Additive Maps Preserving the Star Partial Order on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

XI CUI AND JI GUO-XING *

(College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710062)

Communicated by Ji You-qing

Abstract: Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is proved that an additive surjective map φ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ preserving the star partial order in both directions if and only if one of the following assertions holds. (1) There exist a nonzero complex number α and two unitary operators Uand V on \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi(X) = \alpha UXV$ or $\varphi(X) = \alpha UX^*V$ for all $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. (2) There exist a nonzero α and two anti-unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi(X) = \alpha UXV$ or $\varphi(X) = \alpha UX^*V$ for all $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Key words: linear operator, star partial order, additive map 2010 MR subject classification: 47B49, 47B47 Document code: A Article ID: 1674-5647(2015)01-0089-08 DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2015.01.10

1 Introduction

In the last few decades, many researchers have studied properties of various partial orders on matrix algebras, or operator algebras acting on a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space, such as minus partial order, star partial order, left and right star partial order and so on (see [1–6]). One of the orders on the algebra M_n of all $n \times n$ complex matrices is the star partial order " \leq " defined by Drazin in [5]. Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in M_n$. Then we say that $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{*}{\leq} \mathbf{B}$ if $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^*$. We note that this definition can be extended to a C^* -algebra by the same way. In particular, it can be extended to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For example, motivated by Šemrl's approach presented in [7] for minus partial order, Dolinar and Marovt^[4] gave an equivalent

Received date: July 11, 2013.

Foundation item: The NSF (11371233) of China and the Fundamental Research Funds (GK201301007) for the Central Universities.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: xicui@snnu.edu.cn (Xi C), gxji@snnu.edu.cn (Ji G X).

definition (see Definition 2 in [4]) of the star partial order and considered some properties of this partial order. We can refer [1, 4] to see more interesting properties.

On the other hand, as partially ordered algebraic structures on M_n and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, what are the automorphisms of M_n and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to those partial orders? These topics have been studied and some interesting results have been obtained. Šemrl^[7] described the structure of corresponding automorphisms for the minus partial order. For the star partial order, Guterman^[8] characterized linear bijective maps on M_n preserving the star partial order and Legiša^[9] considered automorphisms of M_n with respect to the star partial order. Recently, several authors consider the automorphisms of certain subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to the star partial order when \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional. Dolinar and Guterman^[10] studied the automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators on a separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and they characterized the bijective, additive, continuous maps on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ which preserve the star partial order in both directions. On the other hand, characterizations of certain continuous bijections on the normal elements of a von Neumann algebra preserving the star partial order in both directions are obtained by Bohata and Hamhalter^[11]. In this paper, we consider additive surjective maps preserving the star partial order in both directions on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and characterizations of those maps are given. In particular, we improve the main result in [10].

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and denote by dim \mathcal{H} the dimension of \mathcal{H} . Let \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{Q} denote the complex field and the rational number field, respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebras of all bounded linear operators, the compact operators and the finite rank operators on \mathcal{H} , respectively. For every pair of vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ denotes the inner product of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , and $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}$ stands for the rank-1 linear operator on \mathcal{H} defined by $(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z} = \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{x}$ for any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{H}$. If \mathbf{x} is a unit vector, then $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}$ is a rank-1 projection. $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is the spectrum of \mathbf{A} for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For a subset S of \mathcal{H} , [S] denotes the closed subspace of \mathcal{H} spanned by S and \mathbf{P}_M denotes the orthogonal projection on M for a closed subspace M of \mathcal{H} . We denote by $R(\mathbf{T})$ and $N(\mathbf{T})$ the range and the kernel of a linear map \mathbf{T} between two linear spaces. Throughout this paper, we generally denote by \mathbf{I} the identity operator on a Hilbert space.

2 Additive Maps Preserving the Star Partial Order

Let φ be an additive map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that φ preserves the star partial order if $\varphi(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \varphi(\mathbf{B})$ for any $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{*}{\leq} \mathbf{B}$. We say that φ preserves the star partial order in both directions if $\varphi(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \varphi(\mathbf{B})$ if and only if $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{*}{\leq} \mathbf{B}$ for any $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We firstly give the following lemma which generalizes Lemma 10 in [10].

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We denote by

 $H_1 = \overline{R(T^*)}, \qquad H_2 = N(T), \qquad K_1 = \overline{R(T)}, \qquad K_2 = N(T^*),$ respectively. Then

and

$$\boldsymbol{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{T}_0 & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

with respect to the orthogonal decompositions (2.1), where $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1)$ is an injective operator with dense range.

