

Morrey Estimates for Nondivergence Parabolic Operators with Discontinuous Coefficients on Homogeneous Groups

TANG Sufang* and WEI Na

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University,
Xi'an 710072, China.*

Received 9 October 2008; Accepted 25 August 2009

Abstract. In this paper, by establishing the boundedness of singular integral operators with variable kernels and their commutators with *BMO* functions on Morrey spaces of homogeneous groups, we prove a local a priori estimate in Sobolev-Morrey space for solutions to the nondivergence parabolic equation with discontinuous coefficients.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35R05, 35K10, 42B20

Chinese Library Classifications: O175.2

Key Words: Homogeneous group; parabolic equation; *VMO*; singular integral; commutator.

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been extensive study on a priori estimates for partial differential operators by using singular integral theory (see [1–3]). For example, authors in [4–7] proved Morrey estimates for nondivergence elliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients on Euclidean spaces. Bramanti and Brandolini proved Schauder estimates for parabolic operators of Hörmander type in [8] and L^p -estimates for hypoelliptic operators of Hörmander type in [9], respectively. The L^p -estimates and Morrey estimates for ultraparabolic operator of Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck type

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(x,t) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i b_{ij} \partial_{x_j} - \partial_t, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \quad (1.1)$$

were considered in [10] and [11], respectively, where the coefficients $a_{ij} \in VMO \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\{b_{ij}\}$ is a constant real matrix with a suitable upper triangular structure. The class of

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* sufangtang@163.com (S. Tang), nawei2006@126.com (N. Wei)

operators (1.1) contains prototypes of the Fokker-Planck operators describing Brownian motions of a particle in fluid, as well as Kolmogorov operators depicting systems with $2n$ degrees of freedom (see [12]).

Let $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $X_i = \partial_{x_i}$, and $X_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i b_{ij} \partial_{x_j} - \partial_t$. Then (1.1) becomes a hypoelliptic operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q X_i^2 + X_0,$$

where X_0, X_1, \dots, X_q satisfy the Hörmander condition, i.e., the Lie algebra generated at every point by the fields X_0, X_1, \dots, X_q is \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . In [12], the L^p -estimate for the following operator on homogeneous groups

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij} X_i X_j + a_0 X_0,$$

was proved, where $a_0, a_{ij} \in VMO \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and vector fields X_0, X_1, \dots, X_q satisfy the Hörmander condition.

The aim of this paper is to establish Morrey estimates for nondivergence parabolic operators with discontinuous coefficients and lower order terms on homogeneous groups

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(z) X_i X_j + \sum_{i=1}^q b_i(z) X_i + c(z) - \partial_t, \quad z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \quad (1.2)$$

where X_1, \dots, X_q are the first layer of the basis of vector fields of homogeneous groups, the coefficients a_{ij}, b_i, c satisfy the following several assumptions:

- (H1) uniform ellipticity condition: $a_{ij}(z) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and there exists $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_j^q \zeta_j^2 \leq \sum_{i,j}^q a_{ij}(z) \zeta_i \zeta_j \leq \mu \sum_j^q \zeta_j^2, \quad \forall (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q.$$

- (H2) very weak regularity condition: $a_{ij}(z) \in VMO(\Omega)$ (the function space of "Vanishing Mean Oscillation" (see Definition 2.3 below)).
- (H3) the coefficients $b_i(z)$ and $c(z)$ are measurable functions in Ω ,

$$b_i(z) \in \begin{cases} L^{Q+2}, & p+\lambda \leq Q+2, \\ L^{p,\lambda}, & p+\lambda > Q+2, \end{cases} \quad c(z) \in \begin{cases} L^{\frac{Q+2}{2}}, & 2p+\lambda \leq Q+2, \\ L^{p,\lambda}, & 2p+\lambda > Q+2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(z) X_i X_j - \partial_t. \quad (1.3)$$

It is known that under condition (H1), for any fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, the “frozen” operator

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(z_0) X_i X_j - \partial_t, \quad (1.4)$$

is hypoelliptic and homogeneous of degree 2 about the dilation in (2.4) below.

For an open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and $p \in (1, \infty)$, the Sobolev-Morrey space and the Sobolev-Morrey norm are defined by

$$S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbf{H}) = \left\{ f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) : cf, b_i X_i f, X_i X_j f, \partial_t f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega), i, j = 1, \dots, q \right\},$$

and

$$\|f\|_{S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbf{H})} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q \|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega} + \|\partial_t f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega}, \quad (1.5)$$

respectively, where we denote $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega}$ by Morrey space and Morrey norm, respectively, see Section 2.

