\tau^2}{24}E_{ttt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{xxtt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{yytt} - \frac{h_x^2}{12}E_{xxxx} - \frac{h_y^2}{12}E_{yyyy} \\
 &+ \frac{\tau^2}{8}EN_{tt} + \frac{\tau^2}{8}NE_{tt} + O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{tt} - N_{xx} - N_{yy} - (|E|^2)_{xx} + (|E|^2)_{yy} &= \frac{i\tau^2}{12}N_{tttt} + \frac{\tau^2}{2}N_{xxtt} + \frac{\tau^2}{2}N_{yytt} \\
 &+ \frac{h_x^2}{12}N_{xxxx} + \frac{h_y^2}{12}N_{yyyy} + \frac{h_x^2}{12}(|E|^2)_{xxxx} + \frac{h_y^2}{12}(|E|^2)_{yyyy} + O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.12}$$

*Proof.* substituting the solutions of the differential problem (1.1)-(1.5) into the difference scheme and using Taylor’s expansion, we obtain the formulae (2.11) and (2.12).

### 3. Convergence of Difference Scheme

In this section, the convergence of the difference problem (2.1)-(2.5) is considered. We begin by defining the standard errors

$$e_{j,k}^n = E(j, k, n) - E_{j,k}^n \text{ and } \eta_{j,k}^n = N(j, k, n) - N_{j,k}^n.
 \tag{3.1}$$

Let 
$$(U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}} = (\eta_{j,k}^{n+1})_{\bar{t}}.$$

$$U_{o,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = U_{j,o}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = U_{j,K}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0.
 \tag{3.2}$$

Then error equations are deduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i(e_{j,k}^n)_t + ((e_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}) - \frac{1}{4}(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \\
 \cdot (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) + \frac{1}{4}(N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n) = R^e,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\eta_{j,k}^n)_{t\bar{t}} - \frac{1}{2}((\eta_{j,k}^{n+1})_{x\bar{x}} + (\eta_{j,k}^{n-1})_{x\bar{x}} + (\eta_{j,k}^{n+1})_{y\bar{y}} + (\eta_{j,k}^{n-1})_{y\bar{y}}) \\
 = (|E(j, k, n)|^2)_{x\bar{x}} + (|E(j, k, n)|^2)_{y\bar{y}} - (|E_{j,k}^n|^2)_{x\bar{x}} - (|E_{n,k}^n|^2)_{y\bar{y}} + R^n,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.4}$$

$$e_{j,k}^0 = 0, \quad \eta_{j,k}^0 = 0,
 \tag{3.5}$$

$$\eta_{j,k}^1 = O(\tau^2),
 \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$R^e = -\frac{i\tau^2}{14}E_{ttt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{xxtt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{yytt} - \frac{h_x^2}{12}E_{xxxx} - \frac{h_y^2}{12}E_{yyyy}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\tau^2}{8} EN_{tt} + \frac{\tau^2}{8} NE_{tt} + O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3), \tag{3.7e} \\
 R^\eta & = \frac{\tau^2}{12} N_{tttt} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} N_{xxtt} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} N_{yytt} + \frac{h_x^2}{12} N_{xxxx} + \frac{h_y^2}{12} N_{yyyy} \\
 & + \frac{h_x^2}{12} (|E|^2)_{xxxx} + \frac{h_y^2}{12} (|E|^2)_{yyyy} + O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3). \tag{3.7 \eta}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** (Sobolev estimate<sup>[3]</sup>) Suppose  $W \in L_q(R^n), D^m W \in L_q(R^n), D^m W \in L_r(R^n), 1 \leq q, r < \infty$ . Then for  $0 \leq j \leq m, \frac{j}{m} \alpha \leq 1$ , we have  $\|D^j W\|_{L_p} \leq C \|D^m W\|_{L_r}^\alpha \cdot \|W\|_{L_q}^{1-\alpha}$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + \alpha(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{n}) + (1 - \alpha)\frac{1}{q}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Assume that complex function  $u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) + iu_2(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y) = v_1(x, y) + iv_2(x, y)$ , where the  $u_1(x, y), u_2(x, y), v_1(x, y)$ , and  $v_2(x, y)$  are smooth real functions of compact support in  $R^2$ . Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |u|^2 |v|^2 dx dy & \leq (2 + \varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_2}^2 (\|v_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|v_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 & + \left(2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|v\|_{L_2}^2 (\|u_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 & + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L_2}^2 \|v\|_{L_2}^2 + \varepsilon (\|u_x\|_{L_2}^2 \|v_y\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_y\|_{L_2}^2 \|v_x\|_{L_2}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant.

