

The Fractional Maximal Operator and Marcinkiewicz Integrals Associated with Schrödinger Operators on Morrey Spaces with Variable Exponent

Yu Shu^{1,2,*} and Min Wang²

¹ Department of Economic and Trade, Anhui Business College of Vocational Technoilogy, Wuhu 241002, China

² School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241003, China

Received 23 September 2014; Accepted (in revised version) 9 March 2015

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and marcinkiewicz integrals associated with Schrödinger operator on Morrey spaces with variable exponent.

Key Words: Fractional maximal operator, Marcinkiewicz integrals, Schrödinger, variable exponent, Morrey space.

AMS Subject Classifications: 42B20, 42B35

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 3,$$

where $V(x)$ is a nonnegative potential belonging to the reverse Hölder class B_q for $q \geq n/2$.

A nonnegative locally L^q integrable function $V(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^n is said to belong to B_q ($q > 1$) if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V dx \right)$$

holds for every ball in \mathbb{R}^n , see [1].

*Corresponding author. Email addresses: shulsh@mail.ahnu.edu.cn (Y. Shu), 15155369968@163.com (M. Wang)

The Marcinkiewicz integral operator μ is defined by

$$\mu f = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y|\leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Stein [2] first introduced the operator μ and proved that μ is of type (p,p) ($1 < p \leq 2$) and of weak type $(1,1)$ in the case of $\Omega \in Lip_\gamma(S^{n-1})$ ($0 < \gamma \leq 1$). Benedek, Calderón and Panzone [3] extended Stein's results, proved that if $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$, then μ is of type (p,p) ($1 < p < \infty$).

Similar to the classical marcinkiewicz function μ , one defines the Marcinkiewicz functions μ_j^L associated with the Schrödinger operator L by

$$\mu_j^L f(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y|\leq t} K_j^L(x,y) f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $K_j^L(x,y) = \tilde{K}_j^L(x,y)|x-y|$ and $\tilde{K}_j^L(x,y)$ is the kernel of $R_j^L = (\partial/\partial x_j)L^{-1/2}$, $j=1,\dots,n$. In particular, when $V=0$, $K_j^\Delta(x,y) = \tilde{K}_\Delta^\Delta(x,y)|x-y| = (|x_j - y_j|/|x-y|)/|x-y|^{n-1}$ and $\tilde{K}_\Delta^\Delta(x,y)$ is the kernel of $R_j = (\partial/\partial x_j)\Delta^{-1/2}$, $j=1,\dots,n$. In this paper, we write $K_j^\Delta(x,y) = K_j(x,y)$ and

$$\mu_j f(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y|\leq t} K_j(x,y) f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Obviously, μ_j are classical marcinkiewicz functions. Gao and Tang [4] considered the boundedness of marcinkiewicz integral μ_j^L on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Chen and Zou [5] also proved that the marcinkiewicz integral μ_j^L has the same boundedness. The paper [6] by Tang and Dong proved the boundedness of some schrödinger type operators on Morrey spaces related to certain nonnegative potentials. Recently, Chen and Jin [7] have showed that marcinkiewicz integrals associated with Schrödinger Operator is bounded on Morrey Spaces.

It is well known that function spaces with variable exponents were intensively studied during the past 20 years, due to their applications to PDE with non-standard growth conditions and so on, we mention e.g., (see [8, 9]). A great deal of work has been done to extend the theory of maximal, potential, singular and marcinkiewicz integrals operators on the classical spaces to the variable exponent case, (see [10–14]). Recently, the author in [15] introduces a new function space that is Morrey space with variable exponents related to certain nonnegative potentials and considers the boundedness of some Schrödinger type operators on Morrey with variable exponent. Hence, it will be an interesting problem whether we can establish the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator, maximal operator and Marcinkiewicz integrals associated with Schrödinger operators on Morrey spaces with variable exponent related to certain nonnegative potentials. The main purpose of this paper is to answer the problem.

To meet the requirements in the next sections, here, basic elements of the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent are briefly presented.

Let $p(\cdot):\mathbb{R}^n\rightarrow[1,\infty)$ be a measurable function. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n):=\left\{f \text{ is measurable}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \text{ for some constant } \lambda > 0\right\}.$$

The space $L_{loc}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$L_{loc}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n):=\left\{f \text{ is measurable}: f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(K) \text{ for all compact subsets } K \subset \mathbb{R}^n\right\}.$$

$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}:=\inf\left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

We denote

$$p_-:=\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x), \quad p_+:=\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of measurable functions $p(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^n with range in $[1,\infty)$ such that $1 < p_- \leq p(\cdot) \leq p_+ < \infty$.