We will prove a priori estimates in $S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbf{H})$ for solutions to the equation $\mathbf{H}f = F$ when conditions (H1)-(H3) are fulfilled. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (H1)-(H3) hold. If $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ (Ω, Ω' are bounded open sets), $F \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\lambda \in (0, Q+2)$ (Q is the homogeneous dimension of the homogeneous group), then*

$$\|f\|_{S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega', \mathbf{H})} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega} \right), \quad \forall f \in S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega), \quad (1.6)$$

where the constant C depends only on $p, \lambda, \mu, \Omega, \Omega'$ and the “VMO moduli” η_a of the coefficients a_{ij} (see Definition 2.3).

Remark 1.1. If f is independent of t , then from Theorem 1.1 we immediately obtain a local estimate in $S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbf{H})$ for degenerate elliptic operators with lower order terms. If let $L^p(\Omega) = L^{p,0}(\Omega)$, then we easily get the L^p -estimate for operator \mathbf{H} in (1.2) from the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the definitions of BMO , VMO and Morrey space on homogeneous groups. In Section 3, by the properties of the fundamental solutions on homogeneous groups, the solution of (1.1) can be reformulated as the singular integrals and their commutators with BMO functions. In Section 4 we prove the Morrey boundedness of the singular integrals and their commutators above using the content of Section 3. In Section 5 we establish the Morrey estimate of $X_i X_j f$ and $\partial_t f$, and then complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Notations and several lemmas

We start by introducing some notations on homogeneous groups, refer to [12] and [13] for more properties.

Let “ \cdot ” be an assigned Lie group law on \mathbb{R}^N , for which the identity is the origin. Suppose \mathbb{R}^N is endowed with a homogeneous structure by the following dilations

$$\delta(\lambda)(x) = \delta(\lambda)(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_N} x_N), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$ are strictly positive integers, $\lambda > 0$. We call $\mathbb{G} \equiv (\mathbb{R}^N, \cdot, \delta(\lambda))$ a homogeneous group. We denote by $Q = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$ the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{G} .

Definition 2.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$, define the homogeneous norm $\|x\|_1$ of x as follows: $\|x\|_1 = \rho_1$ if and only if $|\delta(\frac{1}{\rho_1})(x)| = 1$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm, and $\|0\|_1 = 0$.

Since (1.2) involves t , we introduce two geometric structures on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} , i.e., group law “ \circ ” and dilation transform $(D(\lambda))_{\lambda > 0}$. For every $z = (x, t)$, $\eta = (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$z \circ \eta = (x, t) \circ (y, s) = (x \cdot y, t + s). \quad (2.2)$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \circ)$ is a (noncommutative) group with neutral element $(0, 0)$; the inverse of an element $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is

$$z^{-1} = (x, t)^{-1} = (x^{-1}, t^{-1}). \quad (2.3)$$

The other geometric structure is a group of dilations on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} , denoted by $(D(\lambda))_{\lambda > 0}$:

$$D(\lambda)(x, t) = (\delta(\lambda)x, \lambda^2 t) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_N} x_N, \lambda^2 t). \quad (2.4)$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \circ, D(\lambda))$ also constitute a homogeneous group $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $Q+2$ the homogeneous dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \equiv \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}$, where Q is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{G} .

Definition 2.2. (see [14]) For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}$, define the homogeneous norm of z as $\|z\|$: $\|z\| = \rho$ if and only if $|D(\frac{1}{\rho})(x)| = 1$ and $\|0\| = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the following properties:

- (i) $\|D(\lambda)z\| = \lambda\|z\|$ for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $\lambda > 0$;
- (ii) The set $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : \|z\| = 1\}$ is the Euclidean unit sphere Σ_{N+1} ;
- (iii) Let us define

$$\| |(x, t)| \| = \|x\|_2 + |t|^{1/2},$$

where

$$\|x\|_2 = \sum_{j=1}^N |x_j|^{1/\alpha_j}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Then $|||\cdot|||$ satisfies (i) above, and

$$\frac{1}{N+1}|||z||| \leq |||z||| \leq (N+1)|||z|||.$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow immediately from (2.4) and Definition 2.2; (iii) can be proved by using Definition 2.1 and an argument similar to that in [10, Proposition 1.3]. \square

In view of Proposition 2.1, it is natural to define the “quasidistance” d on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} :

$$d(z, \eta) = ||\eta^{-1} \circ z||.$$

For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $r > 0$, we define the balls with respect to d as

$$B(z, r) := B_r(z) = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : d(z, \eta) < r \right\}.$$

Note that $|B(z, r)| = |B(0, r)| = |B(0, 1)|r^{Q+2}$. It means that the Lebesgue measure dz is a doubling measure with respect to d , that is,

$$|B(z, 2r)| \leq C|B(z, r)|, \quad \text{for every } z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \text{ and } r > 0.$$

Then the space $(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, dz, d)$ is a space of homogeneous type.

