

AN ASYMPTOTICAL $O((k+1)n^3L)$ AFFINE SCALING ALGORITHM FOR THE $P_*(k)$ -MATRIX LINEAR COMPLEMENTRITY PROBLEM*

Zhe-ming Wang

(Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China)

Zheng-hai Huang

(Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China)

Kun-ping Zhou

(Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China)

Abstract

Based on the generalized Dikin-type direction proposed by Jansen et al in 1997, we give out in this paper a generalized Dikin-type affine scaling algorithm for solving the $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix linear complementarity problem (LCP). Form using high-order correctors technique and rank-one updating, the iteration complexity and the total computational turn out asymptotically $O((\kappa+1)\sqrt{n}L)$ and $O((\kappa+1)n^3L)$ respectively.

Key words: linear complementarity problem, $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix, affine scaling algorithm

1. Introduction

An LCP is normally for finding vectors $x, s \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ such that:

$$s = Mx + q, \quad x^T s = 0, \quad (x, s) \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

where $q \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ and $M \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$. An LCP is called monotonic if M is positive semi-definite. In this paper, M is assumed to be a $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix^{[6][9]} i.e. for a $\kappa \geq 0$, M satisfies:

$$(1 + 4\kappa) \sum_{u_i(Mu)_i \geq 0} u_i(Mu)_i + \sum_{u_i(Mu)_i \leq 0} u_i(Mu)_i \geq 0$$

for any $u \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. Obviously, positive semi-definite matrix is a $P_*(0)$ -matrix. It was proved in [10] that M is a $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix iff M is a sufficient^[1].

Based on Dikin's approach, Monteiro and Adler proposed in [8] an affine scaling algorithm of primal-dual type for LP whose iteration complexity is $O(nL^2)$, and Jansen et al gave out lately in [3] a primal-dual algorithm whose iteration complexity is $O(nL)$. Later, Jansen et al^[5] made an improvement on the complexity of the algorithm given in [3] such that the iteration complexity obtained is asymptotical $O(\sqrt{n}L)$, and the total computational complexity is asymptotical $O(n^{3.5}L)$. Recently, Jansen et al made an unified generalization in [4] of the primal-dual affine scaling directions and, starting from an arbitrary feasible pair (x^0, s^0) , produced a generalized Dikin-type affine scaling algorithm for the monotone LCP, of which the iteration complexity is $O(\frac{n}{\rho^2(1-\rho^2)} \log \frac{(x^0)^T s^0}{\varepsilon})$.

In this paper, we consider the $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix LCP. Based on the generalized Dikin-type direction given in [5], we give out an r -order generalized Dikin-type affine scaling algorithm by using the high-order correctors technique and the rank-one updating, where r is an integer in $[1, \sqrt{n}]$. The iteration complexity of our algorithm is $O((\kappa+1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log \frac{(x^0)^T s^0}{\varepsilon})$, and the total computational complexity is $O((\kappa+1)(n^{2.5} + rn^2)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log \frac{(x^0)^T s^0}{\varepsilon})$. If $r = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ in

* Received April 23, 1998.

particular, then the iteration complexity becomes asymptotically $O((\kappa + 1)\sqrt{n} \log \frac{(x^0)^\top s^0}{\varepsilon})$, and the total computational complexity bound becomes asymptotically $O((\kappa + 1)n^3 \log \frac{(x^0)^\top s^0}{\varepsilon})$.

2. An r -Order Algorithm

In this paper, the following notations are adopted: For $u, v \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$, let $\min(u)$ and $\max(u)$ denote respectively $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i$, and let uv and u^h ($h \in \mathfrak{R}$) represent respectively vectors of \mathfrak{R}^n that $(uv)_i = u_i v_i$ and $(u^h)_i = (u_i)^h$.

Denote the set of strict feasible solution $\{(x, s) \in \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^n : s = Mx + q, (x, s) > 0\}$ by \mathcal{F} , and let

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta) = \{(x, s) \in \mathcal{F} : \|xs - \mu e\|_\infty \leq \beta\mu\}$$

where $\mu = x^T s / n$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper, we assume $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$; thus, the system (1) is solvable^[6].