Lemma 2.1 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a nonzero operator. Then T is of rank-1 if and only if for any operator S with $S \stackrel{*}{\leq} T$, we have S = 0 or S = T.

Proof. The necessity is clear. Conversely, suppose rank $T \geq 2$. Let T have the matrix form (2.2). Let $T_0 = UA$ be the polar decomposition of T_0 . Then $A \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ is an injective positive operator and $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1)$ is a unitary operator. Let $A = \int_{\sigma(A)} \lambda dE_{\lambda}$ be the spectral decomposition of A. If $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda\}$ for some positive constant λ , then $T = \lambda W$, where W is a partial isometry with rank at least 2. It is easy to know that there is a rank-1 operator T_1 such that $T_1 \leq T$. This is a contradiction. Now we assume that $\sigma(A)$ is not a singleton. Let $\Delta \subseteq \sigma(A)$ be a Borel subset such that both $E(\Delta)$ and $(I - E(\Delta))$ are nonzero and $H_1 = E(\Delta)H_1 \oplus (I - E(\Delta))H_1$. Then

 $\mathcal{H} = \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta)H_1 \oplus (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta))H_1 \oplus H_2 = \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{E}(\Delta)H_1 \oplus \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta))H_1 \oplus K_2.$ (2.3) Put $\boldsymbol{U}_1 = \boldsymbol{U}|_{\boldsymbol{E}(\Delta)H_1}, \ \boldsymbol{A}_1 = \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta)\boldsymbol{A}, \ \boldsymbol{U}_2 = \boldsymbol{U}|_{(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta))H_1} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{A}_2 = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{E}(\Delta))\boldsymbol{A} \text{ on } H_1,$ respectively. Then

$$T = \left(egin{array}{ccc} U_1 A_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & U_2 A_2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight),$$

according to (2.3). Let

$$m{T}_{\Delta} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} m{U}_1 m{A}_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

according to (2.3) again. It follows that $T_{\Delta} \stackrel{*}{\leq} T$ by Lemma 3 in [4]. Note that $T_{\Delta} \neq T$ is a nonzero operator. This is a contradiction too. Thus T is of rank-1. The proof is completed.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Let φ be an additive surjective map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then φ preserves the star partial order in both directions if and only if one of the following assertions hold:

(1) There exist a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and two unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha U \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}$ or $\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha U \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{V}$ for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$;

(2) There exist a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and two anti-unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha U \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}$ or $\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha U \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{V}$ for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We only need prove the necessity. It is clear that φ is injective. Then φ^{-1} preserves the star partial order too. We complete the proof by several steps.

Step 1. φ preserves rank-*n* operators in both directions.

Let A be a rank-1 operator and $\varphi(A) = B$. Suppose that rank $B \ge 2$. Then there is a nonzero $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $B_1 \stackrel{*}{\le} B$ and $B_1 \neq B$ by Lemma 2.1. Put $A_1 = \varphi^{-1}(B_1)$. Then $A_1 \stackrel{*}{\le} A$ and $A_1 \neq A$ is a nonzero operator. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2.1. Thus B is of rank-1. It follows that φ preserves rank-1 operators in both directions. Since a rank-n operator is the sum of n rank-1 operators, it is elementary that φ preserves rank-n operators in both directions.

Step 2. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi(f \otimes g) = u \otimes v$. Then

 $\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}\otimes\boldsymbol{y}):\boldsymbol{x}\in\{\boldsymbol{f}\}^{\perp},\,\boldsymbol{y}\in\{\boldsymbol{g}\}^{\perp}\}=\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\eta}:\boldsymbol{\xi}\in\{\boldsymbol{u}\}^{\perp},\,\boldsymbol{\eta}\in\{\boldsymbol{v}\}^{\perp}\}.$ In fact, for any $\boldsymbol{x}\in\{\boldsymbol{f}\}^{\perp},\,\boldsymbol{y}\in\{\boldsymbol{g}\}^{\perp}$, we have

 $oldsymbol{f}\otimesoldsymbol{g}\overset{*}{\leq}oldsymbol{f}\otimesoldsymbol{g}+roldsymbol{x}\otimesoldsymbol{y},\qquad r\in\mathbb{Q}.$

Let $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{\xi} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Then

$$\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} \leq \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} + r \boldsymbol{\xi} \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{Q}$$

which implies that

$$oldsymbol{\xi} \in \{oldsymbol{u}\}^{\perp}, \qquad oldsymbol{\eta} \in \{oldsymbol{v}\}^{\perp}$$

The converse is the same since φ preserves the star partial order in both directions.