Next, we will give the definitions of BMO , VMO and Morrey space on homogeneous spaces.

Definition 2.3. (see [10]) For a measurable function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, define

$$\eta_f(R) = \sup_{r \leq R} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} |f(z) - f_{B_r}| dz,$$

where

$$f_{B_r} = \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} f(z) dz.$$

Then $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ (bounded mean oscillation) if

$$||f||_* := \sup_R \eta_f(R) < +\infty,$$

while $f \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ (vanishing mean oscillation) if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \eta_f(R) = 0.$$

For a given domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, the spaces $BMO(\Omega)$ and $VMO(\Omega)$ are defined as Definition 2.3, just taking $B_r \cap \Omega$ instead of B_r .

Definition 2.4. We say that a measurable function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ belongs to the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\lambda \in (0, Q+2)$, if the following norm:

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} := \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \int_{B_r} |f(z)|^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2.5)$$

is finite. Similarly, the space $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and the norm $\|f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega}$ are defined by taking $B_r \cap \Omega$ instead of B_r in (2.5). When $\lambda = 0$, $L^{p,\lambda}$ coincides with the Lebesgue space L^p and (2.5) gives rise to the norm $\|f\|_{p,0} := \|f\|_p$.

3 Fundamental solutions for the frozen operator and representation formulas

For any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, the frozen operator in (1.4) has a fundamental solution (see [13]). Let us denote it by $\Gamma(z_0; \cdot)$ which depends on the frozen coefficients $a_{ij}(z_0)$. For any $i, j = 1, \dots, q$, let

$$\Gamma_{ij}(z_0; z) = X_i X_j [\Gamma(z_0; \cdot)](z). \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. ([13, 15]) For every fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we have

- (i) $\Gamma(z_0; \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\Gamma(z_0; \cdot)$ is $D(\lambda)$ -homogeneous of degree $-Q$;
- (ii) $\Gamma_{ij}(z_0; \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\Gamma_{ij}(z_0; \cdot)$ is $D(\lambda)$ -homogeneous of degree $-(Q+2)$;
- (iii) For $i, j = 1, \dots, q$ and every test function $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, there exist constants $\alpha_{ij}(z_0)$ such that

$$X_i X_j f(z) = -P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \Gamma_{ij}(z_0; \eta^{-1} \circ z) \mathcal{H}_0 f(\eta) d\eta + \alpha_{ij}(z_0) \mathcal{H}_0 f(z). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2. (Cutoff functions) For every $0 < \gamma < r$, $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, there exists $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ with the following properties:

- (i) $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_\gamma(z)$, and $\text{supp} \varphi \subset B_r(z)$;
- (ii) There exists a constant C such that

$$|D^2 \varphi| = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq q} |X_i X_j \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{(r-\gamma)^2}, \quad |\partial_t \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{(r-\gamma)^2}.$$

We will write $B_\gamma(z) \prec \varphi \prec B_r(z)$ as φ satisfies the above two properties.

Proof. $B_\gamma \prec \varphi \prec B_r$ implies $B_{\gamma'} \prec \varphi \prec B_r$ for every $0 < \gamma' < \gamma$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\gamma \geq r/2$. Choose a function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} f &\equiv 1 \text{ in } [0, \gamma]; & f &\equiv 1 \text{ in } [r, \infty); & f &\in C^\infty(0, \infty), \\ |f^{(k)}| &\leq \frac{c_k}{(r-\gamma)^k} \text{ for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi(y,s) = f(d((x,t),(y,s)))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \varphi(y,s) &= f'(d((x,t),(y,s))) X_i(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y); \\ X_i X_j \varphi(y,s) &= f''(d((x,t),(y,s))) X_i(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y) X_j(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y) \\ &\quad + f'(d((x,t),(y,s))) X_i X_j(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y). \end{aligned}$$