Our algorithm is as follows:

The algorithm is to be initiated from a given pair (x^0, s^0) that satisfies $(x^0, s^0) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$.

Step 0: Set $k := 0$.

Step 1: Set $(x, s) := (x^k, s^k)$. If $x^T s \leq \varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ is a pre-set tolerance error), stop.

Step 2: Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and choose $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{s}) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n \times \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ such that

$$(\tilde{x}_i)^{-1}|x_i - \tilde{x}_i| \leq \gamma \text{ and } (\tilde{s}_i)^{-1}|s_i - \tilde{s}_i| \leq \gamma \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

Step 3: Let $w = xs$ and $\ell \geq 1$. compute $(d_x^{(1)}, d_s^{(1)})$ from

$$d_s^{(1)} = M d_x^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{s} d_x^{(1)} + \tilde{x} d_s^{(1)} = -\frac{w^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|}. \quad (3)$$

Step 4: For $j = 2, \dots, r$, compute $(d_x^{(j)}, d_s^{(j)})$ from

$$d_s^{(j)} = M d_x^{(j)}, \quad \tilde{s} d_x^{(j)} + \tilde{x} d_s^{(j)} = -\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)}. \quad (4)$$

Step 5: Choose a step length $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that the new $(x(\bar{\alpha}), s(\bar{\alpha}))$,

$$x(\bar{\alpha}) = x + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \bar{\alpha}^j d_x^{(j)}, \quad s(\bar{\alpha}) = s + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \bar{\alpha}^j d_s^{(j)},$$

is in $\mathcal{N}_\infty^-(\beta)$.

Step 6: Set $(x^{k+1}, s^{k+1}) := (x(\bar{\alpha}), s(\bar{\alpha}))$, $k := k + 1$ and go to Step 1.

The quantity $-\frac{w^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|}$ given in the step 3 (which was first introduced by Jansen et al^[4]) is a generalized Dikin-type affine scaling; when $\ell = 0$, this quantity turns out a classical primal-dual affine scaling^[10]; when $\ell = 1$, it becomes a primal-dual Dikin affine scaling^{[3][5]}.

For the sake of notational simplicity, we omit in the following discussion the superscript k unless otherwise specified.

Let $w = xs$ and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{x}\tilde{s}$. It is not difficult to obtain the following results by (2).

$$(1 + \gamma)^{-2} w_i \leq \tilde{w}_i \leq (1 - \gamma)^{-2} w_i; \quad (5)$$

$$(1 - \gamma)\tilde{x} \leq x \leq (1 + \gamma)\tilde{x}, \quad (1 - \gamma)\tilde{s} \leq s \leq (1 + \gamma)\tilde{s}; \quad (6)$$

$$0 < 1 - \gamma \leq x_i(\tilde{x}_i)^{-1} \leq 1 + \gamma, \quad 0 < 1 - \gamma \leq s_i(\tilde{s}_i)^{-1} \leq 1 + \gamma. \quad (7)$$

Let $x(\alpha) = x + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha^j d_x^{(j)}$, $s(\alpha) = s + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha^j d_s^{(j)}$, where α is a certain

step length. Suppose $w(\alpha) = x(\alpha)s(\alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\alpha) &= x(\alpha)s(\alpha) = \left\{ x + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha^j d_x^{(j)} \right\}^T \left\{ s + (1 + \gamma) \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha^j d_s^{(j)} \right\} \\
&\leq xs + (1 + \gamma)^2 \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha^j (\tilde{x}d_s^{(j)} + \tilde{s}d_x^{(j)}) + (1 + \gamma)^2 \sum_{j=2}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)} \right\} \\
&= w - (1 + \gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} + (1 + \gamma)^2 \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=j-r}^r d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the inequality comes from (6), and the last equality from (3) and (4).

Let D denote $\tilde{x}^{-1/2}\tilde{s}^{1/2}$. Using (3) and (4), it is not difficult to verify that

$$\|Dd_x^{(j)}\|^2 + \|D^{-1}d_s^{(j)}\|^2 + 2(d_x^{(j)})^T d_s^{(j)} = \|q^{(j)}\|^2, \tag{9}$$

where $q^{(1)} = -\tilde{w}^{-1/2} \frac{w^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|}$, and $q^{(j)} = \tilde{w}^{-1/2} \left\{ -\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)} \right\}$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$.

