

A Solution of Inverse Eigenvalue Problems for Unitary Hessenberg Matrices

Feng Li and Lu Lin*

(School of Sciences, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, China
 School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China
 E-mail: lifeng_shd@163.com, llin@xmu.edu.cn)

Received December 5, 2005; Accepted (in revised version) July 19, 2006

Abstract

Let $H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ unitary upper Hessenberg matrix whose subdiagonal elements are all positive. Partition H as

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (0.1)$$

where H_{11} is its $k \times k$ leading principal submatrix; H_{22} is the complementary matrix of H_{11} . In this paper, H is constructed uniquely when its eigenvalues and the eigenvalues of \hat{H}_{11} and \hat{H}_{22} are known. Here \hat{H}_{11} and \hat{H}_{22} are rank-one modifications of H_{11} and H_{22} respectively.

Keywords: Unitary upper Hessenberg matrix; Schur parameters; inverse eigenvalue problem.

Mathematics subject classification: 15A18, 70J05, 70J10

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H}_n denote the set of unitary upper Hessenberg matrices of order n with positive subdiagonal elements. It is known that any $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ can be written uniquely as the products

$$H \doteq H(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) = G_1(\gamma_1) \cdots G_{n-1}(\gamma_{n-1}) \tilde{G}_n(\gamma_n) \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$G_k(\gamma_k) = \text{diag} \left[I_{k-1}, \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_k & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & \gamma_k \end{pmatrix}, I_{n-k-1} \right], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\tilde{G}_n(\gamma_n) = \text{diag}[I_{n-1}, -\gamma_n].$$

The parameters $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are called *reflection coefficients* or *Schur parameters* in signal processing and satisfy $|\gamma_k|^2 + \sigma_k^2 = 1$, $\sigma_k > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $|\gamma_n| = 1$. We also refer to (1.1) as *Schur parametric form of H* [7] and to (1.2) as the complex Givens

*Corresponding author.

matrices. In this paper, I_j denotes the $j \times j$ identity matrix, e_j denotes the j -th column of the identity matrix, and $\lambda(T)$ denotes the spectrums of a square matrix T .

Two kinds of inverse eigenvalue problems for unitary Hessenberg matrices have been considered up to now. One is described in [1] and the methods for constructing a unitary Hessenberg matrix from spectral data are described in [1, 10]. It tells us that $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is uniquely determined by its eigenvalues and the eigenvalues of a multiplicative rank-one perturbation of H . Another inverse eigenvalue problem appears in [2] which demonstrates that $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ can also be determined by its eigenvalues and the eigenvalues of a modified $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ leading principal submatrix of H . All of them are analogous to relevant inverse eigenvalue problems of Jacobi matrices, i.e., real symmetric tridiagonal matrices with positive subdiagonal elements. Recent work by Jiang [9] proves a kind of inverse eigenvalue problem for Jacobi matrices.

Theorem 1.1. [9] *Given two real number sets $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$. If there is no common number between $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{k-1}$ and $\mu_k, \mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-1}$, and*

$$\lambda_1 < \mu_{j_1} < \lambda_2 < \mu_{j_2} < \dots < \mu_{j_{k-1}} < \lambda_k < \mu_{j_k} < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots < \mu_{j_{n-1}} < \lambda_n,$$

where (j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}) is a unique permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n-1)$, then there exists a unique Jacobi matrix T , such that $\lambda(T) = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\lambda(T_{1,k-1}) = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$, and $\lambda(T_{k+1,n}) = \{\mu_i\}_{i=k}^{n-1}$, where $T_{1,k-1}$ is the $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ leading principal submatrix of T , and $T_{k+1,n}$ is the complementary submatrix of $T_{1,k}$ ($T_{1,k}$ is the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrix of T).

Because the unitary upper Hessenberg matrices with positive subdiagonal elements have rich mathematical structures which are analogous to Jacobi matrices, we propose a new inverse eigenvalue problem for the matrix $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ similar to Theorem 1.1. That is, if we know all the eigenvalues of H and all the eigenvalues of matrices \widehat{H}_{11} and \widehat{H}_{22} , which are rank-one modifications of H_{11} and H_{22} respectively, can we construct the matrix H uniquely? Note that there is a little difference between Theorem 1.1 and our question: we just modify the last column of H_{11} and the first row of H_{22} instead of deleting the k -th row and the k -th column from H .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, using the notation in (1.1), we introduce two modified submatrices \widehat{H}_{kk} , $k = 1, 2$. Then the relations of spectral decompositions between H and \widehat{H}_{kk} , $k = 1, 2$, are discussed. At the end of this section, a rank-one modification on unitary diagonal matrix, which has the same eigenvalues with H , is obtained. Here the methods we used are analogous to an eigendecomposition in divide and conquer algorithm for unitary eigenproblem (see, e.g., [3,6,8]). In Section 3, we discuss the strictly interlacing properties between the eigenvalues of H and of \widehat{H}_{11} and \widehat{H}_{22} on the assumption that there is no common number between the eigenvalues of \widehat{H}_{11} and \widehat{H}_{22} . Then we describe how to construct H from two sets of spectra uniquely, and obtain the main theorem of this paper. In the final section, a numerical algorithm is proposed.