From Definitions 2.1 and 2.2, we get the relation between the quasidistance d on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and the quasidistance d_1 on \mathbb{R}^N :

$$d((x,t),(y,s)) = \sqrt{\frac{d_1(x,y)^2 + \sqrt{d_1(x,y)^4 + 4|t-s|^2}}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y) &= \frac{d_1(x,y) X_i(d_1(x,\cdot))(y) + \frac{d_1(x,y)^3 X_i(d_1(x,y))}{\sqrt{d_1(x,y)^4 + 4|t-s|^2}}}{2d((x,t),(y,s))} \\ &= \frac{d_1(x,y) X_i(\rho_1(\cdot))(y^{-1} \cdot x) + \frac{d_1(x,y)^3 X_i(\rho_1(\cdot))(y^{-1} \cdot x)}{\sqrt{d_1(x,y)^4 + 4|t-s|^2}}}{2d((x,t),(y,s))}. \end{aligned}$$

By homogeneity of the norm ρ_1 , $X_i(\rho_1(\cdot))(y^{-1} \cdot x)$ is bounded. Consequently,

$$|X_i(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y)| \leq C.$$

Analogously,

$$|X_i X_j(d((x,t),(\cdot,s)))(y)| \leq \frac{C}{d((x,t),(y,s))},$$

for $d((x,t),(y,s))$ small enough. Then,

$$|X_i \varphi(y,s)| \leq \frac{C}{r-\gamma}.$$

Since $f'(d((x,t),(y,s))) \neq 0$ for $d((x,t),(y,s)) > \gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i X_j \varphi(y,s)| &\leq \frac{C}{(r-\gamma)^2} + \frac{C}{\gamma(r-\gamma)} \\ &\leq \frac{Cr}{\gamma(r-\gamma)^2} \leq \frac{C}{(r-\gamma)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, note

$$\partial_s \varphi(y,s) = f'(d((x,t),(y,s))) \frac{|t-s|}{d((x,t),(y,s)) \sqrt{d_1(x,y)^4 + 4|t-s|^2}},$$

which yields

$$|\partial_s \varphi(y,s)| \leq \frac{C}{\gamma(r-\gamma)} \leq \frac{Cr}{\gamma(r-\gamma)^2} \leq \frac{C}{(r-\gamma)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Theorem 3.1. For any fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, let

$$\Gamma_{ij}^0(z,\eta) = X_i X_j \Gamma(z_0; \cdot)(\eta^{-1} \circ z).$$

Then we have

(i) the growth condition:

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^0(z,\eta)| \leq \frac{C}{|B(z,d(z,\eta))|},$$

for every $z,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and some constant C ;

(ii) the Hörmander inequality: there exists a constant C such that for every $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, r > 0, z \in B_r(z_1), \eta \in \partial B_{2r}(z_1)$ (spherical surface of $B_{2r}(z_1)$),

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^0(z,\eta) - \Gamma_{ij}^0(z_1,\eta)| + |\Gamma_{ij}^0(\eta,z) - \Gamma_{ij}^0(\eta,z_1)| \leq C \frac{d(z_1,z)}{d(z_1,\eta)^{Q+3}}.$$

Proof. (i) By the uniform Gaussian estimates proved in [15] for the fundamental solution of \mathcal{H}_0 , we know that

$$\left| \partial_t^k X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_r} \Gamma((x_0,t_0);(x,t)) \right| \leq C_1 \frac{e^{-C_2(\|x\|_1)^2/t}}{t^{Q/2+k+r/2}}, \quad z = (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \quad (3.3)$$

with C_1, C_2 independent of $z_0 = (x_0,t_0)$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined in Definition 2.1. Then by (iii) of Proposition 2.1 and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{ij}^0(x,t)| &\leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|x\|_1 + |t|^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}} \right)^{Q+2} \frac{e^{-C_2(\|x\|_1)^2/t}}{(\|x\|_1 + |t|^{1/2})^{Q+2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_3}{(\|x\|_1 + |t|^{1/2})^{Q+2}} \leq \frac{C}{\|z\|^{Q+2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $z = (x,t)$ and the constant C_3 is independent of $z_0 = (x_0,t_0)$. This implies that

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^0(z,\eta)| \leq \frac{C}{\|\eta^{-1} \circ z\|^{Q+2}} = \frac{C}{d(z,\eta)^{Q+2}} \leq \frac{C}{|B(z,d(z,\eta))|}.$$