It can be verified (see to Lemma 3.4 and 4.20 in [6]) that

$$-\kappa \|q^{(j)}\|^2 \leq (d_x^{(j)})^T d_s^{(j)} \leq (1/4) \|q^{(j)}\|^2$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, r$; hence, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ we have

$$\|Dd_x^{(j)}\| \leq \sqrt{2\kappa + 1} \|q^{(j)}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|D^{-1}d_s^{(j)}\| \leq \sqrt{2\kappa + 1} \|q^{(j)}\|. \tag{10}$$

Without loss of generality we assume $\mu = 1$ (otherwise, an scaling can be performed to achieve this). The following Lemma provides an upperbound of $\|q^{(j)}\|$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 1. Let $\phi(j)$ be defined as: $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\phi(j) = \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \phi(t)\phi(j-t)$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$. We have

- (i) $\|q^{(1)}\|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma)^2(1 + \beta)$;
- (ii) $\|q^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{(1+\gamma)^{j-1} \phi(j) (2\kappa+1)^{j-1}}{(1-\beta)^{(j-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof. For the (i): Inequality (5) implies $(1 - \gamma)w_i^{-1/2} \leq \tilde{w}_i^{-1/2} \leq (1 + \gamma)w_i^{-1/2}$; hence, from $(x, s) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$ and the definition of $q^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q^{(1)}\|^2 &\leq \left\| (1 + \gamma)w_i^{-1/2} \frac{w^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right\|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma)^2 \frac{\|w^{\ell+1/2}\|^2}{\|w^\ell\|^2} \\
&\leq (1 + \gamma)^2 \frac{\|w^\ell \min(w^{1/2})\|^2}{\|w^\ell\|^2} \leq (1 + \gamma)^2(1 + \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

For the (ii): We prove this by induction on j . For $j = 1$ the inequality is obviously trivial.

Now, we assume it holds for $1 \leq p < j$. By taking noticing of (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q^{(j)}\| &= \left\| \tilde{w}^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)} \right\| \leq (1+\gamma) \|w^{-1/2}\| \left\| \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} d_x^{(t)} d_s^{(j-t)} \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{(1+\gamma)}{(1-\beta)^{1/2}} \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \|D d_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1} d_s^{(j-t)}\| \leq \frac{(1+\gamma)(2\kappa+1)}{(1-\beta)^{1/2}} \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \|q^{(t)}\| \|q^{(j-t)}\| \\
&\leq \frac{(1+\gamma)(2\kappa+1)}{(1-\beta)^{1/2}} \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \left\{ \frac{(1+\gamma)^{t-1} (2\kappa+1)^{t-1} \phi(t)}{(1-\beta)^{(t-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^t \right\} \bullet \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{(1+\gamma)^{j-t-1} (2\kappa+1)^{j-t-1} \phi(j-t)}{(1-\beta)^{(j-t-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^{j-t} \right\} \\
&= \frac{(1+\gamma)^{j-1} (2\kappa+1)^{j-1} \phi(j)}{(1-\beta)^{(j-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^j.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma 1.

3. The Iteration Complexity

Theorem 1. *The algorithm produces in $O((\kappa+1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log \frac{(x^0)^T s^0}{\varepsilon})$ iterations an ε -approximate solution (x, s) to (1) i.e. $(x, s) \in \{(x, s) \in \mathcal{F} : x^T s < \varepsilon\}$.*

The proof uses two following lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Let $\theta = (2\kappa+1)\alpha$. If $\theta \leq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{j=r+1}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=j-r}^r \|D d_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1} d_s^{(j-t)}\| \right\} \leq \frac{\theta^{r+1}}{(2\kappa+1)} (1+\gamma)^{4r-2} (1-\beta) \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^r \frac{16^r}{8r}; \quad (11)$$

