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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider normality criteria for a family of meromorphic functions concerning shared values. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D, m, n, k$ and $d$ be four positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+2$ and $d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1}$, and $a(\neq 0), b$ be two finite constants. Suppose that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has all its zeros and poles of multiplicity at least $k$ and $d$, respectively. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}$ and $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}$ share the value $b$ for every pair of functions $(f, g)$ of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$. Our results improve the related theorems of Schwick (Schwick W. Normality criteria for families of meromorphic function. J. Anal. Math., 1989, 52: 241-289), Li and Gu (Li Y T, Gu Y X. On normal families of meromorphic functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2009, 354: 421-425).
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## 1 Introduction and Main Results

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the set of complex numbers, $D$ be a domain in $\mathbf{C}$, which means that $D$ is a connected nonempty open subset of $\mathbf{C}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in $D$. For $\{f, g\} \subset \mathcal{F},\{a, b\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, we write $f=a \Rightarrow g=b(f=a \Leftrightarrow g=b)$ if $f^{-1}(a) \subset g^{-1}(b)\left(f^{-1}(a)=g^{-1}(b)\right)$, and say that $f$ and $g$ share $a$ ignoring multiplicities

[^0](IM, for short) if $f^{-1}(a)=g^{-1}(a)$ (see [1]). Here, the family $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be normal in $D$ if any sequence of $\mathcal{F}$ must contain a subsequence that locally uniformly spherically converges to a meromorphic function or $\infty$ in $D$ (see [2]).

In 1989, Schwick ${ }^{[3]}$ proved a normality criterion:
Theorem 1.1 Let $k, n(\geq k+3)$ be two positive integers, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D$. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)} \neq 1$ for every function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

In 1998, Wang and Fang ${ }^{[4]}$ proved:
Theorem 1.2 Let $k, n(\geq k+1)$ be two positive integers, and $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function. Then $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ assumes every finite non-zero value infinitely often.

For families of meromorphic functions, the connection between normality and shared values has been studied frequently.

By the ideas of shared values, Li and $\mathrm{Gu}^{[5]}$ proved the following results:
Theorem 1.3 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D, k$, $n(\geq k+2)$ be two positive integers, and $a \neq 0$ be a finite complex number. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ share a in $D$ for every pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

In 2011, Liu and $\mathrm{Li}^{[6]}$ studied Theorem 1.3, in which the value $a$ was replaced by the fix-point $z$, and got the following result:

Theorem 1.4 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D, k$, $n(\geq k+1)$ be two positive integers. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ share $z$ in $D$ for every pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

Lately, some theorems in this area appear. Hu and Meng ${ }^{[7]}$, Jiang and Gao ${ }^{[8]}$ studied the functions of the form $f\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{n}$. Ding et al. ${ }^{[9]}$ studied the functions of the form $f^{m}\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{n}$ and Sun ${ }^{[10]}$ studied the form $P(f)\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{m}$.

Naturally, we pose the following question:
Question Whether the form $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}$ in above Theorems can have similar results?
In this paper, we prove the following theorems and deal with this question.
Theorem 1.5 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D$, m, $n, k$ be three positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+k+3$, and $a(\neq 0)$, b be two finite complex constants. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m} \neq b$ for every functions $f$ of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

Whether the condition $m \geq n+k+3$ in Theorem 1.5 can be improved? We get the following results:

Theorem 1.6 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D$, m, $n, k(\geq 2)$ and $d$ be four positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+k+1$ and $d \geq 2$, and $a(\neq 0), b$ be two finite complex constants. Suppose that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has all its poles of multiplicity at least $d$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m} \neq b$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

By the ideas of shared values, we can get the following results:

Theorem 1.7 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D, m$, $n, k$ and $d$ be four positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+2$ and $d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1}$, and $a(\neq 0), b$ be two finite constants. Suppose that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has all its zeros and poles of multiplicity at least $k$ and $d$, respectively. If $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}$ and $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}$ share the value $b$ IM for every pair of functions $(f, g)$ of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

## 2 Some Lemmas

In order to improve our theorems, we require the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ${ }^{[11]}$ Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc $\Delta$ such that all zeros of functions in $\mathcal{F}$ have multiplicity $\geq p$, and all poles of functions in $\mathcal{F}$ have multiplicity $\geq q$. Let $\alpha$ be a real number satisfying $-q<\alpha<p$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal in any neighbourhood of $z_{0} \in \Delta$ if and only if there exist
(a) points $z_{j} \in \Delta, z_{j} \rightarrow z_{0}$;
(b) functions $f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}$, and
(c) positive numbers $\rho_{j} \rightarrow 0$,
such that $g_{j}(\xi)=\rho_{j}^{-\alpha} f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right) \rightarrow g(\xi)$ spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, where $g(\xi)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function satisfying that all zeros of $g$ have multiplicity $\geq p$ and all poles of functions in $\mathcal{F}$ have multiplicity $\geq q$ and order at most 2 .