(ii) Fix $z_1, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and let $\varphi(z)$ be a cutoff function satisfying $B_r(z_1) \prec \varphi(z) \prec B_{3r/2}(z_1)$ and

$$|\partial_t^k D^h \varphi| = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq q} |\partial_t^k X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_h} \varphi| \leq \frac{C_{h,k}}{r^{h+2k}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Set $f(z) = \Gamma_{ij}^0(z, \eta) \varphi(z)$. Then $f \in C_0^1(B_{3r/2}(z_1))$, and for $d(z_1, z) < r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Gamma_{ij}^0(z, \eta) - \Gamma_{ij}^0(z_1, \eta) \right| = |f(z) - f(z_1)| \\ & \leq d(z_1, z) \left\{ \sup_{\zeta \in B_{3/2r}(z_1)} |Xf(\zeta)| + \frac{3}{2}r \sup_{\zeta \in B_{3/2r}(z_1)} |f_t(\zeta)| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.3)-(3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |Xf(\zeta)| &= |X\Gamma_{ij}^0(\zeta, \eta) \varphi(\zeta)| + |\Gamma_{ij}^0(\zeta, \eta) X\varphi(\zeta)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{d(\zeta, \eta)^{Q+3}} \leq \frac{C}{r^{Q+3}} \leq \frac{C}{d(z_1, \eta)^{Q+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$f_t(\zeta) = \partial_t \Gamma_{ij}^0(\zeta, \eta) \varphi(\zeta) + \Gamma_{ij}^0(\zeta, \eta) \varphi_t(\zeta) \leq \frac{C}{r^{Q+4}}.$$

Therefore, by (3.6), we get

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^0(z, \eta) - \Gamma_{ij}^0(z_1, \eta)| \leq C \frac{d(z_1, z)}{d(z_1, \eta)^{Q+3}}, \quad \text{for } d(z_1, \eta) \geq 2d(z_1, z).$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^0(\eta, z) - \Gamma_{ij}^0(\eta, z_1)| \leq C \frac{d(z_1, z)}{d(z_1, \eta)^{Q+3}}, \quad \text{for } d(z_1, \eta) \geq 2d(z_1, z).$$

This completes the proof of this theorem. \square

Theorem 3.2. For every multi-index β , there exists a constant $C = C(\beta, N, \mu)$ such that

$$\sup_{z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, |\eta|=1} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)^\beta \Gamma_{ij}(z_0; \eta) \right| \leq C.$$

Proof. This result can be obtained from Theorem 3.1 and [12, Theorem 12]. \square

Now consider (3.2). Writing \mathcal{H}_0 as $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H}_0 - \mathcal{H})$, and then substituting z for z_0 , we have a representation formula for the second order derivatives $X_i X_j f$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, $f = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $z = (x, t) \in \text{supp } f$. Then for $i, j = 1, \dots, q$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i X_j f(z) &= P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \Gamma_{ij}(z; \eta^{-1} \circ z) \left\{ \sum_{h,k=1}^q [a_{hk}(\eta) - a_{hk}(z)] X_h X_k f(\eta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathcal{H}f(\eta) \right\} d\eta + \alpha_{ij}(z) \mathcal{H}f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\alpha_{ij}(z) = \int_{\Sigma_{N+1}} \Gamma_j(z; \eta) v_i d\sigma(\eta),$$

v_i is the i -th component of the unit outward normal to the surface Σ_{N+1} .

For convenience, we will introduce some notations. Set

$$\mathcal{K}_{ij}f(z) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \Gamma_{ij}(z; \eta^{-1} \circ z) f(\eta) d\eta.$$

For a singular integral operator \mathcal{K} and a function $a \in BMO \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, define the commutator

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}, a]f = \mathcal{K}(af) - a\mathcal{K}(f).$$

Then (3.7) becomes

$$X_i X_j f = -\mathcal{K}_{ij}(\mathcal{H}f) + \sum_{h,k=1}^q \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{ij}, a_{hk}] X_h X_k f + \alpha_{ij} \mathcal{H}f, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, q. \quad (3.8)$$

4 Estimates of singular integrals

The L^p -estimates and $L^{p,\lambda}$ -estimates for singular integrals with constant kernel and their commutators with BMO functions have been given in [3] and [16], respectively, in the context of general homogeneous spaces. Note that singular integrals here are with variable kernel. The main result in this section is the boundedness of \mathcal{K}_{ij} and $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{ij}, a]$ in Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\lambda \in (0, Q+2)$ and $a \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$. For any $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, the singular integrals $\mathcal{K}_{ij}f$ and their commutators $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{ij}, a]f$ are bounded from $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ into itself, that is,*