Step 3. For any unit vectors $\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f})\| = \|\varphi(\boldsymbol{g} \otimes \boldsymbol{g})\|$. Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{f} \perp \boldsymbol{g}$, then $\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f})\| = \|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{g})\|$.

Let $\boldsymbol{f} \perp \boldsymbol{g}$, $\varphi(\boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_1$ and $\varphi(\boldsymbol{g} \otimes \boldsymbol{g}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_2$. By Step 2, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \perp \boldsymbol{\xi}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 \perp \boldsymbol{\eta}_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f})\| = \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_1\| = \|\boldsymbol{\eta}_1\| = 1.$$

Put U and V be two unitary operators on \mathcal{H} such that

$$egin{aligned} m{U}m{\xi}_1 &=m{f}, & m{U}rac{1}{\|m{\xi}_2\|}m{\xi}_2 &=m{g}, & m{U}\{m{\xi}_1,\,m{\xi}_2\}^\perp &=\{m{f},\,m{g}\}^\perp, \ m{V}m{\eta}_1 &=m{f}, & m{V}rac{1}{\|m{\eta}_2\|}m{\eta}_2 &=m{g}, & m{V}\{m{\eta}_1,\,m{\eta}_2\}^\perp &=\{m{f},\,m{g}\}^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi = U\varphi V^*$. Then ψ preserves the star partial order in both directions such that

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f})=\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f}$$

and

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g}) = \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_2\|\|\boldsymbol{\eta}_2\|\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g} = \beta_{22}\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g}$$

Then ψ preserves rank-1 operators in both directions. Let $\psi(\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{g}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_3 \otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_3$. Note that both $\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{g}$ are of rank-1. Then either \mathbf{f} (resp. \mathbf{g}) and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_3$ or \mathbf{f} (resp. \mathbf{g}) and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_3$ are linearly dependent. We assume that

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_3\otimes \boldsymbol{\eta}_3=eta_{12} \boldsymbol{f}\otimes \boldsymbol{g}_3$$

We thus have

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{f})=\beta_{21}\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{f}.$$

Put

$$oldsymbol{E}(r) = rac{1}{1+r^2} (oldsymbol{f} \otimes oldsymbol{f} + roldsymbol{f} \otimes oldsymbol{g} + roldsymbol{g} \otimes oldsymbol{f} + r^2oldsymbol{g} \otimes oldsymbol{g}), \qquad r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then $\boldsymbol{E}(r)$ is a projection and

$$\boldsymbol{E}(r) \leq \boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{g} \otimes \boldsymbol{g}.$$

Of course,

$$\boldsymbol{E}(r) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{g} \otimes \boldsymbol{g}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{1+r^2}(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f}+r\beta_{12}\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{g}+r\beta_{21}\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{f}+r^2\beta_{22}\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g})\overset{*}{\leq}\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f}+\beta_{22}\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g}.$$

Then

$$|\beta_{12}| = |\beta_{21}| = \beta_{22} = 1.$$

Thus

 $\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f})\| = \|\psi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{f})\| = \|\psi(\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g})\| = \|\varphi(\boldsymbol{g}\otimes\boldsymbol{g})\| = \|\psi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{g})\| = \|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f}\otimes\boldsymbol{g})\| = 1.$ If dim $\mathcal{H} = 2$, then for any unit vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}\otimes \boldsymbol{x} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \boldsymbol{f}\otimes \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{g}\otimes \boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{I}.$$

Thus we have $\psi(\boldsymbol{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{x})$ is a projection and

$$\|arphi(oldsymbol{x}\otimesoldsymbol{x})\| = \|\psi(oldsymbol{x}\otimesoldsymbol{x})\| = 1 = \|arphi(oldsymbol{f}\otimesoldsymbol{f})\|.$$

Assume that dim $\mathcal{H} > 2$. For any unit vectors \boldsymbol{f} and \boldsymbol{g} , take any unit vector $\boldsymbol{h} \in \{\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}\}^{\perp}$. Then

$$\|arphi(oldsymbol{f}\otimesoldsymbol{f})\|=\|arphi(oldsymbol{h}\otimesoldsymbol{h})\|=\|arphi(oldsymbol{g}\otimesoldsymbol{g})\|.$$

We next assume that $\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{f} \otimes \boldsymbol{f})\| = 1$ for any unit vector $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ without loss of generality. Step 4. Let $\{\boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then there are two orthonormal bases $\{\boldsymbol{f}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{g}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{\lambda} \otimes \boldsymbol{g}_{\lambda}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$
(2.4)

If (2.4) holds, then both $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{g_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are orthonormal families of \mathcal{H} . If there is a unit vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f \perp f_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\varphi^{-1}(f \otimes g_{\lambda_0}) = x_0 \otimes y_0$ is a rank-1 operator. By Step 2, $e_{\lambda} \in \{x_0\}^{\perp}$. This is a contradiction. Thus both $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{g_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are bases of \mathcal{H} .

