

ON THE LOCAL REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR DOUBLE DEGENERATE NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

$(u^{q-1})_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ WHEN $1 < p < 2, p \leq q^*$

Gu Liankun and Huang Qingbo

(Dept. of Math., Xiamen Univ., Xiamen 361005)

Dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor Zhou Yulin

(Received March 5, 1992; revised June 1, 1992)

Abstract In this paper, we establish interior Hölder estimates of solutions for double degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations $(u^{q-1})_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ when $1 < p < 2, p \leq q$.

Key Words Hölder continuity; double degenerate; nonlinear parabolic equations

Classifications 35K55, 35K65

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are mainly concerned with local Hölder continuity of nonnegative weak solution for the following double degenerate parabolic equations

$$(u^{q-1})_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \quad \text{in } Q_T \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p < 2, p \leq q$, $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T]$, Ω is an open set in $\mathbf{R}^N (N \geq 1)$, $\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right)$.

For $p = 2$, (1.1) may be considered as the porous media equations $v_t = \Delta(v^{\frac{1}{q-1}})$ with $v = u^{q-1}$. Hölder continuity of solutions for porous media equations was proven in past, see [1], [2], [6], [7].

When $q = 2$, (1.1) is evolutionary p -Laplace equation, Hölder estimates for its weak solution and gradients of solutions have recently been obtained, see [3]–[6].

For double degenerate equations (1.1), the existence and uniqueness theorem and other properties of solutions have recently been investigated by some works, see [10]–[12]. When $1 < q \leq p, p > 2$, Hölder continuity of solutions of (1.1) has just been proven by the authors, see [8].

For a weak solution u (supersolution, subsolution) of (1.1), we mean that $u \geq 0$, $u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, $v, v_t \in L^2(Q_T)$, where $v = u^{q-1}$, and u satisfies

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} v_t \varphi dx dt = (\geq, \leq) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx dt \quad (1.2)$$

* The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, $\varphi \in L^2(Q_T) \cap L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

Under appropriate conditions, one can prove the local boundedness of weak solution for (1.1). Throughout this paper we assume $0 \leq u \leq M$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that u is a weak solution of (1.1) with $1 < p < 2$, $p \leq q$, and $0 \leq u \leq M$. Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exist constants $\beta, C > 0$ dependent only on p, q, N, M, ε , $0 < \beta < 1$, such that*

$$|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)| \leq C(|x_1 - x_2| + |t_1 - t_2|^{1/p})^\beta$$

for all $(x_1, t), (x_2, t_2) \in \Omega_\varepsilon \times (\varepsilon, T - \varepsilon)$, $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : |x| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, d(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}$.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we will give several Lemmas used later. Set

$$K_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x^i - x_0^i| \leq R, 1 \leq i \leq N\}$$

$$Q(R, \rho; z_0) = K_R(z_0) \times (t_0 - \rho, t_0], z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$$

Assume $Q(R, \rho; z_0) \subset Q_T$.

Lemma 2.1 *If u is a supersolution of (1.1), then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \rho < t \leq t_0} \int_{K_R(x_0)} \zeta^p \left[\int_u^k s^{q-2}(k-s)^+ ds \right] dx + \iint_{Q(R, \rho; z_0)} \zeta^p |\nabla(k-u)^+|^p dx dt \\ & \leq C \iint_{Q(R, \rho; z_0)} \left\{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (k-u)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \left[\int_u^k s^{q-2}(k-s)^+ ds \right] \right\} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

If u is a subsolution of (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \rho < t \leq t_0} \int_{K_R(x_0)} \zeta^p \left[\int_k^u s^{q-2}(s-k)^+ ds \right] dx + \iint_{Q(R, \rho; z_0)} \zeta^p |\nabla(u-k)^+|^p dx dt \\ & \leq C \iint_{Q(R, \rho; z_0)} \left\{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (u-k)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \left[\int_k^u s^{q-2}(s-k)^+ ds \right] \right\} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In (2.1) and (2.2), $k > 0$, constant c depends only on p, q . $\zeta \geq 0$, $\zeta \in C^1(Q(R, \rho; z_0))$, $\zeta|_{\partial_p Q(R, \rho; z_0)} = 0$.