if $\theta > 1$, then

$$\sum_{j=r+1}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=j-r}^r \|D d_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1} d_s^{(j-t)}\| \right\} \leq \frac{\theta^{2r}}{(2\kappa+1)} (1+\gamma)^{4r-2} (1-\beta) \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^r \frac{16^r}{8r}; \quad (12)$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=j-r}^r \|D d_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1} d_s^{(j-t)}\| \leq (2\kappa+1) \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \|q^{(t)}\| \|q^{(j-t)}\| \\
&\leq (2\kappa+1) \sum_{t=j-r}^r \left\{ \frac{(1+\gamma)^{t-1} (2\kappa+1)^{t-1} \phi(t)}{(1-\beta)^{(t-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^t \right\} \bullet \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{(1+\gamma)^{j-t-1} (2\kappa+1)^{j-t-1} \phi(j-t)}{(1-\beta)^{(j-t-1)/2}} \|q^{(1)}\|^{j-t} \right\} \\
&= (1+\gamma)^{2j-2} (2\kappa+1)^{j-1} (1-\beta) \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^{j/2} \phi(2r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the function $(1+\gamma)^{2j-2} ((1+\beta)/(1-\beta))^{j/2}$ is increasing in j and $\phi(j) \leq 2^{2j-2}/j$, so

$$\sum_{j=j-r}^r \|D d_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1} d_s^{(j-t)}\| \leq (2\kappa+1)^{j-1} (1+\gamma)^{4r-2} (1-\beta) \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^r \frac{16^r}{8r}.$$

Therefore, (11) follows from $\alpha^j(2\kappa+1)^{j-1} \leq \theta^{r+1}/(2\kappa+1) \quad j = r+1, \dots, 2r$ and (12) follows from $\alpha^j(2\kappa+1)^{j-1} \leq \theta^{2r}/(2\kappa+1) \quad j = r+1, \dots, 2r$.

Lemma 3. Assume $\sigma = \min\{2\beta, \frac{(1-\beta)^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)(1+\beta)^{\ell+1}}\}$ and $\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)}$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$(i) \quad w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - (1-\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right) \geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}};$$

$$(ii) \quad (1+\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right) - \left(w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right) \geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. For the (i): Since the formula $w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha w_i^{\ell+1}/\|w^\ell\|$ attains its minimum at $\bar{w}_i = 1-\beta$ or $1+\beta$ when being viewed as a function of w_i ; so, in the case of $\bar{w}_i = 1-\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 1-\beta - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{(1-\beta)^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - (1-\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right) \\ &= (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (1-\beta) \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n} - (1-\beta)^\ell \right\} \frac{1}{\|w^\ell\|} \\ &\geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (1-\beta) \{(1-\beta)^{\ell+1} - (1-\beta)^\ell\} \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}} \\ &= (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1} \geq \min(w^{\ell-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \geq (1-\beta)^{\ell-1} \|w\|^2 \geq (1-\beta)^{\ell-1} n$$

(the last inequality above comes from $\|w\| \geq \sqrt{n}$, which holds because of $e^T w = n$ and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality) and

$$\|w^\ell\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{2\ell}} \leq \sqrt{\max(w^{2\ell-2}) \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2} \leq (1+\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}$$

(the last inequality above holds because $\|w\| \leq (1+\beta)\sqrt{n}$ (See to Proposition 3.1 in [5])).

In the case of $\bar{w}_i = 1+\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 1+\beta - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{(1+\beta)^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - (1-\beta) \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right\} \\ &= 2\beta + (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \left\{ (1-\beta) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n} - (1+\beta)^{\ell+1} \right\} \frac{1}{\|w^\ell\|} \\ &\geq 2\beta + (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \{(1-\beta)^\ell - (1+\beta)^{\ell+1}\} \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}} \\ &= (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha ((1-\beta)/(1+\beta))^\ell} + \frac{1}{\beta} - \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \right\} \\ &\leq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from $\alpha \leq \frac{2\beta\sqrt{n}}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta} \geq 1$.