Step 5. φ is linear or conjugate linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

As in Step 4, let $\{e_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Let U and V be two unitary operators on \mathcal{H} such that $U_1 f_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}$ and $V_1 g_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Put

$$arphi_1(oldsymbol{X}) = oldsymbol{U}arphi(oldsymbol{X})oldsymbol{V}^*, \qquad oldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then φ_1 preserves the star partial order in both directions such that

$$\varphi_1(\boldsymbol{e}_\lambda\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_\lambda)=\boldsymbol{e}_\lambda\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_\lambda,\qquad\lambda\in\Lambda.$$

For any $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ and $\{\boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq \{\boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, denote

$$oldsymbol{P}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{e}_{\lambda_i} \otimes oldsymbol{e}_{\lambda_i}$$

We conclude that

$$\varphi_1(\boldsymbol{P}_n\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\boldsymbol{P}_n) = \boldsymbol{P}_n\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\boldsymbol{P}_n$$

by the similar way as Step 4 of [10]. In fact, it easily follows that $\varphi_1(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$, where \mathbf{Q} is the projection onto $\{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in S\}$ for any subset $S \subseteq \Lambda$. For any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n$, we know that

$$A \leq A + r(I - P_n), \quad r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then

$$\varphi_1(\boldsymbol{A}) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \varphi_1(\boldsymbol{A}) + r(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{P}_n), \qquad r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

It follows that $\varphi_1(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n$ by a simple calculation. $\mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n$ can be identified with M_n . So $\varphi_1|_{\mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n}$ can be considered as a bijective, additive map on M_n , which preserves the star partial order in both directions. It follows from Theorem 3.1 in [12] that $\varphi_1|_{\mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n}$ is linear or conjugate linear. We note that if $\varphi_1|_{\mathbf{P}_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_k}$ is linear (resp. conjugate linear) for some $k \geq 2$, then $\varphi_1|_{\mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n}$ is also linear (resp. conjugate linear) for any n. This implies that if $\varphi|_{\mathbf{P}_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_k}$ is linear (resp. conjugate linear) for some $k \geq 2$, then $\varphi|_{\mathbf{P}_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_n}$ is linear (resp. conjugate linear) for any n. We now assume that $\varphi|_{\mathbf{P}_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_k}$ is linear for some $k \geq 2$. Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Let M be the subspace generated by

 $\{\boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda_i}: 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup R(\boldsymbol{A}) \cup R(\boldsymbol{A}^*) \cup R(\boldsymbol{B}) \cup R(\boldsymbol{B}^*).$

Then M is finite dimensional with an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{h}_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ containing $\{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. It now follows that $\varphi|_{\mathbf{P}_M \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_M}$ is linear by preceding proof since $\mathbf{P}_k \leq \mathbf{P}_M$.

Note that
$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{P}_{M}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{P}_{M} \in \boldsymbol{P}_{M}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\boldsymbol{P}_{M}$$
 and $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{P}_{M}\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{P}_{M} \in \boldsymbol{P}_{M}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\boldsymbol{P}_{M}$. Then
 $\varphi(\alpha \boldsymbol{A} + \beta \boldsymbol{B}) = \alpha\varphi(\boldsymbol{A}) + \beta\varphi(\boldsymbol{B}), \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$

Thus φ is linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

If $\varphi|_{\mathbf{P}_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mathbf{P}_k}$ is conjugate linear for some $k \geq 2$, then φ is conjugate linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

We now next assume that φ is linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Then φ is a rank preserving linear bijection on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. It follows from Theorem 2.1.6 in [13] that the following statements hold.