Given a function $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is defined by

$$Mf(x):=\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

and the fractional operator function M_β is defined by

$$M_\beta f(x):=\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy, \quad 0 < \beta < n.$$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the condition that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $m_V(x)$ is defined by

$$\rho(x)=\frac{1}{m_V(x)}=\sup_{r>0} \left\{ r: \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \leq 1 \right\},$$

and we write $\Psi_\theta(B(x,r))=(1+r/\rho(x))^\theta$, where $\theta > 0$.

A variant of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M_V^θ (see [16]) is defined by

$$M_V^\theta f(x):=\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B(x,r))|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

and a variant of fractional maximal operator $M_{\beta,V}^\theta$ (see [17]) is defined by

$$M_{\beta,V}^\theta f(x):=\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{(\Psi_\theta(B(x,r))|B(x,r)|)^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy, \quad 0 < \beta < n.$$

$\mathcal{B}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the condition that M_V^θ is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1.1. It is easy to see that $M_V^\theta f(x) \leq Mf(x)$ and $M_{\beta,V}^\theta f(x) \leq M_\beta f(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta \geq 0$. So $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For brevity, in this paper, C always means a positive constant independent of the main parameters and may change from one occurrence to another. $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x-y| < r\}$, χ_{B_k} be the characteristic function of the set B_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $|S|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of S . The exponent $p'(x)$ means the conjugate of $p(x)$, that is, $1/p'(x) + 1/p(x) = 1$.

Definition 1.1 (see [12]). For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $k_{p(\cdot)}$ denote the supremum of those $q > 1$ such that $p(\cdot)/q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $e_{p(\cdot)}$ be the conjugate of $k_{p'(\cdot)}$.

Definition 1.2 (see [12]). Let $p(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 < p(x) < \infty$. A Lebesgue measurable function $u(x,r) : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \rightarrow (0,\infty)$ is said to be a Morrey weight function for $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, u fulfills

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(x,2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} u(x, 2^{j+1}r) < C u(x, r). \quad (1.1)$$

We denote the class of Morrey weight functions by $\mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$. Next we define the Morrey spaces with variable exponent related to the nonnegative potential V .

Definition 1.3 (see [15]). Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u(x,r) \in \mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$. For $f \in L_{loc}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V \in B_q$ ($q > 1$), we say the Morrey spaces with variable exponent related to the nonnegative potential V is the collection of all function f satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \|\chi_{B(z,r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

In particular, when $\alpha = 0$ or $V = 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Morrey spaces with variable exponent $\mathcal{M}_{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [12]. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $\mathcal{M}_{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha < 0$. If $p(x)$ is a constant, $u(x,r) = r^\lambda$ and $\lambda \in [0, n/p]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(x,2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \frac{u(x, 2^{j+1}r)}{u(x, r)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(x,2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \frac{(2^{j+1}r)^\lambda}{r^\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(j+1)(\lambda - n/p)} < C. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Morrey spaces $L_{\alpha,V}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ related to the nonnegative potential V , see [6].

Now it is in this position to state our results.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p(x), q(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $V \in B_n$, $\theta \geq \max\{0, -\alpha(k_0+1)/(1-\beta/n)\}$, $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$. Suppose $p(x)$, $q(x)$ and β satisfy

$$p_+ > \frac{n}{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

If there exists q_0 , $n/(n-\beta) < q_0 < \infty$, such that

$$\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad u \in \mathbb{W}_{q(\cdot)},$$

then

$$\|M_{\beta,V}^\theta f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{q(\cdot),u}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}}.$$

Remark 1.2. $\mathbb{W}_{q(\cdot)} \subset \mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$, see [15].

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $V \in B_n$, $\theta \geq \max\{0, -\alpha(k_0+1)\}$, $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$, $p(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $u \in \mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$, then

$$\|M_V^\theta f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}}.$$

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $V \in B_n$, $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$, $p(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $u \in \mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$, then

$$\|\mu_j^L f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}}.$$

In order to prove our result, we need some conclusions as follows.