Lemma 2.2 Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv 0, a(\neq 0)$ be a finite constant, and $m, n, k$ and $d$ be four positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+k+1$. Then

$$
(m-n) T(r, f) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

Proof. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z)=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}(z)}{a f^{m}(z)} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv 0$, we know that $\Psi(z) \not \equiv 0$.
By (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a f^{m}(z)}{f^{n}(z)}=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}(z)}{f^{n}(z) \Psi(z)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m-n) m(r, f) & =m\left(r, f^{m-n}\right) \\
& \leq m\left(r, a f^{m-n}\right)+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|a|} \\
& \leq m\left(r, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{f^{n} \Psi}\right)+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|a|} \\
& \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{f^{n}}\right)+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|a|},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) m(r, f) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that a zero $\Psi$ is attained at pole of $f$ and zeros of $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ which is not zero of $f$, and a pole of $f$ must be zero of $\Psi$ by the condition $m \geq n+k+1$. The pole of $f$ cannot be zero of $\Psi-1$. Hence, if we denote $\bar{N}_{0}(r)$ by the counting function of zeros of both $\Psi$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)=\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}_{0}(r)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \bar{N}(r, \Psi) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi-1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{n}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}(r) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m N(r, f) & =N\left(r, a f^{m}\right) \\
& =N\left(r, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{\Psi}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(r,\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}(r) \\
& \leq n N(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) N(r, f) \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}(r) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.3)-(2.7) and Nevanlinna's first and second fundamental theorems, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m-n) T(r, f) & \leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)-\bar{N}_{0}(r)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, \Psi)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi-1}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)-\bar{N}_{0}(r)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) T(r, f) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions defined in a domain $D, m, n$, $k$ and $d$ be four positive integers satisfying $m \geq n+2$ and $d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1}$, and $a(\neq 0)$,
$b$ be two finite complex constants. Suppose that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has all its zeros and poles of multiplicity at least $k$ and $d$, respectively, then

$$
T(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{k} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

Proof. By the argument as Lemma 2.2, since the condition that all zeros and poles of $f$ are multiplicities at least $k$ and $d$, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{d} N(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{d} T(r, f) \leq \frac{m-n-1}{k+1} T(r, f),  \tag{2.9}\\
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} T(r, f) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (2.9), (2.10) and the inequality (2.8), we get

$$
T(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{k} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.5 Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z_{0}$. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exist $f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}, z_{j} \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $\rho_{j} \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that

$$
g_{j}(\xi)=\rho_{j}^{\frac{k}{m-n}} f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right) \rightarrow g(\xi)
$$

spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, where $g(\xi)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on C. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}(\xi)-a g_{j}^{m}(\xi)-\rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{\frac{k-n}{m}} b} \\
= & \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}}\left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-a \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}} f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-\rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}} b \\
= & \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}}\left(\left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-a f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-b\right) \\
\rightarrow & \left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}(\xi)-a g^{m}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$ outside poles of $g$. By hypothesis, $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-$ $a f^{m} \neq b$ for every functions $f$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Applying Hurwitz theorem, we obtain that

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \equiv 0
$$

or

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \neq 0 .
$$

If $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \equiv 0$, then $g$ has not poles. By the logarithmic derivative lemma, we get

$$
(m-n) m(r, g)=S(r, g)
$$

Hence

$$
T(r, g)=S(r, g),
$$

and this contradicts with $g$ is nonconstant meromorphic function. Thus,

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \neq 0 .
$$

Then $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)} \not \equiv 0$.