*Proof.* First, we consider two real functions  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$ . Because of that equality

$$f(x, y) \cdot g(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^x (fg)_x dx = \int_{-\infty}^y (fg)_y dy,$$

we have  $\max_x |f(x, y) \cdot g(x, y)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_x g + f g_x| dx,$

and  $\max_y |f(x, y) \cdot g(x, y)| \leq \int_{g\infty}^{+\infty} |f_y g + f g_y| dy.$

Then using Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^2 g^2 dx dy & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \max_y |f \cdot g| dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \max_x |f \cdot g| dy \\
 & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_y g + f g_y| dx dy \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_x g + f g_x| dx dy \\
 & \leq (\|f_y\|_{L_2} \|g\|_{L_2} + \|f\|_{L_2} \|g_y\|_{L_2}) (\|f_x\|_{L_2} \|g\|_{L_2} + \|f\|_{L_2} \|g_x\|_{L_2}) \\
 & = \|g\|_{L_2}^2 \|f_x\|_{L_2} \|f_y\|_{L_2} + \|f_y\|_{L_2} \|g\|_{L_2} \|f\|_{L_2} \|g_x\|_{L_2} \\
 & \quad + \|f\|_{L_2} \|f_x\|_{L_2} \|g\|_{L_2} \|g_y\|_{L_2} + \|f\|_{L_2}^2 \|g_x\|_{L_2} \|g_y\|_{L_2} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L_2}^2 (\|f_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|f_y\|_{L_2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 (\|g_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|g_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|f_x\|_{L_2}^2\right) (\|g\|_{L_2}^2 + \|g_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|f_y\|_{L_2}^2\right) (\|g\|_{L_2}^2 + \|g_x\|_{L_2}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \|g\|_{L_2}^2 (\|f_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|f_y\|_{L_2}^2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\right) \|f\|_{L_2}^2 (\|g_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|g_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 \|g\|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} (\|f_x\|_{L_2}^2 \|g_y\|_{L_2}^2 + \|f_y\|_{L_2}^2 \|g_x\|_{L_2}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

While for the complex functions, it follows from the inequality derived above that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |u|^2 |v|^2 dx dy &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2) (|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2) dx dy \\
 &\leq (2 + \varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_2}^2 (\|v_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|v_y\|_{L_2}^2) + \left(2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|v\|_{L_2}^2 (\|u_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_y\|_{L_2}^2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L_2}^2 \|v\|_{L_2}^2 + \varepsilon (\|u_x\|_{L_2}^2 \|v_y\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_y\|_{L_2}^2 \|v_x\|_{L_2}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** Assume  $E_0(x, y) \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $N_0(x, y) \in L_2(\Omega)$ ,  $N_1(x, y) \in L_2(\Omega)$  and  $\|E^0\|_2 < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ . Then we have estimates:

$$\|E_x^n\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|E_y^n\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|u_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|N^n\|_2 \leq C.$$

*Proof.* First, we estimate that

$$\left| h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K |N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}|^2 + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K |E_{j,k}^n|^4.$$

Using Lemma 2 and interpolation formula<sup>[9]</sup>, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K N_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{j,k}^n|^2 \right| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|N^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + 8 \|E^n\|_2^2 \cdot (\|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^n\|_2^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \|N^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + 8 \|E^0\|_2^2 \cdot (\|E_x^n\|_2^2 + \|E_y^n\|_2^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from Theorem 1 and  $\|E_0\|_2 < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$  that

$$\|E_x^n\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|E_y^n\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|u_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|u_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \leq C, \quad \|N^n\|_2 \leq C.$$

**Theorem 3.** Assume the solution of the differential problem (1.1)–(1.5),  $E(x, y, t) \in C^5(\Omega \times (0, T))$ ,  $N(x, y, t) \in C^5(\Omega \times (0, T))$ , and the initial data  $E_0(x, y) \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $N_0(x, y) \in L_2(\Omega)$ ,  $N_1(x, y) \in L_2(\Omega)$ ;  $\|E_0\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\|E^0\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ . Then the solution of the difference equations (2.1)–(2.6) convergence to the solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.5) with order  $O(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)$  in  $L_2$  norm.