Lemma 1.1 (see [18]). Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (2) $p'(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (3) $p(\cdot)/q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < q < p_-$.
- (4) $(p(\cdot)/q)' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < q < p_-$.

Lemma 1.1 ensures that $k_{p(\cdot)}$ is well-defined and satisfies $1 < k_{p(\cdot)} \leq p_-$. Moreover, $p_+ \geq e_{p(\cdot)}$.

Lemma 1.2 (see [19]). If $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq r_p \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $r_p := 1 + 1/p_- - 1/p_+$.

Lemma 1.3 (see [10]). If $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$C^{-1}|B| \leq \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|B|.$$

Lemma 1.4 (see [12]). Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $B \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$C_1 |B|^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}_B}} \leq \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2 |B|^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}_B}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\bar{p}_B} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \frac{1}{p(x)} dx.$$

Lemma 1.5 (see [12]). Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $1 < q < k_{p(\cdot)}$ and $1 < s < k_{p'(\cdot)}$, there exist constant $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$C_2 2^{jn(1-\frac{1}{s})} \leq \frac{\|\chi_{B(x_0, 2^j r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(x_0, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C_1 2^{\frac{jn}{q}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1.6 (see [10]). Let $p(x), q(x) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$p_+ < \frac{\beta}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

If there exists q_0 satisfying

$$\frac{n}{n-\beta} < q_0 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q(\cdot)}{q_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then

$$\|M_\beta f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Lemma 1.7 (see [20]). Let $\Omega \in Lip_\gamma(S^{n-1})$, $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|\mu f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 1.8. Suppose $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|\mu_j^L f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Using the Lemma 1.7 and the inequality $\mu_j^L f \leq \mu_j f + CMf$ (see [4, 7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_j^L f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|\mu_j f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \|Mf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 1.9 (see [1]). *If $V \in RH_q$ ($q > 1$), then,*

(1) *for every N there exists a constant C such that*

$$|K_j^L(x, z)| \leq \frac{C(1+|x-z|/\rho(x))^{-N}}{|x-z|^{n-1}},$$

(2) *for every N and $0 < \delta < \min\{1, 1-n/q_0\}$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$|K_j^L(x, z) - K_j^L(y, z)| \leq \frac{C|x-y|^\delta(1+|x-z|/\rho(x))^{-N}}{|x-z|^{n-1+\delta}},$$

where $|x-y| < 2|x-z|/3$,

(3) *if K denotes the \mathbb{R}^n vector valued kernel of the classical Riesz operator, for every $0 < \delta < 2-n/q_0$, we have*

$$|K_j^L(x, z) - K_j(x, z)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-z|^{n-1}} \left(\frac{|x-z|}{\rho(z)} \right)^\delta,$$

where $K_j(x, z) = (|x_j - z_j|/|x-z|)/|x-z|^{n-1}$.

Lemma 1.10 (see [1, 6]). *Suppose $V \in B_q$ with $q \geq n/2$. Then there exist positive constants C and k_0 such that*

- (1) $m_V(x) \sim m_V(y)$ if $|x-y| \leq \frac{C}{m_V(x)}$;
- (2) $m_V(y) \leq C(1+|x-y|m_V(x))^{k_0}m_V(x)$;
- (3) $m_V(y) \geq \frac{Cm_V(x)}{(1+|x-y|m_V(x))^{k_0/(k_0+1)}}$.

2 Preparation of manuscript

We will give the proofs of the Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 below. The arguments for Theorem 1.2 is similar, we omit the details here.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha < 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p(\cdot), u}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we write

$$f(x) = f^0(x) + f^1(x),$$

where $f^0 = f\chi_{B(z, 2r)}$, $f^1 = f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(z, 2r)}$. Hence, we have

$$\|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f)\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f^0)\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f^1)\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Lemma 1.6 and Remark 1.1, we obtain

$$\frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z, r)} \|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f^0)\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z, r)} \|f\chi_{B(z, 2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Because the inequality (1.1) and Lemma 1.5 imply that $u(x,r) \geq Cu(x,2r)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \| (M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^0) \chi_{B(z,r)} \|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,2r)} \| f \chi_{B(z,2r)} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \frac{(1+2rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,2r)} \| f \chi_{B(z,2r)} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \| f \|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in B(z,r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1(x) &= \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{((1+R/\rho(x))^\theta |B(x,R)|)^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}} \int_{B(x,R)} |f^1(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \sup_{R>2r} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(z,2r)) \cap B(x,R)} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|/\rho(x))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(z,2r)} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|/\rho(x))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} dy \\ &= C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(z,2^{j+1}r) \setminus B(z,2^j r)} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|/\rho(x))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any $j \geq 1$, $x \in B(z,r)$ and $y \in B(z,2^{j+1}r) \setminus B(z,2^j r)$, we note that $|x-y| \geq |y-z| - |x-z| > C2^j r$. Thus we get

$$|M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j r)^{\beta-n} \int_{B(z,2^{j+1}r)} \frac{1}{(1+2^j rm_V(x))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})}} |f(y)| dy.$$