For the (ii): It is obvious that the formula $w_i - (1 + \gamma)^2 \alpha w_i^{\ell+1} / \|w^\ell\|$ attains its maximum at $\hat{w}_i = \frac{\|w^\ell\|^{1/\ell}}{[(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (\ell+1)]^{1/\ell}}$ when being viewed as a function of w_i . Now, we have either $\hat{w}_i \notin (1-\beta, 1+\beta)$ or $\hat{w}_i \in (1-\beta, 1+\beta)$. In the case that $\hat{w}_i \notin (1-\beta, 1+\beta)$, then $w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha w_i^{\ell+1} / \|w^\ell\|$ attains its maximum in $w_i \in (1-\beta, 1+\beta)$ at $\bar{w}_i = 1-\beta$ or $1+\beta$. Now, the proof for the (ii) is similar to that of (i). In the case that $\hat{w}_i \in (1-\beta, 1+\beta)$, then $w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha w_i^{\ell+1} / \|w^\ell\|$ attains its maximum at $\bar{w}_i = \hat{w}_i$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+\beta) \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right\} - \left\{ \hat{w}_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{\hat{w}_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right\} \\
& \geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{\hat{w}_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (1+\beta) \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \\
& = (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \left\{ \frac{\hat{w}_i \|w^\ell\|}{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (\ell+1)} - (1+\beta) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right\} \frac{1}{\|w^\ell\|} \\
& \geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}}{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha (\ell+1)} - (1+\beta)^{\ell+2} \right\} \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}} \\
& \geq \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha}{(1+\beta)^\ell \sqrt{n}} \frac{(1+\beta)^{\ell+2} \beta}{1-\beta} \\
& \geq (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality comes from $\bar{w}_i \geq 1-\beta$, $\|w^\ell\| \geq (1-\beta)^{\ell-1} \sqrt{n}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1} \leq (1+\beta)^{\ell+1} n$, the third inequality from $\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(1-\beta)^{\ell+1}}{(1+\gamma)^2 (1+\beta)^{\ell+2} (\ell+1)}$, and the last inequality from $\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{1-\beta} > 1 > \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell$.

This completes the proof of the lemma 2.

Now, we prove the theorem 1 as follows:

The key to the estimation of the iteration complexity lies in determining the step length $\hat{\alpha}$. For a pair $(x, s) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$, we then set to find out an α such that $(x(\alpha), s(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$ i.e.

$$(1-\beta)\mu(\alpha)e \leq w(\alpha) \leq (1+\beta)\mu(\alpha)e \quad (13)$$

where $\mu(\alpha) = e^T w(\alpha) / n$. Let $\xi = \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=j-r}^r (d_x^{(t)})^T d_s^{(j-t)} \right\}$. From (8), we have

$$\mu(\alpha) = 1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{n} \xi. \quad (14)$$

From (13), it can be seen that if

$$|\xi| \leq (1+\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{n} \xi \right) - \left(w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right) \quad (15)$$

and

$$|\xi| \leq w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - (1-\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{n} \xi \right) \quad (16)$$

hold for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then (13) follows. Now, by taking notice of $n/(n+(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)) \geq 1/5$, we have: if

$$|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{5} \left\{ (1+\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} \right) - \left(w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right) \right\} \quad (17)$$

and

$$|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{5} \left\{ w_i - (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \frac{w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} - (1-\beta) \left(1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\ell+1}}{\|w^\ell\|} \right) \right\} \quad (18)$$

hold for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then (15) and (16) hold also.

By the definition of ξ , it is easy to verify that

$$|\xi| \leq \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r} \alpha^j \left\{ \sum_{t=j-r}^r \|Dd_x^{(t)}\| \|D^{-1}d_s^{(j-t)}\| \right\}. \quad (19)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we only consider the case of $\theta \leq 1$. (In fact, for the case of $\theta > 1$, discussion can be carried out similarly.)

From (13)–(18), the lemma 2, and the lemma 3, it can be seen that if

$$\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)}$$

and

$$\frac{\theta^{r+1}}{2\kappa+1} (1+\gamma)^{4r-2} (1-\beta) \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^r \frac{16^r}{8r} \leq \frac{1}{5} (1+\gamma)^2 \alpha \beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

hold, then $(x(\alpha), s(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$. According to the second inequality above, we have

$$\theta \leq \frac{1-\beta}{16(1+\gamma)^4(1+\beta)} \left(\frac{8r(1+\gamma)^4\beta}{5(1-\beta)} \right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell/r} \frac{1}{n^{1/(2r)}}. \quad (20)$$