2. Eigendecomposition of H

It is known that a complex Givens matrix $G_k(\gamma_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, in (1.2) is diagonally uniquely equivalent with a real Givens reflector. More precisely, if we define

$$\gamma'_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_k}{|\gamma_k|}, & \text{if } \gamma_k \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \gamma_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

then $|\gamma'_k| = 1$, and

$$G_k(\gamma_k) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & \\ & \gamma'_k & \\ & & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & \\ & -|\gamma_k| & \sigma_k \\ & \sigma_k & |\gamma_k| \\ & & & I_{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_k & & \\ & \overline{\gamma'_k} & \\ & & I_{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently, (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= G_1 \cdots G_{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & \\ & \gamma'_k & \\ & & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & \\ & -|\gamma_k| & \sigma_k \\ & \sigma_k & |\gamma_k| \\ & & & I_{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_k & & \\ & \overline{\gamma'_k} & \\ & & I_{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix} G_{k+1} \cdots \tilde{G}_n \\ &= G_1 \cdots G_{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{G}_k(-\gamma'_k) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & \\ & -|\gamma_k| & \sigma_k \\ & \sigma_k & |\gamma_k| \\ & & & I_{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix} G_{k+1}(\overline{\gamma'_k}\gamma_{k+1}) \cdots \tilde{G}_n(\overline{\gamma'_k}\gamma_n) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_{11} & \\ & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} (I - 2ww^*) \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \\ & \hat{H}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{11} = H(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}, -\gamma'_k) \in \mathcal{H}_k, \quad \hat{H}_{22} = H(\overline{\gamma'_k}\gamma_{k+1}, \overline{\gamma'_k}\gamma_{k+2}, \dots, \overline{\gamma'_k}\gamma_n) \in \mathcal{H}_{n-k}, \quad (2.3)$$

and $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$w = \omega_k e_k + \omega_{k+1} e_{k+1},$$

where $\omega_k = (\frac{1+|\gamma_k|}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\omega_{k+1} = -(\frac{1-|\gamma_k|}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $k = 1, 2$, taking a closer look at \hat{H}_{kk} reveals that \hat{H}_{kk} differs from H_{kk} in (0.1) only in the last column or the first row. Therefore, \hat{H}_{kk} is called as a rank-one modification of H_{kk} .

Assume that spectral decompositions of H and of the two submatrices \hat{H}_{kk} ($k = 1, 2$) are known, i.e.,

$$H = W \Lambda W^*,$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{kk} = W_k \Omega_k W_k^*, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where W and W_k are unitary matrices and Λ , Ω_k are diagonal. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W} &= \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & \\ & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \\ & \Omega_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ z &= [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]^T = \begin{bmatrix} W_1^* e_k \omega_k \\ \overline{\Omega_2} W_2^* e_1 \omega_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.4)$$

Substituting above into (2.2) renders the following formulation,

$$H = \widetilde{W} \Omega (I - 2zz^*) \widetilde{W}^*.$$

Let

$$A = \Omega (I - 2zz^*).$$

Since \widetilde{W} is unitary and A has the same eigenvalues as H , we introduce the characteristic polynomial of H ,

$$\chi(\lambda) \doteq \det(H - \lambda I) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \det(\Omega - \lambda I) (1 - 2z^*(\Omega - \lambda I)^{-1} \Omega z). \quad (2.5)$$