Indeed, if $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)} \equiv 0$, then $g^{n}$ is polynomial with degree at most $k-1$, which is a contradiction with $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 2.2 with meromorphic function $g$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) T(r, g) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
(m-n-k-2) T(r, g) \leq S(r, f)
$$

By $m \geq n+k+3$, we conclude that $g$ is constant function. This is a contradiction. Hence, $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 By the argument as Theorem 1.5, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z_{0}$. Then by Lemma 2.1 , there exist $f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}, z_{j} \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $\rho_{j} \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that

$$
g_{j}(\xi)=\rho_{j}^{\frac{k}{m-n}} f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right) \rightarrow g(\xi)
$$

spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, where $g(\xi)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ and all its poles has multiplicity at least 2. Hence, from the inequality (3.1), we get

$$
(m-n) T(r, g) \leq \frac{(k+1)}{2} \bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

By hypothesis,

$$
m \geq n+k+1>n+\frac{(k+1)}{2}+1
$$

we get that $g$ is a constant function. This is a impossible. Hence, $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.
Proof of Theorem 1.7 Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z_{0}$. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exist $f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}, z_{j} \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $\rho_{j} \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that

$$
g_{j}(\xi)=\rho_{j}^{\frac{k}{m-n}} f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right) \rightarrow g(\xi)
$$

spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, where $g(\xi)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ and whose zeros and poles has multiplicity at least $k$ and $d$, respectively. Moreover, the order of $g$ is at most 2. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}(\xi)-a g_{j}^{m}(\xi) \\
= & \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}}\left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-a \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}} f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-\rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}} b \\
= & \rho_{j}^{\frac{k m}{m-n}}\left(\left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-a f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi\right)-b\right) \\
\rightarrow & \left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}(\xi)-a g^{m}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

spherically uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$ outside poles of $g$. Hence, we apply Hurwitz theorem and obtain that

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \equiv 0
$$

or

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \neq 0
$$

If $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \equiv 0$, since all poles of $g$ have multiplicity at least $d$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m T(r, g) & =T\left(r, g^{m}\right) \\
& =T\left(r,\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \\
& =m\left(r,\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}\right)+N\left(r,\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \\
& \leq n m(r, g)+n N(r, g)+k \bar{N}(r, g)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq n T(r, g)+\frac{k(m-n-1)}{k+1} T(r, g)+S(r, g) \\
& <(m-1) T(r, g)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $g$ is a constant, a contradiction. So

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \not \equiv 0 .
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) & \leq \frac{1}{k} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k} T\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, g) \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{k-1}\right) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}}\right)+S(r, f) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m} \neq 0$, then (3.2) gives that $g$ is a constant. Hence, $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}$ is a meromorphic function and has at least one zero.

Next, we prove that $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}$ has just a unique zero.
Suppose to the contrary, let $\xi_{0}, \xi_{0}^{*}$ be two distinct zeros of $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}(\xi)-a g^{m}(\xi)$, and choose $\delta>0$ small enough such that

$$
D\left(\xi_{0}, \delta\right) \cap D\left(\xi_{0}^{*}, \delta\right)=\emptyset
$$

where

$$
D\left(\xi_{0}, \delta\right)=\left\{\xi:\left|\xi-\xi_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}, \quad D\left(\xi_{0}^{*}, \delta\right)=\left\{\xi:\left|\xi-\xi_{0}^{*}\right|<\delta\right\}
$$

By Hurwitz theorem, there exists a sequence of points $\xi_{j} \in D\left(\xi_{0}, \delta\right)$ and $\xi_{j}^{*} \in D\left(\xi_{0}^{*}, \delta\right)$ such that for large enough $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}\right)+a f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}\right)-b=0, \\
& \left(f_{j}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*}\right)+a f_{j}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*}\right)-b=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F},\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}$ and $\left(g^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a g^{m}$ share $b$ in $D$, we know that for any positive integer $m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{m}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}\right)+a f_{m}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}\right)-b=0, \\
& \left(f_{m}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*}\right)+a f_{m}^{m}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*}\right)-b=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $m$, take $j \rightarrow \infty$ and note $z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j} \rightarrow 0, z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*} \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\left(f_{m}^{n}\right)^{(k)}(0)+a f_{m}^{m}(0)-b=0
$$

Since $\left(f^{n}\right)_{m}^{(k)}+a f_{m}^{m}-b$ has no accumulation point, one has

$$
z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}=0, \quad z_{j}+\rho_{j} \xi_{j}^{*}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\xi_{j}=\frac{z_{j}}{\rho_{j}}, \quad \xi_{j}^{*}=\frac{z_{j}}{\rho_{j}} .
$$

This contradicts with $\xi_{j} \in D\left(\xi_{0}, \delta\right), \xi_{j}^{*} \in D\left(\xi_{0}^{*}, \delta\right)$ and $D\left(\xi_{0}, \delta\right) \bigcap D\left(\xi_{0}^{*}, \delta\right)=\emptyset$. So $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}+a f^{m}$ has just a unique zero, which can be denoted by $\xi_{0}$.