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{ij}(f)\|_{p,\lambda} \leq C \|f\|_{p,\lambda} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{ij}, a](f)\|_{p,\lambda} \leq C \|a\|_* \|f\|_{p,\lambda}, \quad (4.2)$$

with $C = C(p, \lambda, Q, N)$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need to apply Calderón-Zygmund's technique of expansion in spherical harmonics (see [1, 2]). Let $\{Y_{km}\}$ ($m \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq g_m$) be a complete orthogonal system of spherical harmonics in $L^2(\Sigma_{N+1})$. Denote by m the degree of the polynomial and by g_m the dimension of the space of spherical harmonics of degree m in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . It is known that

$$g_m \leq C(N) m^{N-1}, \quad \forall m = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (4.3)$$

For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $\eta \in \Sigma_{N+1}$, there is an expansion:

$$\Gamma_{ij}(z; \eta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{g_m} C_{ij}^{km}(z) Y_{km}(\eta), \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, q. \quad (4.4)$$

For any given $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, let $\eta' = D(\|\eta\|^{-1})\eta$. Then $\eta' \in \Sigma_{N+1}$ by Proposition 2.3 (ii). By (4.4) and homogeneity of Γ_{ij} , we have

$$\Gamma_{ij}(z; \eta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{g_m} C_{ij}^{km}(z) \frac{Y_{km}(\eta')}{\|\eta\|^{Q+2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

The coefficients C_{ij}^{km} in the above expansion have the following bound: for every positive integer l , there exists a constant $C = C(l, \mu, N)$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}} |C_{ij}^{km}(z)| \leq C m^{-2l}, \quad \forall m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad k = 1, \dots, g_m. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $z' \in \Sigma_{N+1}$, let $H_{km}(z) = Y_{km}(z') / \|z\|^{Q+2}$. For the following singular integral operators

$$\mathcal{K}_{km} f(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} H_{km}(\eta^{-1} \circ z) f(\eta) d\eta,$$

and their commutators

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{km}, a] f(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} H_{km}(\eta^{-1} \circ z) [a(\eta) - a(z)] f(\eta) d\eta,$$

the results in [3] and [16] show that they are bounded from $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ into itself, namely,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{km}(f)\|_{p,\lambda} \leq C m^{(N+1)/2} \|f\|_{p,\lambda}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\|\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{km}, a](f)\|_{p,\lambda} \leq C m^{(N+1)/2} \|a\|_* \|f\|_{p,\lambda}, \quad (4.8)$$

with $C = C(p, \lambda, Q, N)$.

To prove Theorem 4.1 in our situation $(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, dz, d)$, it suffices to repeat the argument of [7, Theorem 2.1] making use of spherical harmonic expansion, (4.7) and (4.8).

5 Estimates of solutions in Sobolev-Morrey space

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we verify the $L^{p,\lambda}$ -estimate of two order derivation $X_i X_j f$ of the solution to the equation $\mathcal{H}f = F$, and then deduce the estimate for lower order term of \mathbf{H} by using interpolation inequalities. We first consider the localization of estimate (4.2): If $a \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ depending on ε and the VMO modulus η_a of a , such that for every $r \in (0, r_0)$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq B_r$, (4.2) can be localized as:

$$\|\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{K}_{ij}, a](f)\|_{p,\lambda; B_r} \leq C(p, \lambda, Q, N) \cdot \varepsilon \|f\|_{p,\lambda; B_r}, \quad \text{for } f \in L^{p,\lambda}(B_r). \quad (5.1)$$

From (3.8), (4.1) and (5.1), we derive immediately the Morrey estimate of $X_i X_j f$ on sufficiently small balls.

Lemma 5.1. For every $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\lambda \in (0, Q+2)$, there exist $C = C(p, \lambda, \mu)$ and $r_0 = r_0(p, \lambda, \mu, \eta_a)$ such that for $f \in S_0^p \cap S^{p, \lambda}(B_r, \mathcal{H})$, $f = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $\mathcal{H}f \in L^{p, \lambda}(B_r)$, $0 < r < r_0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, q$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p, \lambda; B_r} \leq C \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; B_r}. \quad (5.2)$$

With techniques of cutoff function and interpolation inequalities, from Lemma 5.1, we obtain the following local a priori estimate.