(1) There exist two linear maps A and C on \mathcal{H} such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$arphi(oldsymbol{x}\otimesoldsymbol{y})=oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}\otimesoldsymbol{C}oldsymbol{y}$$

(2) There exist two conjugate linear maps A and C on \mathcal{H} , such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}\otimes\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{y}\otimes\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Note that both \boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{C} are invertible since φ is bijective on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Assume that (1) holds. Then for any unit vectors $\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f} \rangle = 0$, we have that

$$\langle Ae, Af \rangle = 0$$

by Step 2. Note that $(e + f) \perp (e - f)$. It follows that

$$\|Ae\| = \|Af\|.$$

If dim $\mathcal{H} = 2$, then for any unit vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\boldsymbol{x} = \alpha \boldsymbol{e} + \beta \boldsymbol{f}$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. We easily have that

$$\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{e}\| = \|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{f}\|$$

by an elementary calculus. If dim $\mathcal{H} > 2$, then for any unit vectors $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{H}$, there is a unit vector $\boldsymbol{z} \in \{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\}^{\perp}$. It now follows that

$$\|Ax\| = \|Az\| = \|Ay\|.$$

Thus $U = \frac{A}{\|A\|}$ is a unitary operator. We similarly have that $V = \frac{C^*}{\|C\|}$ is also a unitary operator. Put $\alpha = \|A\| \|C\|$. Then we have that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{F}) = \alpha \mathbf{U} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{V}, \qquad \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Put

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{U}^* \varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) \boldsymbol{V}^*, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then ϕ is an additive bijection on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ preserving the star partial order in both directions such that

$$\phi(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}, \qquad \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Now let $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be any projection. Then for any finite rank projection Q, if $Q \leq P$, we have

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{Q} \leq \lambda \boldsymbol{P}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{Q} \stackrel{\circ}{\leq} \phi(\lambda \boldsymbol{P}).$$

Noting that $\{\lambda Q : Q \leq P\}$ is a *-increasing net and *-bounded from above such that

$$\lim_{\boldsymbol{Q} \leq \boldsymbol{P}} \lambda \boldsymbol{Q} = \lambda \boldsymbol{P}$$

in strong operator topology, by Proposition 3.5 in [1], we have

$$\lim_{\boldsymbol{Q}\leq\boldsymbol{P}}\lambda\boldsymbol{Q}=\lambda\boldsymbol{P}\leq\phi(\lambda\boldsymbol{P}).$$

We note that the *-increasing and *-bounded sequences are considered in this proposition. However, the proposition still holds if we replaces a sequence by a net. By considering ϕ^{-1} , we have

$$\phi(\lambda \mathbf{P}) = \lambda \mathbf{P}.$$

Then $\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ since \mathbf{X} is a linear combination of finitely many projections from Theorem 3 in [14]. Thus

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{V}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

If (2) holds, then there are two anti-unitary operators U and V such that

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{X}^* \boldsymbol{V}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

If φ is conjugate linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, then we similarly have two unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{V}, \qquad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

or two anti-unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{V}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

The proof is completed.

The following corollary is a generalization of the main result in [10].

(1) There exist a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and two unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{V}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$$

or

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \alpha \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{X}^* \boldsymbol{V}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H});$$

(2) There exist a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and two anti-unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}, \qquad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$$

or

$$\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{V}, \qquad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}).$$

References

- Antezana J, Cano C, Mosconi I, Stojanoff D. A note on the star order in Hilbert spaces. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2010, 58(8): 1037–1051.
- [2] Baksalary J K, Mitra S K. Left-star and right-star partial orderings. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 1991, 149: 73–89.
- [3] Baksalary J K, Pukelsheim F. On the Löwner, minus and star partial ordering of nonnegative definite matrices and their squares. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 1991, 151: 135–141.
- [4] Dolinar G, Marovt J. Star partial order on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Linear Algebra Appl., 2011, **434**: 319–326.
- [5] Drazin M P. Natural structures on semigroups with involution. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 84: 139–141.
- [6] Hartwig R E, Drazin M P. Lattice properties of the *-order for complex matrices. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1982, 86(2): 539–578.
- [7] Šemrl P. Automorphisms of B(H) with respect to minus partial order. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2010, 369(1): 205–213.
- [8] Guterman A. Linear preservers for Drazin star partial order. Comm. Algebra, 2001, 29(9): 3905–3917.
- [9] Legiša P. Automorphisms of M_n, Partially ordered by the star order. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 2006, 54(3): 157–188.
- [10] Dolinar G, Guterman A. Marovt J. Automorphisms of K(H) with respect to the star partial order. Oper. Matrices, 2013, 7(1): 225–239.
- [11] Bohata M, Hamhalter J. Nonlinear maps on von Neumann algebras preserving the star order. Linear Multilinear Algebra, doi:10.1080/03081087.2012.721363, to appear.
- [12] Guterman A. Monotone additive matrix transformations. Math. Notes, 2007, 81(5/6): 609– 619.
- [13] Hou J, Cui J. Introduction to the Linear Maps on Operator Algebras (in Chinese). Beijing: Science Press, 2002: 22.
- [14] Pearcy C, Topping D. Sums of small numbers of idempotents. Michigan Math. J., 1967, 14(4): 453–465.