Proof In (1.2), by taking $\varphi = \zeta^p (k-u)^+$, we easily obtain (2.1). Similarly, (2.2) can be proven.

Lemma 2.2 *For $1 < p < 2$, $q \geq p$, there exist C_1, C_2 dependent only on q, p such that for $u \geq 0$*

$$C_1 k^{q-2} (k-u)^{+2} \leq \int_u^k s^{q-2} (k-s)^+ ds \leq C_2 k^{q-p} (k-u)^{+p} \quad (2.3)$$

and for $0 < \frac{\mu}{2} \leq k \leq u \leq \mu$

$$C_1\mu^{q-2}(u-k)^{+2} \leq \int_k^u s^{q-2}(s-k)^+ ds \leq C_2\mu^{q-2}(u-k)^{+2} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof Clearly

$$\int_u^k s^{q-2}(k-s)^+ ds \geq \int_{\frac{k+u}{2}}^k s^{q-2}(k-s)^+ ds \geq C_1 k^{q-2}(k-u)^{+2}$$

On the other hand, by mean value theorem, obviously

$$\begin{aligned} \int_u^k s^{p-2}(k-s)^+ ds &= \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{u^{p-1}}^{k^{p-1}} (k-s^{\frac{1}{p-1}})^+ ds = \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{u^{p-1}}^{k^{p-1}} ((k^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - s^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) ds \\ &\leq \int_{u^{p-1}}^{k^{p-1}} k^{2-p}(k^{p-1}-s)^+ ds \leq \frac{1}{2} k^{2-p}(k-u)^{+2(p-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore for $0 \leq u < \frac{k}{2}$, from (2.5), we have

$$\int_u^k s^{p-2}(k-s)^+ ds \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{2-p}(k-u)^{+p}$$

For $\frac{k}{2} \leq u < k$, we also have

$$\int_u^k s^{p-2}(k-s)^+ ds \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{2-p}(k-u)^{+p}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\int_u^k s^{q-2}(k-s)^+ ds \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{2-p} k^{q-p} \frac{1}{2} (k-u)^{+p}$$

Therefore (2.3) follows. It is easy to check (2.4).

For $0 \leq s \leq H$, define

$$\Psi(H, s, \nu) = \ln^+ \frac{H}{H-s+\nu}, \quad 0 < \nu < \min\{1, H\}$$

Lemma 2.3 Assume u is a subsolution of (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K_R(x_0)} \zeta^p(x) \left[\int_k^u s^{q-2} (\Psi^2)'(H, (s-k)^+, \nu) ds \right] \Big|_{t_0-\rho}^t dx \\ &\leq C \int_{t_0-\rho}^t \int_{K_R(x_0)} |\nabla \zeta|^p \Psi(\Psi')^{2-p}(H, (u-k)^+, \nu) dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $t_0 - \rho < t \leq t_0$, $H \geq \sup_{Q(R, \rho; z_0)} (u-k)^+$, $0 \leq \zeta \in C_0^1(K_R(x_0))$, C depends only on p, q .

Proof Take $\varphi = \zeta^p(x)(\Psi^2)'(H, (u - k)^+, \nu)$ in (1.2), note $(\Psi^2)'' = 2(1 + \Psi)(\Psi')^2$, it is easy to get (2.6).

For the following two Lemmas, refer to [9], [5].

Lemma 2.4 (De Giorgi) If $f \in W^{1,1}(K_R(x_0))$ and $l, k \in R$, $l > k$, then

$$(l - k)\text{meas } A_{l,R}^+ \leq \frac{CR^{N+1}}{\text{meas } [K_R \setminus A_{k,R}]} \int_{A_{k,R}^+ \setminus A_{l,R}^+} |\nabla f| dx$$

where $A_{l,R}^+ = \{x \in K_R(x_0) : f(x) > l\}$, and c depends only on N .

Lemma 2.5 If $u \in \overset{\circ}{V}_p(Q_T) = L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(Q_T)} \leq C \|u\|_{\overset{\circ}{V}_p(Q_T)}$$

where $p^* = p \frac{N+p}{N}$, $\|u\|_{\overset{\circ}{V}_p(Q_T)}^p = \sup_{0 < t < T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^p$; C depends only on N, p .

