

D-CONVERGENCE AND STABILITY OF A CLASS OF LINEAR MULTISTEP METHODS FOR NONLINEAR DDES^{*1)}

Cheng-jian Zhang Xiao-xin Liao

(Department of Mathematics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China)

Abstract

This paper deals with the error behaviour and the stability analysis of a class of linear multistep methods with the Lagrangian interpolation (LMLMs) as applied to the nonlinear delay differential equations (DDEs). It is shown that a LMLM is generally stable with respect to the problem of class $D_{\sigma, \gamma}$, and a p-order linear multistep method together with a q-order Lagrangian interpolation leads to a D-convergent LMLM of order $\min\{p, q + 1\}$.

Key words: D-Convergence, Stability, Multistep methods, Nonlinear DDEs.

1. Introduction

Consider the following nonlinear delay problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau)), & t \in [t_0, T], & (1.1a) \\ y(t) = \varphi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0], & (1.1b) \end{cases}$$

where $y : R \rightarrow C^N$, $\tau > 0$ is a delay term, $f : [t_0, T] \times C^N \times C^N \rightarrow C^N$ and $\varphi(t) : [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \rightarrow C^N$ denotes a given initial function. Throughout this paper, the problem (1.1) is supposed to have a unique solution $y(t)$, which satisfies

$$\|y^{(i)}(t)\| \leq M_i, \quad t \in [t_0 - \tau, T]$$

here norm $\|\bullet\|$ is defined by $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ ($\forall x \in C^N$), and $M_i > 0$ are some constants.

Definition 1.1.^[1] *The class of all delay problems of the form (1.1) with*

$$\begin{cases} Re \langle u - v, f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, v, \tilde{u}) \rangle \leq \sigma \|u - v\|^2 & (1.2) \\ \|f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, u, \tilde{v})\| \leq \gamma \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|, & (1.3) \\ \text{where } t \in [t_0, T], u, \tilde{u}, v, \tilde{v} \in C^N, \text{ and constants } \sigma, \gamma \text{ satisfy} \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq -\sigma \end{cases}$$

is denoted by $D_{\sigma, \gamma}$.

* Received April 27, 1997.

¹⁾This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.69974018) and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China.

The following proposition on stability of the problem (1.1) can be inferred directly by a result of L. Torelli ^[1].

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose the problem (1.1) belongs to the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$. Then for any two solutions $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ of the equation (1.1a) we have*

$$\|y(t) - z(t)\| \leq \max_{x \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]} \|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)\|,$$

where $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are the two initial functions corresponding to the solutions $y(t), z(t)$.

Moreover, it is remarkable that H.J.Tian and J.X.Kuang ^[2] gave a Theorem on asymptotic stability of (1.1) with an adaptation to the conditions (1.2)–(1.3).

So far, a lot of results on nonlinear stability and convergence of the numerical solutions of DDEs have been obtained (cf.[1–7]). However, these results were achieved under the classical Lipschitz condition except those of the paper [1, 6, 7], which deal only with Runge-Kutta methods. In view of what above, we study convergence and stability of a class of variable-coefficient LMLMs for the problem of class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$. and present some significant results in this paper.

2. The Methods and the Basic Lemmas

Consider variable-coefficient LMLMs (cf.[8]) for (1.1)

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i [y_{n+i} - h\beta_i f(t_{n+i}, y_{n+i}, y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau))] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where k is a positive integer; $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $(N + k)h \leq T - t_0, h > 0$ is a stepsize independent of n ; the coefficients α_i, β_i are real-valued functions of h and there exists a constant $h_1 > 0$ such that for $h \in (0, h_1]$,

$$\alpha_k = 1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 0, \quad \max_{i \in I_0} \alpha_i \leq 0, \quad \max_{i \in I_0} |\beta_i| \leq \beta_k < \beta, \quad (2.2)$$

where $I_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}, \beta > 0$ is a constant; $y_{n+i}, y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) \in C^N$ are approximations to $y(t_{n+i})$ and $y(t_{n+i} - \tau)$ respectively, and $y^h(\bullet)$ is determined by Lagrangian interpolation

$$y^h(t_m + \delta h) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=-r}^s L_j(\delta) y_{m+j}, & t_0 < t_m + \delta h \leq T, \\ \varphi(t_m + \delta h), & t_0 - \tau \leq t_m + \delta h \leq t_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\delta \in [0, 1), r, s$ are positive integers, $t_m = t_0 + mh$ (m denotes a integer) and

$$L_j(\delta) = \prod_{\substack{l=-r \\ l \neq j}}^s \left(\frac{\delta - l}{j - l} \right)$$

