

## SHORT COMMUNICATION SECTION

AN INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC  
MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION FROM INVESTMENT THEORY

Wang Guanglie and Lian Songzhe

( Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130023, China)

(E-mail: glwang@public.cc.jl.cn)

(Received Jun. 23, 2003 )

**Key Words** Existence of solution; Optimal portfolio.**2000 MR Subject Classification** 35K15, 35K55.**Chinese Library Classification** O175.26, O175.29.

The author of [1] raised an optimal investment problem in time interval  $[0, T]$ , in which the financial market is characterized by the parameters  $r, b, \sigma$ , the attitude of the investor to the risk versus the gain at the final time is described by a utility function  $g(y)$ , the purpose is to find out an optimal portfolio to maximize the profit of the investor. To this end, in [1] the following initial value problem is derived:

$$\begin{cases} V_s V_{yy} + ry V_y V_{yy} - \theta V_y^2 = 0, & V_{yy} < 0, & (s, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ V(T, y) = g(y), & g'(y) \geq 0, & y \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $V = V(s, y)$  is the unknown function, constants  $r \geq 0, \sigma > 0, b - r > 0, \theta = \frac{b - r}{\sigma}$ , and

$$g(y) = 1 - e^{-\lambda y} \quad (2)$$

is a typical case, where  $\lambda$  is a positive constant. The relation between the optimal investment problem and (1) lies in

**Lemma 1** Suppose (1) admits a classical solution  $V(s, y)$  such that the function

$$\tilde{\pi}(s, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{\theta V_y(s, y)}{\sigma V_{yy}(s, y)}, \quad (s, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

is Lipschitz continuous in  $y$ . Then  $V(s, y)$  is the value function of the optimal problem with the optimal portfolio given by

$$\bar{\pi}(t) \equiv \tilde{\pi}(t, \bar{Y}(t)), \quad t \in [s, T], \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{Y}(\cdot)$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{Y}(t) = r\bar{Y}(t) + (b-r)\tilde{\pi}(t, \bar{Y}(t))dt, \\ +\sigma\tilde{\pi}(t, \bar{Y}(t))dW(t), \quad t \in [s, T], \\ \bar{Y}(s) = y. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Which is proved in [1].

The equation in (1) is called parabolic Monge-Ampère equation in [1], which is indeed a nonlinear and un-uniformly parabolic equation. But there is not any existence result for it in [1].

We obtain a general approach to both the solution to (1) and the optimal portfolio to the optimal investment problem, which goes like this:

Let  $f(s, y)$  be a smooth function, which is Lipschitz continuous in  $y$ . Insert

$$\frac{V_y}{V_{yy}} = f(s, y) \quad (6)$$

into (1), then (1) becomes a Cauchy problem for a homogeneous linear partial differential equation of first order, which is our key observation. And, by the known result, the unique solution of this Cauchy problem is  $g(Y(T; s, y))$ , where  $y = Y(s; s_0, y_0)$  is the solution of the initial value problem for its characteristic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{ds} = f(s, y) - ry, \\ y|_{s=s_0} = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Now it is obvious that, in order that the function  $g(Y(T; s, y))$  can be the solution to (1), we need and only need that the function  $V(s, y) = g(Y(T; s, y))$  satisfies (6), which can be expressed in a formula; since, by the theorem of differentiability of the solution of (7) w.r.t. the initial value, we can calculate  $\frac{\partial g(Y(T; s, y))}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 g(Y(T; s, y))}{\partial y^2}$ .

We may summarize the above general approach into the following

**Theorem 1** Suppose  $f(s, y)$  is a smooth function, which is Lipschitz continuous in  $y$ . Then (1) will have a solution  $V(s, y)$  with the property

$$\tilde{\pi}(s, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{\theta V_y(s, y)}{\sigma V_{yy}(s, y)} = -\frac{\theta}{\sigma} f(s, y), \quad (s, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \quad (3)_f$$

if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\frac{g'(Y(T; s, y)) \exp \left\{ \int_T^s \left[ r - \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(\xi, Y(\xi, y)) \right] d\xi \right\}}{g''(Y(T; s, y)) + g'(Y(T; s, y)) \int_T^s \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Y^2}(\tau, Y(\tau; s, y)) \exp \int_T^\tau \left[ r - \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(\xi, Y(\xi; s, y)) \right] d\xi d\tau} = f(s, y), \quad (8)$$

where  $Y(s; s_0, y_0)$  is defined by the general solution to (6). When (8) is valid, (1) has a solution satisfying (3)<sub>f</sub>, which is

$$V(s, y) = g(Y(T; s, y)) \quad (9)$$

and the optimal portfolio can be obtained via Lemma 1.

For some particular  $f$  or  $g$ , we may get further results. For example, in  $(3)_f$  taking  $f(s, y) = \alpha(s)y + \beta(s)$ , which is a special case of (3), i.e.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\pi}(s, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{\theta V_y(s, y)}{\sigma V_{yy}(s, y)} = -\frac{\theta}{\sigma} [\alpha(s)y + \beta(s)], \quad (s, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{where } \alpha(s) \text{ and } \beta(s) \text{ are smooth functions depend only on } s. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)_{\alpha\beta}$$

then, from Theorem 1, we may derive the following two interesting corollaries:

**Corollary 1** *If the  $g(y)$  in (1) is taken by (2), then the problem (1) so obtained has a solution  $V(s, y)$  with  $(3)_{\alpha\beta}$  satisfied, in this case*

$$V(s, y) = 1 - e^{-\theta(T-s)} e^{-\lambda y e^{r(T-s)}}, \quad (9)'$$

which is the value function of the related optimal investment problem, and the corresponding optimal portfolio is

$$\bar{\pi}(t) = \frac{\theta}{\sigma \lambda} e^{-r(T-t)}, \quad t \in [s, T]. \quad (4)'$$

**Corollary 2** *If (1) has a solution  $V(s, y)$  satisfying  $(3)_{\alpha\beta}$ , then the  $g(y)$  in (1) must take the following form*

$$g(y) = C_1 - C_2 e^{-C_3 y}, \quad C_1, C_2, C_3 \text{ are constants, with } C_2 > 0, C_3 > 0. \quad (2)'$$

In this case,

$$V(s, y) = C_1 - C_2 e^{-\theta(T-s)} e^{-C_3 y e^{r(T-s)}}, \quad (9)''$$

which is the value function of the related optimal investment problem, and the corresponding optimal portfolio is

$$\bar{\pi}(t) = \frac{\theta}{\sigma C_3} e^{-r(T-t)}, \quad t \in [s, T]. \quad (4)''$$

The reason that the conclusion of these two corollaries is so concise lies in: after taking  $f(s, y) = \alpha(s)y + \beta(s)$  in  $(3)_f$ , the corresponding equation in (7) becomes a linear ordinary differential equation of first order, whose solution can be written down in an explicit expression, from which, by noting  $g'' < 0$  and  $g' > 0$ , it is easy to prove the two corollaries.

## References

- [1] Yong Jiongmin, Introduction to Mathematical Finance. In "Mathematical Finance—Theory and Applications", Jiongmin Yong, Rama Cont, eds., Beijing, High Education Press, (2000), 19-137.