Let $Q_R^A(x_0, t_0) = K_{AR}(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^p, t_0]$

Corollary 2.6 Assume $u \in \overset{\circ}{V}_p(Q_R^A(x_0, t_0))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_R^A(x_0, t_0)} |u|^p dx dt &\leq C \frac{(AR)^p}{|Q_R^A(x_0, t_0)|} \left(\iint_{Q_R^A(x_0, t_0)} \chi[u \neq 0] dx dt \right)^{p/(N+p)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sup_{t_0 - R^p < t \leq t_0} \frac{1}{A^p} \int_{K_{AR}(x_0)} |u|^p dx + \iint_{Q_R^A(x_0, t_0)} |\nabla u|^p dx dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi[\Sigma]$ denotes the characteristic function of Σ , $\iint_{\Sigma} f dx dt = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \iint_{\Sigma} f dx dt$, and C depends only on N, p .

Proof By transformation

$$x = x_0 + 2AR\tilde{x}, t = t_0 - R^p\tilde{t}; \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = u(x_0 + 2AR\tilde{x}, t_0 - R^p\tilde{t})$$

we have $\iint_{Q_R^A(x_0, t_0)} |u|^p dx dt = \iint_{K_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \times (-1, 0]} |\tilde{u}|^p d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t}$, then Lemma 2.5 implies Corollary 2.6.

The following Lemma is the direct generalization of Lemma 3.2 of [4].

Lemma 2.7 If u_1, u_2 are both supersolutions of (1.1), then $\omega = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$ is also a supersolution of (1.1).

Proof By (1.2), it follows that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \{(u_i^{q-1})_t \varphi_i + |\nabla u_i|^{p-2} \nabla u_i \nabla \varphi_i\} dx dt \geq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for $\varphi_i \in L^2(Q_T) \cap L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, $\varphi_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$; $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $0 \leq \varphi \in L^2(Q_T) \cap L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, define

$$H_\varepsilon(s) = 0 \text{ for } s \leq 0, H_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{s}{\varepsilon} \text{ for } 0 < s \leq \varepsilon, H_\varepsilon(s) = 1 \text{ for } s > \varepsilon$$

$$\varphi_1 = H_\varepsilon(u_2 - u_1) \cdot \varphi, \varphi_2 = [1 - H_\varepsilon(u_2 - u_1)]\varphi$$

Obviously, $H_\epsilon(u_2 - u_1) \rightarrow \chi[u_2 > u_1]$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^2 (u_i^{q-1})_t \varphi_i dx dt \\ & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 H_\epsilon(u_2 - u_1) + |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 (1 - H_\epsilon(u_2 - u_1))] \nabla \varphi dx dt \\ & \geq - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2] H'_\epsilon(u_2 - u_1) \nabla (u_2 - u_1) \varphi dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \{(\omega^{q-1})_t \varphi + |\nabla \omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega \nabla \varphi\} dx dt \\ & \geq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 - |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1) (\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1) H'_\epsilon(u_2 - u_1) \varphi dx dt \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ω is a supersolution of (1.1).

Now we introduce some notations. Set

$$K_R^\theta(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x^i - x_0^i| \leq \theta R, 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \quad \theta = 2^{s^*(2-p)/p} \mu^{(p-q)/p}$$

$$Q_R^\theta(x_0, t_0) = K_R^\theta(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^p, t_0]$$

$$Q_R^\eta(x_0, t_0) = K_R^\theta(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^p, t_0], \quad \eta = \mu^{(p-q)/p}$$

where $\mu > 0$, s^* dependent only on p, q, N will be determined in Lemma 4.3 and chosen, such that $2^{s^*(2-p)/p}$ is an integer. For convenience, denote $K_R(0)$ by K_R and $Q_R^\theta(0, 0)$ by Q_R^θ , etc.. Denote various constants dependent on given data by C .

In the following, let $\mu^- = \inf_{Q_{4R}^\theta} u$ and assume that $Q_{4R}^\theta \subset Q_T$, and $\sup_{Q_{4R}^\theta} u \leq \mu_1$, which

can be insured, for instance, take $\mu = M$.