*Proof.* Computing the inner product of (3.3) with  $(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)$  and taking the imaginary part, we have

$$\frac{1}{\tau} (\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e^n\|_2^2) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Im}((N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n))(E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)))$$



$$- (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n), e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n + \text{Im}(R^e, e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$|(R^e, e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)| \leq C(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2 + \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}((N(j, kn + 1) + N(j, k, n))(E(k, k, n + 1) + E(j, k, n)) \\ & - (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n), e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) \\ & = \text{Im}((\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)(E(j, k, n + 1) + E(j, k, n)) + (N_{j,k}^{n+1} \\ & + N_{j,k}^n)(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n), \overline{e_{j,k}^{n+1}} + \overline{e_{j,k}^n}) \\ & = \text{Im}((\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)(E(j, k, n + 1) + E(j, k, n)), \overline{e_{j,k}^{n+1}} + \overline{e_{j,k}^n}), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\text{Im}((N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n), e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) = 0.$$

Thus, we use the formulae derived above in (3.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e^n\|_2^2 & \leq C\tau(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2 + (\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2) + \tau\|E(j, k, n + 1) \\ & + E(j, k, n)\|_{L^\infty} \cdot (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2 + \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2) \\ & \leq C\tau(\tau^2 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^2 + C\tau(\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2 + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $(x, y, t) \in C^5(\Omega \times (0, T))$  is used.

Computing the inner product of (3.4) with  $U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + U_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$  and summing by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|\eta^{n-1}\|_2^2) \\ & = -(|E(j, k, n)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n-1} - \eta_{j,k}^{n-1}) - \tau(R^\eta, U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + U_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|\eta^{n-1}\|_2^2) \\ & + (|E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) - (|E(j, k, n)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2, \eta_{j,k}^n + \eta_{j,k}^{n-1}) \\ & = P_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau(R^\eta, U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + U_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$P_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (|E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 - |E(j, k, n)|^2 + |E_{j,k}^n|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^{n-1}).$$

Computing the inner product of (3.3) with  $\tau(e_{j,k}^n)_t$  and taking real part, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e_x^n\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e_y^n\|_2^2) = \frac{1}{4}P_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau \text{Re}(R^e, (e_{j,k}^n)_t), \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$P_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = -\operatorname{Re}((N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n))(E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) \\ - (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n), e_{j,k}^{n+1} - e_{j,k}^n).$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$2(\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e_x^n\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|e_y^n\|_2^2) + \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 - \|U_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 \\ + \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 - \|\eta^{n-1}\|_2^2) + (|E(j, k, n+1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) \\ - (|E(j, k, n)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2, \eta_{j,k}^n + \eta_{j,k}^{n-1}) \\ = -\tau((R^n, U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + U_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) - 4\tau(R^e, (\overline{e_{j,k}^n})_t) + P_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + P_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (3.12)$$

Using direct computation, we have

$$P_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + P_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = -\operatorname{Re}\left\{h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \right. \\ \cdot (|E(j, k, n+1)|^2 - |E(j, k, n)|^2) - (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \\ \cdot (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n))(\overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1}} - \overline{E_{j,k}^n}) \\ \left. - (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n) \cdot \overline{(E(j, k, n+1) - E(j, k, n))} \right. \\ \left. + (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(|E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 - |E_{j,k}^n|^2) \right\} \\ + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [|E(j, k, n+1)|^2 - |E(j, k, n)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 + |E_{j,k}^n|^2] \\ \cdot [N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n) - N_{j,k}^{n+1} - N_{j,k}^n] \\ = \operatorname{Re}\left\{h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n))(\overline{E_{j,k}^{n+1}} - \overline{E_{j,k}^n}) \right. \\ \left. - (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)\overline{(E(j, k, n+1) - E(j, k, n))}] \right. \\ \left. \cdot (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n) - E_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_{j,k}^n) \right\} \\ = \operatorname{Re}\left\{h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K \overline{(E(j, k, n+1) - E(j, k, n))}(\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) \right. \\ \left. - \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} - e_{j,k}^n)}(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n))\right\}(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n). \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from  $E(x, y, t) \in C^5$  that