Refer to the paper [8 – 10], we introduce a nonnegative function, using the mapping f , for any $u, \tilde{u}, v, \tilde{v} \in C^N, t \in [t_0 - \tau, T]$ and $\lambda \in R$:

$$G_{u,v,\tilde{u},t,f}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \| u - v - \lambda[f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, v, \tilde{u})] \|, & t \in [t_0, T], \\ 0, & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

For convenience, the $G_{u,v,\tilde{u},t,f}(\lambda)$ will be noted by $G(\lambda)$, and the following notations will be adopted:

$$\begin{cases} G_n(\lambda) = G_{y_n, y(t_n), y^h(t_n - \tau), t_n, f}(\lambda), \\ \tilde{G}_n(\lambda) = G_{y_n, \tilde{y}_n, y^h(t_n - \tau), t_n, f}(\lambda), \\ \hat{G}_n(\lambda) = G_{\tilde{y}_n, y(t_n), y^h(t_n - \tau), t_n, f}(\lambda), \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$ denotes the solution sequence of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{y}_{n+k} - h\beta_k f(t_{n+k}, \tilde{y}_{n+k}, y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau)) \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i [y(t_{n+i}) - h\beta_i f(t_{n+i}, y(t_{n+i}), y(t_{n+i} - \tau))]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose the mapping f satisfies (1.2). Then for any $a, b \in R$ with $|b| \leq a$, it follows*

$$G(b) \leq G(a)$$

Proof. In terms of the definition of function $G(\bullet)$, we need only to prove the case of $t \in [t_0, T]$. When $t \in [t_0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G &:= G^2(a) - G^2(b) \\ &= 2(b - a) \operatorname{Re} \langle u - v, f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, v, \tilde{u}) \rangle \\ &\quad + (a^2 - b^2) \| f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, v, \tilde{u}) \|^2 \\ &\geq 2(b - a)\sigma \| u - v \|^2 + (a^2 - b^2) \| f(t, u, \tilde{u}) - f(t, v, \tilde{u}) \|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $G(b) \leq G(a)$

Lemma 2.2. *For q -order ($q = r + s$) interpolation scheme (2.3), we have the estimation of global error*

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{i=0 \sim k} \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ & \leq \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=-r}^s |L_j(\delta)| \max_{i \in I_1} G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + \hat{M}_1 h_{q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = (m - \delta)h, m (\geq s + 1)$ is a positive integer, $\delta \in [0, 1], I_1 = \{i \in Z \mid -(m+r) \leq i \leq k - 1, Z \text{ denotes the set of integers}\}$ and $\hat{M}_1 = \frac{M_{q+1}}{(q+1)!} \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \prod_{j=-r}^s |\delta - j|$.

Proof. With the error formula of Lagrange interpolation, we have

$$\|y(t_{n+i} - \tau) - \hat{y}(t_{n+i} - \tau)\| \leq \frac{M_{q+1}}{(q+1)!} h^{q+1} \prod_{j=-r}^s |\delta - j|.$$

where $\hat{y}(t_{n+i} - \tau) = \sum_{j=-r}^s L_j(\delta)y(t_{n+i-m+j})$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ & \leq \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - \hat{y}(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| + \| \hat{y}(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=-r}^s |L_j(\delta)| G_{n+i-m+j}(0) + \hat{M}_1 h^{q+1} \\ & \leq \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=-r}^s |L_j(\delta)| \max_{j=-r \sim s} G_{n+i-m+j}(h\beta_k) + \hat{M}_1 h^{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we get

$$\max_{i=0 \sim k} \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \leq \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=-r}^s |L_j(\delta)| \max_{i \in I_1} G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + \hat{M}_1 h^{q+1}.$$

3. Analysis of Convergence and stability

In this section, we set to study the convergence and stability of the method (2.1)–(2.2) for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$. At first, we introduce a new convergence concept.