Since (1.1) is degenerate at $u = 0$ or $\nabla u = 0$, the proof of Hölder continuity of its solution is more complicated. Our method is firstly to fine some subbox of Q_{4R}^θ in which $\sup u$ is smaller than μ or $\inf u$ is positive. Because of the double degenerate, we will work with Q_{4R}^θ instead of working with other shaped cylinders or boxes used in [2]–[9]. We will consider either of the following two cases, respectively in Sections 3 and 4.

Case I There exists some subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{4R}^\theta$ such that

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} \leq \alpha_0 |Q_{2R}^\eta|$$

Case II For all subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{4R}^\theta$

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} < (1 - \alpha_0) |Q_{2R}^\eta|$$

here α_0 is some constant dependent only on p, q, N .

Secondly, in Section 5 we will construct a series of subboxes $Q_{\mu_i}^{\theta_i}$ which shrink to some point $(0, \tau_0)$ such that either $u(0, \tau_0) = 0$ and $\sup u = 0$ (as $i \rightarrow \infty$), or for some $Q_{\mu_i}^{\theta_i}$, $\inf_{Q_{\mu_i}^{\theta_i}} u > 0$. In later case, (1.1) can be considered as evolutionary p -Laplace equation which is only a single degenerate.

3. Discussion in Case I

In this section we assume that u is a supersolution of (1.1), and that there exists some subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$ such that

$$\text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} \leq \alpha_0 |Q_{2R}^\eta| \quad (3.1)$$

where α_0 will be determined in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose (3.1) holds. If α_0 is sufficiently small and $0 < \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{8}$, then

$$u(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{8}, \text{ for } (x, t) \in Q_R^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \quad (3.2)$$

Proof For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, set

$$k_i = \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{8} + \frac{\mu}{2^{3+i}}, \quad R_i = R + \frac{R}{2^i} \quad (3.3)$$

$$Q_i = K_{R_i}^\eta(\bar{x}) \times (-R_i^p, 0]$$

Clearly for $i \geq 0$, $\frac{\mu}{8} \leq k_i \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$. By (2.1), it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-R_i^p < t \leq 0} \int_{K_{R_i}^\eta(\bar{x})} \zeta^p \mu^{q-2} (k_i - u)^{+2} dx + \iint_{Q_i} \zeta^p |\nabla(k_{i+1} - u)^+|^p dx dt \\ & \leq C \iint_{Q_i} \{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (k_i - u)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-p} (k_i - u)^{+p} \} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Take ζ as a cutoff function in Q_i , by Corollary 2.6, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_{i+1}} (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} dx dt \leq C \frac{(\eta R_i)^p}{|Q_i|} \left(\iint_{Q_i} \chi[u < k_{i+1}] dx dt \right)^{p/(N+p)} \\ & \cdot \left(\sup_{-R_i^p < t \leq 0} \frac{1}{\eta^p} \int_{K_{R_i}^\eta(\bar{x})} \zeta^p (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} dx + \iint_{Q_i} \{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} \right. \\ & \left. + \zeta^p |\nabla(k_{i+1} - u)^+|^p \} dx dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Notice $\eta = \mu^{(p-q)/p}$, $\mu^- = \inf_{Q_{4R}^\theta} u$, obviously

$$\frac{1}{\eta^p} \int_{K_{R_i}^\eta(\bar{x})} \zeta^p (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} dx \leq 2^{(i+4)(2-p)} \mu^{q-2} \int_{K_{R_i}^\eta(\bar{x})} \zeta^p (k_i - u)^{+2} dx \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, by (3.3), we can also estimate $\iint_{Q_i} \zeta^p |\nabla(k_{i+1} - u)^+|^p dx dt$. Combining this estimate with (3.4), (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_{i+1}} (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} dx dt \\ & \leq C \frac{(\eta R_i)^p}{|Q_i|} 2^i \left(\iint_{Q_i} \frac{(k_i - u)^{+p}}{(k_i - k_{i+1})} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p}{N+p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \iint_{Q_i} \{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (k_i - u)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-p} (k_i - u)^{+p} \} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Take ζ so that $|\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{C2^i}{\eta R}$, $|\zeta_t| \leq \frac{C2^i}{R^p}$, since $R \leq R_i \leq 2R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu^p} \iint_{Q_{i+1}} (k_{i+1} - u)^{+p} dx dt \\ & \leq C 2^{3p_i} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^p} \iint_{Q_i} (k_i - u)^{+p} dx dt \right)^{1+[p/(N+p)]} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.1), it is easy to check

$$\frac{1}{\mu^p} \iint_{Q_0} (k_i - u)^{+p} dx dt \leq \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^p \alpha_0$$