Lemma 5.2. ($L^{p, \lambda}$ -estimate without lower order terms) For every $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\lambda \in (0, Q+2)$ and every open set $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$, there exists $C = C(p, \lambda, \mu, \eta_a, |\Omega|, \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega))$ such that for every $f \in S^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$, $f = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $\mathcal{H}f \in L^{p, \lambda}(\Omega)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, q$,

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p, \lambda; \Omega'} \leq C \left\{ \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; \Omega} + \|f\|_{p, \lambda; \Omega} \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. For every $r_0 = r_0(p, \lambda, \mu, \eta_a) > 0$, let $r < r_0$. For $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, let $\sigma' = (1 + \sigma)/2$ and choose a cutoff function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_r)$ and $B_{\sigma r} \prec \varphi \prec B_{\sigma' r}$ satisfying

$$|X_i \varphi|^2 + |X_i X_j \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{(1 - \sigma)^2 r^2}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, q. \quad (5.4)$$

Set $g := f\varphi$. Then $g \in S_0^p \cap S^{p, \lambda}(B_r)$. It follows from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_i X_j f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma r}} &= \|X_i X_j g\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma r}} \leq C \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} \\ &\leq C \left(\|\varphi \mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} + \sum_{i, j=1}^q \|X_i f X_j \varphi\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} + \sum_{i, j=1}^q \|f X_i X_j \varphi\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; B_r} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)r} \|Xf\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^2 r^2} \|f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma' r}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Define the weighted Morrey seminorms:

$$\Phi_k = \sup_{\sigma \in (0, 1)} (1 - \sigma)^k r^k \|X^k f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma r}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\|X^k f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma r}} = \sum \|X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_k} f\|_{p, \lambda; B_{\sigma r}}$, with $X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_k}$ being the homogeneous of degree k . Consequently, (5.5) becomes

$$\Phi_2 \leq C \left(r^2 \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p, \lambda; B_r} + \Phi_1 + \Phi_0 \right). \quad (5.7)$$

For Φ_k , we claim the following version of the interpolation inequality

$$\Phi_1 \leq \varepsilon \Phi_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \Phi_0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (5.8)$$

In fact, from (5.6), for every $\theta > 0$, there exists $\sigma(\theta) \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\Phi_1 \leq (1 - \sigma(\theta))r \|Xf\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}} + \theta.$$

Using [12, Proposition 2] (or [9, Theorem 3.6]) gives

$$\|Xf\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}} \leq \delta \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}} + \frac{C}{\delta} \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}}, \quad \forall \delta > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Hence, taking $\delta = \varepsilon(1 - \sigma(\theta))r$ in (5.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &\leq \varepsilon(1 - \sigma(\theta))^2 r^2 \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma(\theta)r}} + \theta \\ &\leq \varepsilon \Phi_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \Phi_0 + \theta. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (5.8) by letting $\theta \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality. Using (5.8) in (5.7), we then get

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma r}} \leq \frac{C}{(1 - \sigma)^2 r^2} \left(r^2 \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right).$$

Finally, (5.3) follows by taking $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and covering Ω' with a finite number of balls of radius $r/2$ for $r \leq \min\{\text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega), r_0\}$. \square

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For every $f \in S_0^p \cap S^{p,\lambda}(B_r, \mathbf{H})$ and r small enough, we claim

$$\|b_i X_i f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \leq \begin{cases} C \|b_i\|_{Q+2;B_r} \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}, & p + \lambda \leq Q + 2, \\ C \|b_i\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}, & p + \lambda > Q + 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

In fact, for $p + \lambda \leq Q + 2$, by using the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality [13], it derives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\rho^{-\lambda} \int_{B_\rho \cap B_r} |b_i X_i f|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq C \rho^{-\lambda/p} \|b_i\|_{Q+2;B_r} \|X_i f\|_{\frac{(Q+2)p}{Q+2-p};B_r} \\ &\leq C \|b_i\|_{Q+2;B_r} \rho^{-\lambda/p} \|X_i f\|_{W^{1,p}(B_r)} \\ &\leq C \|b_i\|_{Q+2;B_r} \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

For $p + \lambda > Q + 2$, since $W^{1,p}(B_r) \hookrightarrow C^0(B_r)$ (see [13]) and $L^{p,\lambda}(B_r) \hookrightarrow L^p(B_r)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\rho^{-\lambda} \int_{B_\rho \cap B_r} |b_i X_i f|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(\rho^{-\lambda} \int_{B_\rho \cap B_r} |b_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \sup_{B_r} |X_i f| \\ &\leq C \|b_i\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \|X_i f\|_{W^{1,p}(B_r)} \\ &\leq C \|b_i\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

