

## A MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR ELLIPTIC AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE BOUNDARY PROBLEMS\*

Wang Lihe

(Princeton University, U. S. A.)

(Received Oct. 30, 1990; revised Jan. 3, 1992)

**Abstract** This paper prove a maximum principle for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear, second order, uniformly elliptic and parabolic equations with oblique boundary value conditions.

**Key Words** Maximum principle; viscosity solution; fully nonlinear equations.

**Classification** 35B45, 35K55.

In this note, we prove an Aleksandrov-Backlman-Pucci type maximum principle when the boundary conditions consist of oblique derivative conditions and Dirichlet conditions. We will show that the maximum principle holds if a large portion of the boundary has Dirichlet boundary conditions.

This kind of estimates are important for the oblique derivative problems. The reason is the following. If we blow up a solution of the oblique derivative problem near the boundary, the blow-up solution satisfies the above mixed boundary value problem. We will investigate this in a forthcoming paper.

### 1. The Maximum Principle, Elliptic Case

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $\partial\Omega = \partial_d\Omega \cup \partial_n\Omega$ . Assume  $\partial_d\Omega$  is closed and  $\partial_n\Omega$  is open with respect to the relative topology of  $\partial\Omega$ .

Consider a fully nonlinear elliptic operator

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 \tag{1}$$

in  $\Omega$ , with uniformly elliptic condition

$$\lambda|P| \leq F(M + P, v, u, x) - F(M, v, u, x) \leq \Lambda|P| \tag{2}$$

for any positive definite matrix  $P$ , where  $\lambda, \Lambda$  are fixed positive constants.

---

\* The project supported by NSF.

We say  $u$  is a solution of (1), always according to [1], namely in the sense of viscosity solutions.

We will first consider a special class of operators

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = g(x, t) \quad (3)$$

with the condition

$$F(0, P, u, x) \equiv 0 \quad (4)$$

We need some terminology. Let  $\Gamma(\Omega)$  be the convex hull of  $\Omega$ , namely  $\Gamma(\Omega) = \cap\{D \mid D \supset \Omega, \text{convex}\}$ .

Generalized Gauss map for any domain  $D$

$$G : \partial D \rightarrow 2^{S^{n-1}} \text{ (the subset of } S^{n-1}\text{)}$$

$$x \rightarrow \{\theta : \theta \text{ is an outer unit normal of } \partial D \text{ at } x\}$$

Let  $ds$  be the normalized surface measure on  $S^{n-1}$ .

$$ds(S^{n-1}) = 1$$

For  $A$ , a subset of  $R^n$ , let  $A \triangleright \{0\}$  be the cone with vertex 0 generated by  $A$ .

Now, we want to consider the following problem.

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = g(x) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \geq 0 \\ u \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{on } \partial_n \Omega \\ \text{on } \partial_d \Omega \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Let  $C_n$  be the co-cone of  $\partial_n \Omega$  as follows

$$C_n = \{v \in S^{n-1} \mid G(\partial_n \Omega) \cdot v < 0\}$$

Clearly  $C_n \triangleright \{0\}$  is a convex set.

**Theorem 1** Let  $u$  be a continuous solution of (5). Assume  $ds(C_n) \geq \alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then

$$\sup_{\Omega} u^- \leq C \left( \int_{\Gamma(u)=u} |g^-|^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Gamma(u)$  is the convex hull of  $u$

$$\Gamma(u) = \sup\{v(x) \mid v \leq 0 \text{ on } \partial_d \Omega, v \leq u \text{ convex}\}$$

and the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $n$  and the diameter of the domain  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma**  $\Gamma(u)$  is  $C_{loc}^{1,1}$ .

**Proof** We refer this to [1].

**Proof of Theorem 1**

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \Gamma(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\rightarrow \nabla \Gamma u(x) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, as in [1],

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(\Gamma(\Omega))| &\leq \int |\det D^2 \Gamma u| \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\Gamma(u)=u} |g^-|^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $|A|$  is the Lebesgue measure for a set  $A$ .

We have to bound  $|\Phi(\Gamma(\Omega))|$  from below by  $\sup u^-$ .

Let  $d$  be the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

We claim that

$$(C_n \triangleright \{0\}) \cap B_{\frac{\sup u^-}{d}}(0) \subset \Phi(\Gamma(\Omega)) \quad (7)$$

For each  $\nu \in (\Gamma(C_n \triangleright \{0\}) \cap B_{\frac{\sup u^-}{d}}(0))^\circ$ , take a hyperplane  $P$  with gradient  $\nu$  and below the graph of  $u$ . Clearly it is also below the graph of  $\Gamma(u)$ .

Now, consider the family of hyperplanes

$$P_t = P + t$$

for  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ .

There is clearly a critical  $t^*$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u \geq P + t^* \\ u(x_0) = P(x_0) + t^* \text{ for some } x_0 \end{cases}$$

We claim  $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$ , therefore  $\Phi(x_0) = \nu$ .