Definition 3.1. A LMLM (2.1) – (2.3) with $y_i = y(t_i)$ ($i = 0 \sim k - 1$) is called *D-convergent of order Q* for the problem of class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$ if this method produces an approximation sequence $\{y_n\}$ and the global error satisfies

$$\| y(t_n) - y_n \| \leq C(t_n)h^Q, h \in (0, h_0], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the maximum stepsize depends only on the methods; the function $C(t)$ depends only on the methods, delay τ , characteristic parameter σ, γ and bounds M_i of some derivatives $y^{(i)}(t)$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose the method (2.1) – (2.2) has the classical consistency order p and the interpolation scheme (2.3) is of order q . Then, when the method (2.1) – (2.3) applied to the problem (1.1) of class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$, this method is *D-convergent of order $\min\{p, q + 1\}$* .

Proof. Since the method (2.1) – (2.3) has the classical consistency order p , there exists a constant $h_2 > 0$, which depends only on the method, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i [y_{n+i} - h\beta_i y'(t_{n+i})] \leq \hat{M}_2 h^{p+1}, \quad h \in (0, h_2], \quad (3.1)$$

where \hat{M}_2 depends only on the method and bounds M_i of some derivatives $y^{(i)}(t)$.

In terms of Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\| y_{n+k} - y(t_{n+k}) \| = G_{n+k}(0) \leq G_{n+k}(h\beta_k). \quad (3.2)$$

Whereas

$$G_{n+k}(h\beta_k) \leq \tilde{G}_{n+k}(h\beta_k) + \hat{G}_{n+k}(h\beta_k). \tag{3.3}$$

Further, it follows from (2.5), (2.6), (1.3), (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{n+k}(h\beta_k) &= \| y_{n+k} - \tilde{y}_{n+k} - h\beta_k [f(t_{n+k}, y_{n+k}, y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(t_{n+k}, \tilde{y}_{n+k}, y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau))] \| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i | \| y_{n+i} - y(t_{n+i}) - h\beta_i [f(t_{n+i}, y_{n+i}, y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(t_{n+i}, y(t_{n+i}), y(t_{n+i} - \tau))] \| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i | G_{n+i}(h\beta_i) + h \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i \beta_i | \| f(t_{n+i}, y(t_{n+i} - \tau), y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(t_{n+i}, y(t_{n+i}), y(t_{n+i} - \tau)) \| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i | G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + h\beta\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i | \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ &\leq \max_{i \in I_0} G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + h\beta\gamma \max_{i \in I_0} \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \|, h \in (0, h_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, putting $h_0 = \min\{h_1, h_2\}$, by (2.5), (2.6), (3.1), and (2.2) we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_{n+k}(h\beta_k) &= \| \tilde{y}_{n+k} - y(t_{n+k}) - h\beta_k [f(t_{n+k}, \tilde{y}_{n+k}, y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(t_{n+k}, y(t_{n+k}), y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau))] \| \\ &\leq \| \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i [y(t_{n+i}) - h\beta_i y'(t_{n+i})] \| + \\ &\quad \| h\beta_k [f(t_{n+k}, y(t_{n+k}), y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau)) - f(t_{n+k}, y(t_{n+k}), y(t_{n+k} - \tau))] \| \\ &\leq \hat{M}_2 h^{p+1} + h\beta\gamma \| y^h(t_{n+k} - \tau) - y(t_{n+k} - \tau) \|, h \in (0, h_0]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