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \left[ h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K \overline{(E(j, k, n+1) - E(j, k, n))}(\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) \right] \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\tau \left| \operatorname{Re} \left[ h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) (e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) \right] \right| \\ &\leq C\tau (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2 + \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Using the error equation (3.3) and  $E(x, y, t) \in C^5, N(x, y, t) \in C^5$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) (e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} - e_{j,k}^n)} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \tau \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \overline{e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot i \left[ \frac{1}{2} ((e_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{y\bar{y}}) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{4} (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n) (E_{j,k}^{n+1} + E_{j,k}^n) + R^e \right] \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \tau \operatorname{Im} \left\{ h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{4} ((e_{j,k}^{n+1})_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^n)_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^{n+1})_{y\bar{y}} + (e_{j,k}^n)_{y\bar{y}}) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} (N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n) (e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) + R^e \right] \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{\tau}{4} \left| \left\{ -h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \overline{e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n}]_x (e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)_x \right. \right. \\ &\quad - h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [(N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)}]_y (e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)_y \\ &\quad + h_x h_y \sum_{j+1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N(j, k, n+1) \\ &\quad + N(j, k, n)) \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)} (\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) (E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (N(j, k, n+1) + N(j, k, n)) \overline{(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n)} \cdot 4R^e \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C\tau (\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_x^n\|_2^2 + \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2 + (h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Using the formulae (3.7e), (3.7η) and the error equation (3.3), we have

$$|(R^n, U_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + U_{j,k}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})| \leq C(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + C(\|U^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 \\ &\quad + C(\|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where the formula (3.2) are used,

$$\begin{aligned} |(R^e, (e_{j,k}^n)_t)| &= \left| -\frac{i\tau^2}{24}E_{ttt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{xxtt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{yytt} - \frac{h_x^2}{12}E_{xxxx} - \frac{h_y^2}{12}E_{yyyy} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\tau^2}{8}EN_{tt} + \frac{\tau^2}{8}NE_{tt} + O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3), (e_{j,k}^n)_t \right| \\ &= \left| \left( -\frac{i\tau^2}{24}E_{ttt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{xxtt} - \frac{\tau^2}{8}E_{yytt} - \frac{h_x^2}{12}E_{xxxx} - \frac{h_y^2}{12}E_{yyyy} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\tau^2}{8}EN_{tt} + \frac{\tau^2}{8}NE_{tt}, -\frac{1}{4}((e_{j,k}^{n+1})_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^n)_{x\bar{x}} + (e_{j,k}^{n+1})_{y\bar{y}} + (e_{j,k}^n)_{y\bar{y}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4}(\eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n))(E(j, k, n+1) + E(j, k, n)) + \frac{1}{4}(N_{j,k}^{n+1} + N_{j,k}^n)(e_{j,k}^{n+1} + e_{j,k}^n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2) \right) + (O(h_x^3 + h_y^3 + \tau^3), \tau(e_{j,k}^{n+1} - e_{j,k}^n)) \left| \right. \\ &\leq C(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + C(\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_x^n\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Substituting (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) and (3.12) yields

$$L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq L^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + C\tau(h_x^2 + h_y^2\tau^2)^2 + C\tau G^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= 2(\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2) + \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta^n\|_2^2 + (|E(j, k, n+1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n\|_2^2 + \|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_x^n\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.9) by  $C_\varepsilon$  and summing it with (3.18), we have

$$L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \leq L^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon\|e^n\|_2^2 + C\tau(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + C\tau G^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the constant  $C_\varepsilon$  will be chosen later.