3. Inverse eigenvalue problem

Notice that any eigenvalue of $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ lies on the unit circle. Then $\lambda \in \lambda(H)$, $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{11})$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{22})$ can be described as

$$\lambda = \exp(i\theta), \quad \mu_j = \exp(iv_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$-\pi < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_k \leq \pi,$$

$$-\pi < \nu_{k+1} < \nu_{k+2} < \dots < \nu_n \leq \pi.$$

Assume that there is no common number between $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n$, i.e., $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = k+1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a unique permutation (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that

$$-\pi < \nu_{j_1} < \nu_{j_2} < \dots < \nu_{j_n} \leq \pi. \quad (3.2)$$

The eigenvalues of \widehat{H}_{kk} and H have the following interlacing property.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n \in \lambda(H)$, $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{11})$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{22})$, where H is defined by (1.1), \widehat{H}_{11} and \widehat{H}_{22} by (2.3). If there is no common number between $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n$, then there exists a unique permutation (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\mu_{j_m}\}_{m=1}^n$ have the strictly interlacing properties on the unit circle.*

Proof. It is known that the eigenvalues of \widehat{H}_{11} interlace with the eigenvalues of H (for detail, see [4]). In fact, \widehat{H}_{22} has the same property as \widehat{H}_{11} . Let $\widetilde{H} = JH^T J$ and $\widetilde{H}_{22} = J\widehat{H}_{22}^T J$, where $J = [e_n, e_{n-1}, \dots, e_1]$. Then $\widetilde{H} \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and \widetilde{H} have the same eigenvalues as that of H . So do \widetilde{H}_{22} and \widehat{H}_{22} . Using the notion of (1.1), we get that

$$\widetilde{H} = H(\widetilde{\gamma}_1, \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \dots, \widetilde{\gamma}_n), \quad \widetilde{H}_{22} = H(\widetilde{\gamma}_1, \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \dots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n-k-1}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n-k}),$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}_i = \overline{\gamma}_{n-i}\gamma_n, i = 1, \dots, n-1, \widetilde{\gamma}_n = \gamma_n, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n-k} = \overline{\gamma}_k\gamma_n, k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

It is obvious that \widetilde{H}_{22} is the modified $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ leading principal submatrix of \widetilde{H} . So the eigenvalues of \widetilde{H}_{22} and \widetilde{H} have interlacing property. Recall that \widetilde{H}_{22} has the same eigenvalues as that of \widehat{H}_{22} . By (3.1) and let $\lambda_j = \exp(i\theta_j), j = 1, \dots, n$, we can get that $\theta_i \neq \nu_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Hence according to (2.5), we know that all eigenvalues of H include the roots of the function

$$f(\lambda) = 1 - 2z^*(\Omega - \lambda I)^{-1}\Omega z = 1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_j - \lambda} |z_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 \frac{\lambda + \mu_j}{\lambda - \mu_j}.$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 \frac{\exp(i(\theta - \nu_j)) + 1}{\exp(i(\theta - \nu_j)) - 1} = -i \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 \cot\left(\frac{\theta - \nu_j}{2}\right),$$

where we have used the fact $z^*z = 1$. Define

$$\Phi(\theta) \doteq -if(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 \cot\left(\frac{\nu_j - \theta}{2}\right).$$

Reordering $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ just as that in (3.2), we have

$$\Phi(\theta) = \sum_{m=1}^n |z_{j_m}|^2 \cot\left(\frac{\nu_{j_m} - \theta}{2}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, finding the roots of the spectral function $f(\lambda)$ is equivalent to finding the roots of $\Phi(\theta)$. An inspection of $\Phi(\theta)$ shows that it has n poles on the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$ occurring at each of the ν_{j_m} 's. Assume all of the $\{|z_j|\}_{j=1}^n$ are nonvanishing. Then by (3.3) we have

$$\Phi'(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_{j_m}|^2}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\nu_{j_m} - \theta}{2}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence we obtain that $\Phi(\theta)$ has n distinct zeros $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and the sets $\{\nu_{j_m}\}_{m=1}^n$ interlace on the unit circle with $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ strictly. That is

$$-\pi < \nu_{j_1} < \theta_1 < \nu_{j_2} < \dots < \nu_{j_n} < \theta_n < \nu_{j_1} + 2\pi, \tag{3.4}$$

or

$$\nu_{j_n} - 2\pi < \theta_1 < \nu_{j_1} < \theta_2 < \nu_{j_2} < \cdots < \theta_n < \nu_{j_n} \leq \pi. \quad (3.5)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. ■

Now according to (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\lambda) &= \det(\Omega - \lambda I) \left(1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_j - \lambda} |z_j|^2 \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_j - \lambda) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\mu_j |z_j|^2 \prod_{l=1}^n (\mu_l - \lambda)}{\mu_j - \lambda} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Recall that for $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$, the geometric multiplicity of its eigenvalues is one (for details, see [5]). This implies that

$$\chi(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j - \lambda), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, for $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then combining (3.6) and (3.7), and setting $\lambda = \mu_t$, for $t = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j - \mu_t) = -2\mu_t |z_t|^2 \prod_{j=1, j \neq t}^n (\mu_j - \mu_t).$$