A combination of (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+k}(h\beta_k) &\leq h\beta\gamma \max_{i=0 \sim k} \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - y(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ &\quad + \max_{i \in I_0} G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + \hat{M}_2 h^{p+1}, h \in (0, h_0] \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, with Lemma 2.2 we can conclude that

$$\| G_{n+k}(h\beta_k) \| \leq (1 + Mh) \max_{i \in I_1} G_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + \Gamma h^{\min\{p, q+1\}+1}, h \in (0, h_0] \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$M = \beta\gamma \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=-r}^s | L_j(\delta) |,$$

$$\Gamma = \begin{cases} \beta\gamma\hat{M}_1h_0^{q+1-p} + \hat{M}_2, & p \leq q + 1, \\ \beta\gamma\hat{M}_1 + \hat{M}_2h_0^{p-q-1}. & p \geq q + 1. \end{cases}$$

In view of $1 + Mh > 1$, using the second induction to (3.7), we obtain

$$\| G_{n+k}(h\beta_k) \| \leq (1 + Mh)^{n+1} [\max_{i \in I_0} G_i(h\beta_k) + (n + 1)\Gamma h^{\min\{p,q+1\}+1}],$$

$$h \in (0, h_0] \tag{3.8}$$

From (2.4) and Definition 3.1 it yields that $G_i(h\beta_k) = 0$ whenever $i \in I_1$. Hence, combining(3.2) with (3.8) leads to

$$\| y_{n+k} - y(t_{n+k}) \| \leq (1 + Mh)^{n+1} (n + 1)\Gamma h^{\min\{p,q+1\}+1}, h \in (0, h_0].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \| y_n - y(t_n) \| &\leq \Gamma(1 + Mh)^n (nh)h^{\min\{p,q+1\}} \\ &\leq \Gamma e^{Mnh} (nh)h^{\min\{p,q+1\}} \\ &= C(t_n)h^{\min\{p,q+1\}}, \quad h \in (0, h_0]. \end{aligned}$$

where $C(t) = \Gamma e^{M(t-t_0)}(t - t_0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

In the following, we further present a result on generally stability of the method (2.1) – (2.3).

Theorem 3.2. *A LMLM (2.1) – (2.3) is generally stable with respect to the problem (1.1) of class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$.*

Proof. Let $\{y_{n+k}\}$ and $\{z_{n+k}\}$ be two solution sequences of the method (2.1) – (2.3) for (1.1a) with the different initial functions $\varphi(t), \psi(t)$ respectively. Moreover, we also write $H_n(\lambda) = G_{y_n, z_n, y^h(t_n - \tau), t, f}(\lambda)$

$$\| y_{n+k} - z_{n+k} \| = H_{n+k}(0) \leq H_{n+k}(h\beta_k). \tag{3.9}$$

Whereas, according to (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (1.3) and Lemma 2.1 it yields

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+k}(h\beta_k) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} | \alpha_i | H_{n+i}(h\beta_i) + h | \beta_i | \| f(t_{n+i}, z_{n+i}, y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau)) \\ &\quad - f(t_{n+i}, z_{n+i}, z^h(t_{n+i} - \tau)) \| \\ &\leq \max_{i \in I_0} H_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + h\beta\gamma \max_{i \in I_0} \| y^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) - z^h(t_{n+i} - \tau) \| \\ &\leq \max_{i \in I_0} H_{n+i}(h\beta_k) + h\beta\gamma \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=-r}^s | L_j(\delta) | \max_{i \in I_0} H_{n+i}(0) \\ &\leq (1 + Mh) \max_{i \in I_1} H_{n+i}(h\beta_k), \quad h \in (0, h_1], \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$M = \beta\gamma \sup_{\delta \in [0,1]} | L_j(\delta) |.$$

Furthermore, with the second induction to (3.10) we get

$$H_{n+k}(h\beta_k) \leq (1 + Mh)^{n+1} [\max_{i \in I_1} H_i(h\beta_k)], \quad h \in (0, h_1] \tag{3.11}$$

From the definition of $H_i(\lambda)$ we can know that $H_i(\lambda) = 0$ whenever $i < 0$. So, a combination of (3.9), (3.11) and (2.2) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+k} - z_{n+k}\| &\leq (1 + Mh)^{n+1} [\max_{i \in I_0} H_i(h\beta_k)] \\ &\leq e^{M(n+1)h} \max_{i \in I_0} H_i(h_1\beta) \\ &\leq e^{M(T-t_0)} \max_{i \in I_0} H_i(h_1\beta), \quad h \in (0, h_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

which implies the method (2.1) – (2.3) is generally stable for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$.