Noting that $g$ has zeros and poles of multiplicities at least $k$ and $d$ respectively, then (3.2) deduces that $g$ is a rational function with degree at most 2.

If $g$ is a polynomial and noting that $\operatorname{deg} g \leq 2$ and the multiplicities of zeros are at least $k$, we distinguish two cases.

Case $1 \operatorname{deg} g=1$.
We can write $g=A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)$, where $A$ is a nonzero constant. So

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m}=\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left[n A^{n}-a A^{m}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{(m-n-1)}\right] .
$$

Obviously, $\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m}$ has at least two distinct zeros, a contradiction.
Case $2 \operatorname{deg} g=2$.
We distinguish two cases again.
Case $2.1 k=1$.
We can write $g=A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi-\xi_{2}\right)$, where $A$ is a nonzero constant. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m} \\
= & \left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left(\xi-\xi_{2}\right)^{n-1}\left[A^{n} n 2\left(\xi-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)-a A^{m}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{(m-n+1)}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{(m-n+1)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m}$ has at least three distinct zeros, a contradiction.
Case $2.2 k=2$.
We can write $g=A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}$, where $A$ is a nonzero constant. Then

$$
\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a g^{m}=\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2 n-2}\left[2 n(2 n-1) A^{n}-a A^{m}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{(2 m-2 n+2)}\right] .
$$

Obviously, $\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a g^{m}$ has at least two distinct zeros, a contradiction.
Suppose that $g$ is a rational function with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq 2$ and noting the multiplicities of poles are at least $d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1}$, we also distinguish two subcases.

Subcase $1 \operatorname{deg} g=1$.
We can write

$$
g=\frac{A \xi+B}{C \xi+D}
$$

where $A, C$ are nonzero constants and $A D+B C \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m} & =\frac{n(A \xi+B)^{n-1}(A D-C B)}{(C \xi+D)^{n+1}}-\frac{a(A \xi+B)^{m}}{(C \xi+D)^{m}} \\
& =\frac{(A \xi+B)^{n-1}\left[n(C \xi+D)^{m-n-1}(A D-C B)-a(A \xi+B)^{m-n-1}\right]}{(C \xi+D)^{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $m \geq n+2,\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m}$ has at least two distinct zeros, a contradiction.
Subcase $2 \operatorname{deg} g=2$.
We distinguish three cases.
Subcase $2.1 g=0$, and $g$ has only one zero.
In this case we have

$$
g=\frac{A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}}{A_{1} \xi^{2}+B \xi+C}
$$

where $A, A_{1}$ are two nonzero constants. We conclude that $k=2$. It follows that

$$
d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1} \geq 3
$$

a contradiction.
Subcase $2.2 g=0$, and $g$ has two distinct zeros.
In this case we have

$$
g=\frac{A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi-\xi_{2}\right)}{A_{1} \xi^{2}+B \xi+C}
$$

where $A, A_{1}$ are two nonzero constants. We conclude that $k=1$ and

$$
d \geq \frac{k+1}{m-n-1} \geq 2
$$

Furthermore,

$$
g=\frac{A\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi-\xi_{2}\right)}{\left(\xi-\xi_{3}\right)}
$$

where $A$ is a nonzero constant. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m} \\
= & \frac{\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left[g_{1}(\xi)\left(\xi-\xi_{3}\right)^{2 m-2 b+2}-a A^{m}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2 m-2 n-1}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2 m-2 n-1}\right]}{\left(\xi-\xi_{3}\right)^{2 m}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} g_{1}<2$. Obviously, $\left(g^{n}\right)^{\prime}+a g^{m}$ has at least three distinct zeros, a contradiction.
Subcase $2.3 g \neq 0$.
From Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a f^{m}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

which gives that $g$ is a constant. This is a contradiction.
The proof is completed.
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