The estimate (5.10) can be verified from (5.11) and (5.12). Similarly, we can obtain

$$\|cf\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \leq \begin{cases} C\|c\|_{\frac{Q+2}{2};B_r}\|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}, & 2p+\lambda \leq Q+2, \\ C\|c\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}\|X^2f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r}, & 2p+\lambda > Q+2. \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

Hence, it follows from (5.2), (5.10), (5.13) and (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q \|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}f - \sum_{i=1}^q b_i X_i f - cf\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \sum_{i,j=1}^q \|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right).$$

Now, we consider $f \in S^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbf{H})$. For $B_r \subset \Omega$ with r small enough, and $\sigma' = (1+\sigma)/2$ with $\sigma \in (0,1)$, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_r)$ be a cutoff function such that $B_{\sigma r} \prec \varphi \prec B_{\sigma' r}$. Then

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma r}} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}(f\varphi)\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma' r}} + \|f\varphi\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma' r}} \right).$$

A similar argument as in Lemma 5.2 gives

$$\|X_i X_j f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma r}} \leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)^2 r^2} \left(r^2 \|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right). \quad (5.14)$$

From (1.2), (5.9) and (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t f\|_{p,\lambda;B_{\sigma r}} &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|X^2 f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|Xf\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)^2 r^2} \left(r^2 \|\mathbf{H}f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} + \|f\|_{p,\lambda;B_r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Combining (5.14) and (5.15) and using Lemma 5.2 conclude Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 200806990032), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10871157) and Keji Chuangxin Jijin of Northwestern Polytechnical University (Grant No. 2007KJ01012). We thank Processor Pengcheng Niu for suggesting this problem and for providing many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Calderón A P, Zygmund A. On the existence of certain singular integrals. *Acta Math*, 1952, **88**: 85-139.
- [2] Calderón A P, Zygmund A. Singular integral operators and differential equations. *Amer J Math*, 1957, **79**: 901-921.
- [3] Bramanti M, Cerutti C. Commutators of singular integrals in homogeneous spaces. *Boll Unione Mat Italiana*, 1996, **10**(7): 843-883.
- [4] Fan D, Lu S, Yang D. Regularity in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form elliptic equations with coefficients. *Georgian Math J*, 1998, **5**: 425-440.
- [5] Di Fazio G, Ragusa M A. Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to non-divergence form elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients. *J Funct Anal*, 1993, **112**: 241-256.
- [6] Di Fazio G. Global Morrey regularity of strong solutions to the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients. *J Funct Anal*, 1999, **13**: 161-207.
- [7] Palagachev D, Softova L. Singular integral operators, Morrey spaces and fine regularity of solutions to PDE's. *Potential Analysis*, 2004, **20**: 237-263.
- [8] Bramanti M, Brandolini L. Schauder estimates for parabolic nondivergence operators of Hörmander type. *J Differential Equations*, 2007, **234**: 177-245.
- [9] Bramanti M, Brandolini L. L^p -estimates for nonvariational hypoelliptic operators with VMO coefficients. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 1999, **352**(2): 781-822.
- [10] Bramanti M, Cerutti C, Manfredini M. L^p -estimates for some ultraparabolic operators with discontinuous coefficients. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1996, **200**: 332-354.
- [11] Polidoro S, Ragusa M A. Sobolev-Morrey space related to an ultraparabolic equation. *Manuscripta Math*, 2000, **96**: 371-392.
- [12] Bramanti M, Brandolini L. L^p -estimates for uniformly hypoelliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients on homogeneous groups. *Rend Sem Mat Univ Politec Torino*, 2000, **58**(4): 389-433.
- [13] Folland G B. Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups. *Arkiv för Math*, 1975, **13**: 161-207.
- [14] Fabes E, Rivière N. Singular integrals with mixed homogeneity. *Studia Math*, 1966, **27**: 19-38.
- [15] Bonfiglioli A, Lanconelli E, Uguzzoni F. Uniform Gaussian estimates of the fundamental solutions for heat operators on Carnot group. *Adv Differential Equation*, 2002, **7**: 1153-1192.
- [16] Fan D, Lu S, Yang D. Boundedness of operators in Morrey spaces on homogeneous spaces and its applications. *Acta Math Sinica*, 1999, **42**(4): 583-590.