We prove it by contradiction. There are two cases

1)  $x_0 \in \partial_d \Omega$ , 2)  $x_0 \in \partial_n \Omega$

If it were case 1), then  $t^* + P(x_0) = u(x_0) \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &\geq P(x) + t^* \\ &\geq -\text{dis}(x, (P + t^*) \cap \mathbb{R}^n) \cdot |\nabla P| \\ &> -d \cdot \frac{\sup u^-}{d} = -\sup u^- \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If it were case 2), then

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \leq \frac{\partial P}{\partial n} = n \cdot \nu < 0 \quad (8)$$

which is a contradiction to the boundary condition.

From the claim,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n \alpha \left( \frac{\sup u^-}{d} \right)^n &\leq |\Phi((C_n \triangleright \{0\}) \cap B_{(\frac{\sup u^-}{d}}))| \\ &\leq |\Gamma(\Omega)| \\ &\leq C \int_{u=\Gamma(u)} |g^-|^n \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

## 2. The Parabolic Case

Consider the parabolic equation

$$u_t - F(D^2u, Du, u, x, t) = g(x, t) \quad (10)$$

in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . We follow the terminology as in [4].

We introduce a few more terminologies.

We say  $\Omega$  is convex iff  $\Omega \cap \{t = \text{const}\}$  is convex and  $\Omega \cap \{t = t_1\} \subset \Omega \cap \{t = t_2\}$  for any  $t_1 < t_2$  and  $\Omega \cap \{t = t_2\} \neq \emptyset$

We consider the boundary value problem, with  $\partial_p \Omega = \partial_{p,n} \Omega \cup \partial_{p,d} \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u_t - F(D^2u, Du, u, x, t) = g(x, t) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \geq 0 \\ u \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{on } \partial_{p,n} \Omega \\ \text{on } \partial_{p,d} \Omega \end{array} \quad (11)$$

Let  $C_n$  be the co-cone of  $\partial_{p,n} \Omega$ , as

$$C_n = \{\nu : \text{for any } t, G(\partial_{p,n} \Omega \cap \{t\}) \cdot \nu < 0\}$$

As in [3], we consider the map for a function  $v$ , which is convex in  $x$  and decreasing on  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_v : \Gamma(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \\ (x, t) &\rightarrow (\nabla v, v - x \cdot \nabla v) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have

$$J\Phi_v = -v_t \det D^2v$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $u$  be a solution of (11). Assume  $0 \in \Omega \cap \{t = t_{\min}\}$ , where  $t_{\min}$  is the minimum  $t$  coordinate of the points in  $\partial_p \Omega$ . Assume  $ds(C_n) \geq \alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then

$$\sup_{\Omega} u^- \leq C \left( \int_{\Gamma(u)=u} |g^-|^{n+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

where  $\Gamma(u)$  is the convex hull of  $u$ , defined as

$$\Gamma(u) = \sup\{v | v \leq 0 \text{ on } \partial_{p,d} \Omega, v \leq u, v \text{ convex in } x, \text{ decreasing in } t\}$$

and  $C$  depends on  $\alpha, \lambda, \Lambda, n$  and the diameter of the domain  $d$  only.

The following lemma is established in [4].

**Lemma**  $\Gamma(u)$  is  $C_{loc}^{1,1}$  in  $\Gamma(\Omega)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2** We follow as in [3] and [4]. Let  $M = -u(x_0, t_0)$ . We claim

$$D = \{(\xi, h) | \xi \in C_n, |\xi| \leq \frac{M}{d}, d|\xi| < h < M\} \subset \Phi_{\Gamma(u)}(\Gamma(\Omega)).$$

For  $(\xi, h) \in D$ , the hyperplane

$$P(x) = \xi x + h$$

is below  $u(x, t)$  on  $\partial_d \Omega$ .

If we translate  $P(y)$  along the positive  $t$ -direction, since  $P(0) = h < M$ , it would touch  $u$  at  $(x_1, t_1) \in \Omega$ . As in Section 1, we can show that  $(x_1, t_1) \in \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$ . Therefore

$$(x_1, t_1) \in \{\Gamma u = u\}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Phi_{\Gamma(u)}(x_1, t_1) = (\xi, h)$$

The rest of the proof follows as in [3].

$$\int_{\Gamma(u)=u \leq 0} -(\Gamma u)_t \det D^2(\Gamma u) dx dt \geq \alpha C_n M^{n+1} d^{-n}$$

We use geometric-arithmetic mean inequality

$$\sup u^- \leq C \left( \int_{u=\Gamma(u)} |g^-|^{n+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

as in [4] and [3].

**Remark 1** The solutions of (10) or (3) are the same as the function class  $S(\lambda, \Lambda, g)$  in [1] or [4].

**Remark 2** The similar estimates hold when we have other oblique boundary conditions. We only need to define the co-cone accordingly.

**Remark 3** Similarly these estimates hold when  $g$  involves  $\nabla u, u$  linearly. We refer this to [3].

## References

- [1] Caffarelli L., Interior estimates for fully nonlinear equations, *Ann. of Math.*, **130** (1989), 189-213.
- [2] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N. S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [3] Tso K. S., On an Aleksandrov-Bakel'man type maximum principle for second order parabolic equations, *Comm. PDE*, **10** (1985), 543-553.
- [4] Wang L., On the regularity theory of fully nonlinear parabolic equations I, to appear in *Comm. in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 1992.