If α_0 is sufficiently small, according to Lemma 5.7 of [9], (3.2) follows.

Remark Observe that in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the condition $\mu \geq \sup_{Q_{4R}^\theta} u$ is not necessary. We only use the assumption $\eta = \mu^{(p-q)/p} \leq \theta$.

Next we will expand the set where u is “large” sidewise in the spatial direction up to a small box around $(0, 0)$. The process for the case $p = q$ is simpler than for the case $p < q$, hence we discuss these two cases, respectively.

Let $Q_R^\theta(h_0) = K_{2R}^\theta(0) \times (-R^p, -(1-h_0)R^p]$, $h_0 \in (0, 1]$. For any finite number h_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $-(1-h_0) + h_j \leq 0$, set

$$\omega(x, t) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{u(x, t + h_j R^p)\}$$

According to Lemma 2.7, ω is also a supersolution of (1.1). Since $K_R^\eta(\bar{x}) \subset K_{2R}^\theta$, by (3.2), we have

$$\text{meas} \left\{ x \in K_{2R}^\theta : \omega(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{8} \right\} \geq 2^{Ns^* \frac{(p-2)}{p} - N} |K_{2R}^\theta| \quad (3.6)$$

for all $t \in (-R^p, -(1-h_0)R^p]$.

Lemma 3.2 If ω satisfies (3.6) and $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{8}$, then for any $\alpha_1 > 0$, there exists s_* dependent only on $p, q, N, s^*, h_0, \alpha$, such that

$$\text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_R^\theta(h_0) : \omega(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right\} \leq \alpha_1 |Q_R^\theta(h_0)|$$

Proof Let $k_i = \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^i}, i = 3, \dots, s_*$. In Lemma 2.4, take $f = -\omega, l = -k_{i+1}, k = -k_i$, then

$$\frac{\mu}{2^{i+1}} \text{meas } A_{k_{i+1}, \theta, 2R}^-(t) \leq \frac{C(2\theta R)^{N+1}}{\text{meas } (K_{2R}^\theta \setminus A_{k_i, \theta, 2R}^-(t))} \int_{A_{k_i, \theta, 2R}^-(t) \setminus A_{k_{i+1}, \theta, 2R}^-(t)} |\nabla \omega| dx \quad (3.7)$$

where $A_{k, \theta, 2R}^-(t) = \{x \in K_{2R}^\theta : \omega(x, t) < k\}$.

Integrate (3.7) with respect to t , denote $A_i = \{(x, t) \in Q_R^\theta(h_0) : \omega(x, t) < k_i\}$, by (3.6) and Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu}{2^{i+1}} |A_{i+1}| &\leq C 2^{s^*(2-p)(N+1)/p} \mu^{(p-q)/p} R \left(\iint_{A_i} |\nabla \omega|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |A_i - A_{i+1}|^{(p-1)/p} \\ &= C 2^{s^*(2-p)(N+1)/p} \mu^{(p-q)/p} R \left(\iint_{Q_R^\theta(h_0)} |\nabla(k_i - \omega)^+|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |A_i - A_{i+1}|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\tilde{Q}_{2R}^\theta(x_0)} \zeta^p |\nabla(k_i - \omega)^+|^p dx dt \\ &\leq C \iint_{\tilde{Q}_{2R}^\theta(h_0)} \left\{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (k_i - \omega)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \left[\int_\omega^{k_i} s^{q-2} (k_i - s)^+ ds \right] \right\} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{2R}^\theta(h_0) = K_{4R}^\theta \times (-(1+h_0)R^p, -(1-h_0)R^p]$. Take cutoff function ζ so that $\zeta = 1$ in $Q_R^\theta(h_0)$, $|\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{C}{\theta R}$, and $|\zeta_t| \leq \frac{c}{h_0 R^p}$, by (3.8), (3.9) and (2.3), noting $|Q_R^\theta(h_0)| = 2^{-(N+1)} |\tilde{Q}_{2R}^\theta(h_0)|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu}{2^{i+1}} |A_{i+1}| &\leq C \mu^{(p-q)/p} R \left(\frac{1}{(\theta R)^p} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^i} \right)^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{h_0 R^p} k_i^{q-p} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^i} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |Q_R^\theta(h_0)|^{1/p} |A_i - A_{i+1}|^{(p-1)/p} \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq s_* - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $3 \leq i \leq s_* - 1$