Therefore, the step length $\bar{\alpha}$ in the k -th iteration can be chosen as

$$\bar{\alpha} = \min \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{16(1+\gamma)^4(1+\beta)} \left(\frac{8r(1+\gamma)^4\beta}{5(1-\beta)} \right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell/r} \frac{1}{(2\kappa+1)n^{1/(2r)}}, \frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma}{(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Obviously, $n \geq \frac{1}{5}(1+\gamma)^2\beta \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)$. Thus, from (20) and (21), the following loose upperbound of $\bar{\alpha}$ can be obtained,

$$\bar{\alpha} \leq \frac{1-\beta}{16(1+\gamma)^4(1+\beta)} \left(\frac{8r(1+\gamma)^2(1+\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2} \right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell/r} \frac{n^{1/(2r)}}{2\kappa+1}.$$

Substituting the above bound into (11) and taking notice of (19), we obtain

$$|\xi| \leq \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell-1} \sqrt{n}\bar{\alpha}.$$

Using (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bar{\alpha}) &\leq 1 - \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 \bar{\alpha} e^\top w^{\ell+1}}{n \|w^\ell\|} + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{n} |\xi| \\ &\leq 1 - (1+\gamma)^2 \frac{(1-\beta)^{\ell-1}}{(1+\beta)^\ell} \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + 2(1+\gamma)^2 \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell-1} \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\delta}{(2\kappa+1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\delta = (1+\gamma)^2 \frac{1+2\beta}{1+\beta} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^{\ell-1} \bar{\alpha}$ (see to (21)).

Now, from the key inequality (22) we have

$$(x^{k+1})^T s^{k+1} \leq \left\{ 1 - \frac{\delta}{(2\kappa+1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)}} \right\} (x^k)^T s^k \leq \left\{ 1 - \frac{\delta}{(2\kappa+1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)}} \right\}^k \varepsilon_0;$$

therefore, the rest of the proof can be carried out routinely as follows. Obviously

$$\left\{1 - \frac{\delta}{(2\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)}}\right\}^k \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon$$

is equivalent to

$$k \log \left\{1 - \frac{\delta}{(2\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)}}\right\} \leq \log(\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0),$$

hence, to

$$k \geq \frac{1}{\delta} (2\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)$$

(because $\log(1 - \eta) \leq -\eta$ for $\eta < 1$); so, after no less than $\lceil \frac{1}{\delta} (2\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon) \rceil$ iterations, an ε -approximate solution to (1) is then obtained i.e. the iteration complexity of our algorithm is $O((\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon))$.

By taking $r = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, the iteration complexity then turns out asymptotically $O((\kappa + 1)\sqrt{n} \log \frac{(x^0)^\top s^0}{\varepsilon})$.

4. The Total Computational Complexity

In this section, we specify the choice of (\tilde{x}, \tilde{s}) in our algorithm by using the following scheme of rank-one updating.

The Rank-One Updating.

For $k = 0$, set $\tilde{x}^0 = x^0, \tilde{s}^0 = s^0$.

For $k > 0$, let $(x^k, s^k) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$ and let $(\tilde{x}^k, \tilde{s}^k)$ satisfy (2). Compute (x^{k+1}, s^{k+1}) as indicated in the step 6 given in the section 2. Set

$$(\tilde{x}_i^{k+1}, \tilde{s}_i^{k+1}) := \begin{cases} (x_i^{k+1}, s_i^{k+1}), & \text{if } (\tilde{x}_i^k)^{-1} |x_i^{k+1} - \tilde{x}_i^k| > \gamma, \text{ or } (\tilde{s}_i^k)^{-1} |s_i^{k+1} - \tilde{s}_i^k| > \gamma, \\ (\tilde{x}_i^k, \tilde{s}_i^k) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, the β and γ are required to meet additionally the following assumptions:

(i) $\beta \in [0.2, 1)$,

(ii) $\beta^2(1 + \gamma)(2r/5)^{2/r} < 16(1 - \gamma)[(1 - \beta^2)(1 + \ell) - \beta^2(2r/5)^{2/r}]$.

It is obvious that such assumptions can be met, for instance let $\gamma = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.25$.