4. Some Examples

As the application of Theorem 3.1, 3.2, for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$, we consider the following method with the linear interpolation of order one (*i.e.* $r = 0, s = 1$ in (2.3))

$$y^h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t - (t_0 + nh)}{h} y_{n+1} + \frac{t_0 + (n + 1)h - t}{h} y_n, & t_0 + nh \leq t \leq t_0 + (n + 1)h, \\ \varphi(t), & t_0 - \tau \leq t \leq t_0. \end{cases} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.1}$$

Method I

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \tan\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) [f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y^h(t_{n+1} - \tau)) + f(t_n, y_n, y^h(t_n - \tau))], \tag{4.2}$$

which is of order two. Contrast to the method (2.1), $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_0 = -1, \beta_1 = \frac{1}{h} \tan\left(\frac{h}{2}\right), \beta_0 = -\frac{1}{h} \tan\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)$. It is easy to verify that this method satisfies condition (2.2). Thus, by Theorem 3.1, 3.2 we know that the method (4.1) – (4.2) is D-convergent of order two and generally stable for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$.

Method II

$$y_{n+2} - \frac{1}{2}y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}y_n = h \left[\frac{5}{2} f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y^h(t_{n+2} - \tau)) - f(t_n, y_n, y^h(t_n - \tau)) \right], \tag{4.3}$$

which is of order one and conform to condition (2.2). With Theorem 3.1, 3.2 we infer that this method is D-convergent of order one and generally stable for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$.

Method III

$$y_{n+2} - (1 - h^2)y_{n+1} - h^2y_n = \frac{1}{2} [(\exp(h) - 1)f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y^h(t_{n+2} - \tau)) + (1 - \exp(-h))f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y^h(t_{n+1} - \tau))], \tag{4.4}$$

where, contrast to the method (2.1), $\alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 1 - h^2, \alpha_0 = h^2, \beta_2 = \frac{\exp(h)-1}{2h}, \beta_1 = \frac{1-\exp(-h)}{2h(1-h^2)}$ and $\beta_0 = 0$. It is easy to testify that this method satisfies condition (2.2). Therefore, in terms of Theorem 3.1, 3.2 we conclude that this method is D-convergent of order two and generally stable for the class $D_{\sigma,\gamma}$.

References

- [1] L. Torelli, Stability of numerical methods for delay differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **25** (1989), 15-26.
- [2] H.J. Tian, J.X. Kuang, The stability analysis of the θ -methods for delay differential equations, *J. Comput. Math.*, **14:3** (1996), 203-212.
- [3] L. Tavernini, Linear multistep methods for the numerical solution of volterra functional differential equations, *Applicable Applicable Analysis*, **1** (1973), 169-185.
- [4] Z. Jackiewicz, Convergence of multistep methods for Volterra functional differential equations, *Numer. Math.*, **32** (1979), 307-332.
- [5] S.F. Li, M.H. Yi, (A,B,D)-methods for Volterra functional differential equations, *Numer. Math. (A J. Chinese Univ.)*, **13:3** (1991), 221-236.
- [6] A. Bellen, M. Zennaro, Strong contractivity properties of numerical methods for ordinary and delay differential equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **9** (1992), 321-346
- [7] C.J. Zhang, S.Z. Zhou, Nonlinear stability and D-convergence of Runge-Kutta methods for delay differential equations, *J. comput. Appl. Math.*, **85** (1997), 225-237.
- [8] S.F. Li, nonlinear stability of a class of multistep methods, *Numer. Math (A J. Chinese Univ.)*, **9:2** (1987), 110-118.
- [9] C.M. Huang, B-convergence of a class of multistep multiderivative methods, *Northeast. Math. J.*, **11:1** (1995), 101-107.
- [10] C.J. Zhang, The nonlinear stability of a class of multistage one -step multiderivative methods, *Math. Numer. Sin.*, **18:1** (1996), 46-53.