Assume $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ have strictly interlacing properties on the unit circle, or, $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfy the condition (3.4) or (3.5). By (3.1), the above result can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |z_t|^2 &= - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\exp(i(\theta_j - \nu_t)) - 1)}{2 \prod_{j \neq t} (\exp(i(\nu_j - \nu_t)) - 1)}, \\ &= -i \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_j - \nu_j}{2} \right) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \sin(\frac{\theta_j - \nu_t}{2})}{\prod_{j \neq t} \sin(\frac{\nu_j - \nu_t}{2})}, \quad t = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of H , and $z^*z = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = \det(H) = \det(\Omega(I - 2zz^*)) \\ &= -\det(\Omega) = -\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j = -\exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n \in \lambda(H)$, $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{11})$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n \in \lambda(\widehat{H}_{22})$, then

$$\exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta_j - \nu_j)\right) = -1.$$

Hence in order to make sure that (3.8) is positive for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we know that when $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfy (3.4), and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_j - \nu_j}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{3.9}$$

Eq. (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$|z_t| = \left[\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j - \nu_t}{2}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq t} \sin\left(\frac{\nu_j - \nu_t}{2}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.10}$$

At the same time, when $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfy (3.5), and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_j - \nu_j}{2} = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{3.11}$$

(3.8) can be expressed as

$$|z_t| = \left[-\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j - \nu_t}{2}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq t} \sin\left(\frac{\nu_j - \nu_t}{2}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, $\{|z_i|\}_{i=1}^n$ can be constructed by $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ if they have strictly interlacing properties and satisfy (3.9) or (3.11). In fact, using the polynomial division and partial fraction decomposition, the uniqueness of $\{|z_j|\}_{j=1}^n$ is obvious by using (3.6) and (3.7).

Now we introduce a well-known implicit Q theorem (see [5] or [2]) which is useful in proving the uniqueness of H .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a unitary diagonal matrix with distinct λ_i , and let $u_1 = [\xi_i]_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a unit vector with each $|\xi_i| \neq 0$. Then there exists a unitary matrix U and a unique $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $Ue_1 = u_1$, and $\Lambda U = UH$.*

From Lemma 3.2, we see that any $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ can be uniquely determined by its eigenvalues and the moduli space of the first components of its normalized eigenvectors. It is easily seen that H is also uniquely determined by its eigenvalues and the last components of its normalized eigenvectors (for detail, see [2]).

Theorem 3.1. Let two sets $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be on the unit circle in the complex plane which can be expressed by (3.1). If they satisfy the conditions (3.4) and (3.9) or (3.5) and (3.11), then there exists a unique $H = H(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $\lambda(H) = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\lambda(\widehat{H}_{11}) = \{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\lambda(\widehat{H}_{22}) = \{\mu_j\}_{j=k+1}^n$, where \widehat{H}_{11} , \widehat{H}_{22} are defined by (2.3) and γ'_k by (2.1).

Proof. Because there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ (see [1]), we only need to obtain $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n$ uniquely in order to construct H .

In fact, when $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.1, $\{|z_j|\}_{j=1}^n$ can be calculated easily by (3.10) or (3.12). Now, look back on z in (2.4). Partition it as follows,

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\eta_1 = W_1^* e_k \omega_k$, and $\eta_2 = \overline{\Omega}_2 W_2^* e_1 \omega_{k+1}$. Notice that η_1 is the last column of W_1^* by a real number ω_k , while η_2 is the first column of W_2^* by a unitary diagonal matrix $\overline{\Omega}_2$ and ω_{k+1} . Hence the last column of W_1^* and the first column of W_2^* can be obtained easily by matrix-vector calculations and normalization. In fact,

$$W_1^* e_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k1} \\ x_{k2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{kk} \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_2^* e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,k+1} \\ x_{1,k+2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{1,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the fact $|\overline{\mu}_j| = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and the definition of ω_k and ω_{k+1} , we get

$$\begin{cases} |z_j| = \omega_k |x_{k,j}|, & j = 1, \dots, k, \\ |z_j| = -\omega_{k+1} |x_{1,j}|, & j = k+1, \dots, n. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Since W_1 is unitary, we have $\sum_{j=1}^k |x_{k,j}|^2 = 1$. So $\omega_k = (\sum_{j=1}^k |z_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|x_{k,j}| = |z_j|/\omega_k$, for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Similarly, ω_{k+1} and $\{|x_{1,j}|\}_{j=k+1}^n$ can be obtained, i.e., $\omega_{k+1} = -(\sum_{j=k+1}^n |z_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|x_{1,j}| = -|z_j|/\omega_{k+1}$, $j = k+1, \dots, n$. Thus, $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma'_k, \dots, \gamma_n$ can be calculated by IUQR algorithm of [1] and γ_k can be obtained by γ'_k and ω_k easily.