In this section, we only discuss the case of $\theta \leq 1$. The case of $\theta > 1$ can be dealt with similarly.

Since from the assumption (i) above it can be proved that $\frac{1}{\ell+1} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^{\ell+1} < 2\beta$; therefore, if we take

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{1 - \beta}{16(1 + \gamma)^4(1 + \beta)(\ell + 1)} \left(\frac{8r(1 + \gamma)^4\beta}{5(1 - \beta)}\right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta}\right)^{\ell+1} \frac{1}{(2\kappa + 1)n^{1/(2r)}}, \quad (23)$$

the theorem 1 still holds.

Theorem 2. *Let the algorithm be specified with the above β, γ and the rank-one updating; then it has asymptotically a total computational complexity of $O((\kappa + 1)n^3 \log \frac{(x^0)^\top s^0}{\varepsilon})$.*

Lemma 4. *Assume that $(x, s) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{s}) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n \times \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ where (\tilde{x}, \tilde{s}) satisfies (2), and assumptions (i) and (ii) are met. Denote the new iterated point by (\hat{x}, \hat{s}) and let*

$$\rho^* = \frac{(1 + \gamma)^3}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{4j-2} (1 + \beta)^j \phi^2(j) (2\kappa + 1)^{j-1}}{(1 - \beta)^{j-1}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\|x^{-1}(\hat{x} - x)\|^2 \leq \rho^* < 1 \text{ and } \|s^{-1}(\hat{s} - s)\|^2 \leq \rho^* < 1.$$

Proof. We only need to prove the first inequality above (the second can be proved similarly). Let D_{ii} be the i -th diagonal element in $D = \tilde{x}^{-1/2} \tilde{s}^{1/2}$, it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{-1}(\hat{x} - x)\|^2 &= (1 + \gamma)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_i^{-1} d_{x_i}^{(j)})^2 \hat{\alpha}^{2j} \\ &= (1 + \gamma)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (D_{ii}^{-1} x_i^{-1})^2 (D_{ii} d_{x_i}^{(j)})^2 (\hat{\alpha})^{2j}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

From $(x, s) \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(\beta)$ and (7), we have

$$(x_i^{-1} D_{ii}^{-1})^2 = (\tilde{x}_i^{-1} x_i)(s_i \tilde{s}_i^{-1})(w_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1 + \gamma}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)}.$$

Form the above inequality and (24), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{-1}(\hat{x} - x)\|^2 &\leq \frac{(1 + \gamma)^3}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \sum_{j=1}^r \|D d_x^{(j)}\|^2 (\hat{\alpha})^{2j} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \gamma)^3}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \sum_{j=1}^r (2\kappa + 1) \|q^{(j)}\|^2 \hat{\alpha}^{2j} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \gamma)^3}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{4j-2} (1 + \beta)^j \phi^2(j) (2\kappa + 1)^{2j-1}}{(1 - \beta)^{j-1}} \bar{\alpha}^{2j} = \rho^*. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $4\beta > 1 - \beta$ for $\beta \in [0.2, 1)$; hence, from (23) we have

$$\bar{\alpha} < \frac{(2r/5)^{1/r} \beta}{4(1 + \gamma)^2 (1 + \beta)(\ell + 1)} \frac{1}{(2\kappa + 1)n^{1/(2r)}}.$$