Thus, it is easy to get that

$$L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \leq L^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \sum_{l=0}^n G^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C(h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + C\tau \sum_{l=0}^n G^{l+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.20}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Schwarz' inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(|E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) \\ & + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (|E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 \\ & + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K [\text{Re}((E(j, k, n + 1) + E_{j,k}^{n+1}) + E_{j,k}^{n+1} \overline{e_{j,k}^{n+1}})]^2 \\ & \leq (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) + h_x h_y \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K |E(j, k, n + 1) + E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2 |e_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2 and interpolation formula [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)| = |(E(j, k, n + 1) + E_{j,k}^{n+1})e_{j,k}^{n+1}, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) \\ & + \left(2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 (\|E_x(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 \\ & + \|E_y(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 + \|E_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2) \\ & + (2 + \varepsilon) (\|E(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 + \|E^{n+1}\|_2^2) (\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2) \\ & + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 (\|E(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 + \|E^{n+1}\|_2^2) \\ & + \varepsilon \|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 (\|E_y(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 + \|E_y^{n+1}\|_2^2) \\ & + \varepsilon \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 (\|E_x(\cdot, \cdot, n + 1)\|_2^2 + \|E_x^{n+1}\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing the  $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{8C_0 + 1}$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(|E(j, k, n + 1)|^2 - |E_{j,k}^{n+1}|^2, \eta_{j,k}^{n+1} + \eta_{j,k}^n)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) + \left(8C_0 + \frac{8C_0}{\varepsilon}\right) \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \\ & + [(2 + \varepsilon)(\|E(\cdot, \cdot, 0)\|_2^2 + \|E^0\|_2^2) + 2C_0\varepsilon] (\|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) + (12C_0 + 32C_0^2) \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where  $\|E_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\|E^0\| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$  are used, and  $C_0 \geq 1$  is a constant such that  $\|E_x(\cdot, \cdot, n)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq c_0$ ,  $\|E_y(\cdot, \cdot, n)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq C_0$  and  $\|E_y^n\|_{L_2}^2 \leq C_0$ . Choosing  $C_\varepsilon = 12C_0 + 32C_0^2 + 1$ , we obtain

$$L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \geq \|e_x^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|e_y^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|U_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 + \|U_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\eta^n\|_2^2 + \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + L^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \|e^n\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{C} G^{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.22)$$

It follows from (3.20) and (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C(L^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + L^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon \|e^n\|_2^2) \\ &\leq 2C^2 \left( (h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + \tau \sum_{l=0}^n G^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$G^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq C^2 \left( (h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2 + C\tau \sum_{l=0}^n G^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Using discrete Gronwall's inequality [7], we obtain

$$G^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq C^2 (h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \tau^2)^2, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \frac{T}{\tau}.$$

This completes the proof.

### References

- [1] Q. Chang, B.L. Guo and H. Jiang, Finite Difference Method For A Generalized Zakharov Equations (to appear).
- [2] Q. Chang and H. Jiang, A Conservative Difference Scheme for the Zakharov Equations (to appear).
- [3] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, Holt, New York, 1969
- [4] R. Glassey, Convergence of an energy-preserving scheme for the Zakharov equations in one space dimension, *Math. of Comput.*, 58(1992), 83–102.
- [5] R. Glassey, Approximate solutions to the Zakharov equation via finite differences, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 100(1992), 377–383.
- [6] A. Ladyzhenskaya, The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow, 2nd ed., Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [7] M. Lees, Approximate solution of parabolic equations, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 7(1959), 167–183.
- [8] J.C. Lopez-Marcos and J.M. Sanz-Serna, Stability and convergence in numerical analysis III: linear investigation of nonlinear stability, *IMA J. of Numerical Analysis*, 8(1988) 71–84.
- [9] A. Menikoff, The existence of unbounded solutions of the KdV equation, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 25(1972), 407–432.
- [10] G.L. Payne, D.R. Nicholson and R.M. Downie, Numerical solution of the Zakharov equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 50(1983), 482–948.
- [11] C. Sulem and P.L. Sulem, Regularity properties for the equations of Langmuir turbulence, *C.R. Acad. Sci paris Sér. A Math.*, 289(1979), 173–176.
- [12] V.E. Zakharov, Collapse of langmuir waves, *Soviet Phys. JETP*, 35(1972), 908–912.