Using Lemma 1.10, we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} 1+2^j rm_V(x) &\geq 1+2^j r \frac{Cm_V(z)}{(1+|x-z|m_V(z))^{k_0/k_0+1}} \\ &\geq C \frac{1+2^j rm_V(z)}{(1+rm_V(z))^{k_0/(k_0+1)}} \\ &\geq C(1+2^j rm_V(z))^{1/(k_0+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, we get that

$$|M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j r)^{\beta-n} \int_{B(z,2^{j+1}r)} \frac{1}{(1+2^j rm_V(z))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})/(k_0+1)}} |f(y)| dy.$$

Lemma 1.2 ensures that

$$\int_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)} |f(y)| dy \leq C \|f \chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Subsequently, taking the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f^1) \chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^j r)^{\beta-n}}{(1+2^j r m_V(z))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})/(k_0+1)}} \|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Applying Lemma 1.3 with $B = B(z, 2^{j+1}r)$, we obtain

$$\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{(2^{j+1}r)^n}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

Using the above inequality on (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(M_{\beta, V}^\theta f^1) \chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^j r)^{\beta-n}}{(1+2^j r m_V(z))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})/(k_0+1)}} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}(x)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (2^{j+1}r)^n}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^j r)^\beta}{(1+2^j r m_V(z))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})/(k_0+1)}} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}(x)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the fact that for any ball B , we have

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \frac{1}{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \frac{1}{q(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\bar{p}_B} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}_B} = \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

Lemma 1.4 implies that

$$C_2 |B|^{\frac{\beta}{n}} \leq \frac{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C_1 |B|^{\frac{\beta}{n}} \quad (2.3)$$

for some constants $C_1 > C_2 > 0$ independent of B .

Hence, using (2.3) with $B = B(z, 2^{j+1}r)$, we have

$$C_2 \frac{(2^{j+1}r)^\beta}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq \frac{1}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

Thus, we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1) \chi_{B(z,r)} \|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+2^j r m_V(z))^{-\alpha}}{(1+2^j r m_V(z))^{\theta(1-\frac{\beta}{n})/(k_0+1)}} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z,2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} u(z, 2^{j+1}r) \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta \geq -\alpha(k_0+1)/(1-\beta/n)$, we get

$$\| (M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1) \chi_{B(z,r)} \|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z,2^{j+1}r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} u(z, 2^{j+1}r) \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As $u \in \mathbb{W}_{q(\cdot)}$ and $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \| (M_{\beta,V}^\theta f^1) \chi_{B(z,r)} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C (1+r m_V(z))^\alpha \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|M_{\beta,V}^\theta f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{q(\cdot),u}} \leq C \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha < 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p(\cdot),u}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we write

$$f(x) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x),$$

where $f_0 = f \chi_{B(z,2r)}$, $f_i = f \chi_{B(z,2^{i+1}r) \setminus B(z,2^i r)}$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence, we have

$$\|(\mu_j^L f) \chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|(\mu_j^L f_0) \chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Lemma 1.8, we obtain