The uniqueness of the construction of H is obvious. In fact, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma'_k, \dots, \gamma_n$ can be obtained uniquely according to Lemma 3.2. Also since $\{|z_j|\}_{j=1}^n$ is unique, we can obtain $|\gamma_k|$ uniquely. It follows that γ_k can be obtained uniquely. ■

4. Algorithm

Summarizing the above discussions, we give the following algorithm for constructing the matrix $H = H(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$:

1. Compute $\{|z_j|\}_{j=1}^n$ from (3.10) or (3.12) for $j = 1, \dots, n$, to get $|x_{k,j}|_{j=1}^k, |x_{1,j}|_{j=k+1}^n$ and ω_k .
2. Let $\tilde{H}_{11} = J\hat{H}_{11}^T J$, where $J = [e_k, e_{k-1}, \dots, e_1]$. Use the **IUQR** algorithm of [1] to compute the *Schur parameters* $\{\tilde{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of \tilde{H}_{11} such that $\Omega_1 \tilde{W}_1^* = \tilde{W}_1^* \tilde{H}_{11}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_j &= \bar{\gamma}_{k-j} \gamma'_k, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_k = \gamma'_k, \\ \tilde{W}_1^* &= \bar{W}_1^* J.\end{aligned}$$

Then determine $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma'_k$.

3. If $\gamma'_k = 1$, then $\gamma_k = 0$; otherwise $\gamma_k = \gamma'_k |\gamma_k|$, where $|\gamma_k|$ is obtained by $\omega_k = \left(\frac{1+|\gamma_k|}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
4. Use the **IUQR** algorithm of [1] to compute the *Schur parameters* $\{\tilde{\gamma}'_k \gamma_i\}_{i=k+1}^n$ and such that $\Omega_2 W_2^* = W_2^* \hat{H}_{22}$, then get $\gamma_{k+1}, \gamma_{k+2}, \dots, \gamma_n$.
5. Construct $H \doteq H(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) = G_1(\gamma_1)G_2(\gamma_2) \cdots \tilde{G}_n(\gamma_n)$.

Acknowledgment This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (No. Z0511010) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10571012).

References

- [1] Ammar G S, Gragg W B, Reichel L. Constructing a unitary Hessenberg matrix from spectral data. In Numerical Linear Algebra, Digital Signal Processing and Parallel Algorithms, Golub G H, Van Dooren P (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pages 385-396.
- [2] Ammar G S, He Ch. On an inverse eigenvalue problem for unitary Hessenberg matrices. Linear Algebra Appl., 1995, 218: 263-271.
- [3] Ammar G S, Reichel L, Sorensen D C. An implementation of a divide and conquer algorithm for the unitary eigenproblem. ACM Trans. Math. Software, 1992, 18: 292-307.
- [4] Bohnhorst B, Bunse-Gerstner A, Fabbender H. On the perturbation theory for unitary eigenvalue problems. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 2000, 21(3): 809-824.
- [5] Golub G H, Van Loan C F. Matrix Computations, Third edition, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996, pages 346-348.
- [6] Gragg W B, Reichel L. A divide and conquer method for unitary and orthogonal eigenproblems. Numer. Math., 1990, 57: 695-718.
- [7] Gragg W B. Positive definite Toeplitz matrices, the Arnoldi process for isometric operators, and Gaussian quadrature on the unit circle. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 1993, 46: 183-198.
- [8] Gu M, Guzzo R, Chi X, Cao X. A stable divide and conquer algorithm for the unitary eigenproblem. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 2003, 25(2): 385-404.
- [9] Jiang E X. An inverse eigenvalue problem for Jacobi matrices. J. Comput. Math., 2003, 21(5): 569-584.
- [10] Reichel L, Ammar G S, Gragg W B. Discrete least square approximation by trigonometric polynomials. Math. Comp., 1991, 57: 273-289.