Since $\phi(j) \leq 2^{2j-2}/j < 2^{2j-2}$, $j = 1, \dots, r$; so,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* &< \frac{(1 + \gamma)}{16(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\beta^{2j} (2r/5)^{2j/r}}{(1 + \beta)^j (1 - \beta)^{j-1} (\ell + 1)^{2j}} \\ &< \frac{(1 + \gamma)}{16(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)} \frac{\frac{\beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}}{(1 + \beta)(\ell + 1)} [(1 + \beta)^r (1 - \beta)^r (\ell + 1)^r - \beta^{2r} (2r/5)^2]}{(1 + \beta)^{r-1} (1 - \beta)^{r-1} [(1 + \beta)(1 - \beta)(\ell + 1) - \beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}]}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (i) i.e. $\beta \in [0.2, 1)$, it can be specified that $(1 + \beta)(1 - \beta)(\ell + 1) > \beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* &< \frac{(1 + \gamma)\beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}}{16(1 - \gamma)(1 - \beta)(1 + \beta)} \times \\ &\quad \frac{(1 + \beta)^r (1 - \beta)^r (\ell + 1)^r}{(1 + \beta)^{r-1} (1 - \beta)^{r-1} (\ell + 1)^{r-1} [(1 + \beta)(1 - \beta)(\ell + 1) - \beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}]} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \gamma)\beta^2 (2r/5)^{2/r}}{16(1 - \gamma)[(1 - \beta^2)(\ell + 1) - \beta^2 (10r)^{2/r}]} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the assumption (ii).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^K &= \{k : |\tilde{x}_i^k|^{-1} |x_i^{k+1} - \tilde{x}_i^k| > \gamma, 1 \leq k \leq K\}, \\ T_i^K &= \{k : |\tilde{s}_i^k|^{-1} |s_i^{k+1} - \tilde{s}_i^k| > \gamma, 1 \leq k \leq K\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{\rho^*}$. From the lemma 4 and the proposition 5.2 given in [7], it can be derived that

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i^K|, \sum_{i=1}^n |T_i^K| \right\} \leq -\frac{\bar{\rho} \sqrt{n} K}{(1 - \bar{\rho}) \ln(1 - \gamma)}.$$

This demonstrates that the total number of rank-one updating occurred within K major steps of iteration is bounded by $O(\sqrt{n}K)$. Since the algorithm finds out an ϵ -paaroximate solution to the problem (1) in $O((\kappa + 1)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon))$ iterations, and each rank-one updating involves $O(n^2)$ arithmetic operations, therefore the total number of arithmetic operations is bounded by $O((\kappa + 1)(n^{2.5} + rn^2)n^{(r+1)/(2r)} \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon))$. Now, when taking $r = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ the total computational complexity bound turns out asymptotically $O((\kappa + 1)n^3 \log \frac{(x^0)^T s^0}{\epsilon})$.

Now, suppose the data of the problem are given in rational numbers. Let L be the data size^[7], $\epsilon = 2^{-L}$ and $\epsilon_0 \leq 2^L$ (hence $\log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon) \leq 2L$); it can be concluded from the theorem 1 and 2 that the iteration complexity of the algorithm tends to be $O((\kappa + 1)\sqrt{n}L)$, and the total computational complexity becomes asymptotically $O((\kappa + 1)n^3L)$.

References

- [1] Cottle, R.W., Pang, J.S., Stone, R.E., The Linear Complementarity, Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- [2] Dikin, I.I., Iterative solution of problems of linear and quadratic programming, *Doklady Akademia Nauk SSSR*, **174** (1967), 747-748.
- [3] Jansen, B., Roos, C., Terlaky, T., A polynomial primal-dual Dikin-type algorithm for linear programming, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **21** (1996), 341-353.
- [4] Jansen, B., Roos, C., Terlaky, T., A family of polynomial affine scaling algorithms for positive semidefinite linear complementarity problems, *SIAM J. Optimization*, **7** (1997), 126-140.
- [5] Jansen, B., Roos, C., Terlaky, T., Ye, Y., Improved complexity using high-order correctors for primal-dual Dikin affine scaling, *Math. Programming*, **76** (1997), 117-130.
- [6] Kojima, M., Megiddo, N., Noma, T., Yoshise, A., A Unified Approach to Interior Algorithms for Linear Complementarity Problems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 538, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [7] Monteiro, R.C., Adler, A., Interior path following algorithms, Part II: convex quadratic programming, *Math. Programming*, **44** (1989), 43-46.
- [8] Monteiro, R.C., Adler, I., resende, M.G.C., A polynomial-time primal-dual affine scaling algorithm for linear and convex quadratic programming and its power series extension, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **15** (1990), 191-214.
- [9] Potra, F.A., Sheng, R.Q., Predict-corrector algorithm for solving $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix LCP from arbitrary positive starting points, *Math. Programming*, **76** (1997), 223-244.
- [10] Väliäho, H., $P_*(K)$ -matrices are just sufficient, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **239** (1996), 103-108.