$$\frac{(1+r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \|(\mu_j^L f_0) \chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{(1+r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \|f \chi_{B(z,2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Because the inequality (1.1) and Lemma 1.5 imply that $u(x,r) \geq C u(x,2r)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \|(\mu_j^L f_0) \chi_{B(z,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \frac{(1+r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,2r)} \|f \chi_{B(z,2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \frac{(1+2r m_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,2r)} \|f \chi_{B(z,2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any $i \geq 1$, $x \in B(z, r)$ and $y \in B(z, 2^{i+1}r) \setminus B(z, 2^i r)$, we note that $|x - y| \geq |y - z| - |x - z| > C2^i r$. By Lemma 1.9 and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu_j^L f_i)(x)| &\leq C \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} K_j^L(x, y) f_i(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_i(y)|}{(1 + |x-y|m_V(x))^N |x-y|^{n-1}} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_i(y)|}{(1 + |x-y|m_V(x))^N |x-y|^n} dy \\ &\leq C(2^i r)^{-n} \int_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(x))^N} |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.2 and the inequality (2.1), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu_j^L f_i)(x)| &\leq C(2^i r)^{-n} \int_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \frac{(2^i r)^{-n}}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} \|f \chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, taking the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z, 2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \frac{(2^i r)^{-n}}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} \|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad \times \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using Lemma 1.3 on (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z, 2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= C \frac{1}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} \frac{u(z, 2^{i+1}r)}{u(z, 2^i r)} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)} f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z, 2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \frac{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{-\alpha}}{(1 + 2^i r m_V(z))^{N/(k_0+1)}} \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} u(z, 2^{i+1}r) \|f\|_{M_{\alpha, V}^{p(\cdot), u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $N = (-\alpha) + 1$, we obtain

$$\|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z, 2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{\|\chi_{B(z, r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B(z, 2^{i+1}r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} u(z, 2^{i+1}r) \|f\|_{M_{\alpha, V}^{p(\cdot), u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As $u \in \mathbb{W}_{p(\cdot)}$ and $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha}{u(z,r)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|(\mu_j^L f_i) \chi_{B(z,2r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C(1+rm_V(z))^\alpha \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\mu_j^L f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}} \leq C \|f\|_{M_{\alpha,V}^{p(\cdot),u}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NSFC (No. 11201003) and University NSR Project of Anhui Province (No. KJ2014A087).

References

- [1] Z. Shen, L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 45 (1995), 513–546.
- [2] E. M. Stein, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 88 (1958), 430–466.
- [3] A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón and R. Panzone, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 48 (1962), 356–365.
- [4] W. Gao and L. Tang, Boundedness for Marcinkiewicz integrals associated with Schrödinger operators, Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences, 124 (2014), 193–203.
- [5] D. Chen and D. Zou, The boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral associated with Schrödinger operator and its commutator, J. Function Spaces, Article ID402713, 10 pages, 2014.
- [6] L. Tang and J. Dong, Boundedness for some Schrödinger type operators on Morrey spaces related to certain nonnegative potentials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 355 (2009), 101–109.
- [7] D. Chen and F. Jin, The boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals associated with Schrödinger operator on Morrey spaces, J. Fun. Spaces, 2014, Article ID901267, 11 pages, 2014.
- [8] Y. Chen, S. Levine and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66 (2006), 1383–1406.
- [9] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, Ú. V. Lê and M. Nuortio, Overview of differential equations with non-standard growth, Nonlinear Anal., 72 (2010), 4551–4574.
- [10] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and J. M. Martell et al., The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Math., 31 (2006), 239–264.
- [11] A. Nekvinda, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Math. Inequal. Appl., 7 (2004), 255–265.
- [12] K.-P. Ho, The fractional integral operators on Morrey spaces with variable exponent on unbounded domains, Math. Inequal. Appl., 16 (2013), 363–373.

- [13] A. Almeida, J. Hasanov and S. Samko, Maximal and potential operators in variable exponent Morrey spaces, *Georgian Math. J.*, 15 (2008), 195–208.
- [14] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Boundedness of maximal and singular operators in Morrey spaces with variable exponent, *Armenian Math. J.*, 1 (2008), 18–28.
- [15] M. Wang, L. Shu and M. Qu, Boundedness of some Schrödinger type operators on Morrey with variable exponent related to certain nonnegative potentials, *Journal of Mathematics*, Submitted.
- [16] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Class of weights related to Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 373 (2011), 563–579.
- [17] L. Tang, Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of Littlewood-Paley functions related to Schrödinger operators, *Archive der Mathematik*, 102 (2014), 215–236.
- [18] L. Diening, Maximal function on Musielak-Orlicz spaces and generalized Lebesgue spaces, *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 129 (2005), 657–700.
- [19] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czechoslovak Math.*, 41 (1991), 592–618.
- [20] H. Wang, Z. Fu and Z. Liu, Higher order commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals on variable Lebesgue spaces, *Acta. Math. Scientia Ser. A*, 32 (2012), 1092–1101.