$$|A_{i+1}|^{p/(p-1)} \leq C(1+h_0^{-1}) |Q_R^\theta(h_0)|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |A_i - A_{i+1}|$$

Add up with respect to i from 3 to $s_* - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{s_*}|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} &\leq \frac{C}{(s_* - 3) h_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} |Q_R^\theta(h_0)|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |A_3 - A_{s_*}| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(s_* - 3) h_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} |Q_R^\theta(h_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

By choosing sufficiently large s_* , Lemma 3.2 easily follows.

For the case $p = q$, $1 < p < 2$, from Lemma 3.2 with $\omega = u$, we easily get

$$\text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_R^\theta(1) : u(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}} \right\} \leq \alpha_1 |Q_R^\theta(1)|$$

Notice that θ, η are independent on μ in this case. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, if α_1 is sufficiently small, then

$$u(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in K_R^\theta \times (-(1-h_0)R^p, 0] \quad (3.10)$$

For the case $p < q$, $1 < p < 2$, the situation is more complicated. Rewrite

$$2\theta R = \left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*-2}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} 2^{[(s_*-2)(p-q)+s^*(2-p)]/p} 2R$$

Without loss of generality, we may enlarge s_* such that $2^{[(s_*-2)(p-q)+s^*(2-p)]+p} \ll h_0$ and $h_0/2^{[(s_*-2)(p-q)+s^*(2-p)]+p}$ is an integer. In $Q_R^\theta(h_0)$, construct the box of the following form

$$\hat{Q}_R = K_{2R}^\theta \times (\bar{t} - 2^{[(s_*-2)(p-q)+s^*(2-p)]}(2R)^p, \bar{t}]$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can find some $\hat{Q}_R \subset Q_R^\theta(h_0)$ such that

$$\text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in \hat{Q}_R : \omega(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*-2}} \right\} \leq \alpha_1 |\hat{Q}_R|$$

By Lemma 3.1 and its remark, it follows that for $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{1}{8} \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*-2}}$

$$\omega(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*-2}}, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \hat{Q}_{\frac{R}{2}} \quad (3.11)$$

For $h \in [0, 1 - h_0]$, define

$$\mathcal{E}_h = \left\{ t \in [-R^p, -(1-h_0)R^p] : u(x, t + hR^p) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}, \text{ for } x \in K_R^\theta \right\}$$

Then the set \mathcal{E}_h is closed. If $0 \leq \mu' < \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}$, according to the above discussion, for any $h_j \in [0, 1 - h_0]$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), by (3.11) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h_2} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{E}_{h_m} \supset \left(\bar{t} - 2^{[(s_*-2)(p-q)+s^*(2-p)]} \left(\frac{R}{2} \right)^p, \bar{t} \right]$$

Therefore, by the finite intersection property of compact set $[-R^p, -(1-h_0)R^p]$, we can find at least a point $t_* \in \bigcap_h \mathcal{E}_h$. From the definition of \mathcal{E}_h , it follows that

$$u(x, t_* + hR^p) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in K_R^\theta \quad (3.12)$$

Since for $0 < h_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, $[t_*, t_* + (1 - h_0)R^p] \supset [-(1 - h_0)R^p, -h_0R^p]$,

$$u(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in K_R^\theta \times [-(1 - h_0)R^p, -h_0R^p] \quad (3.13)$$

From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, (3.10) and (3.13), we have

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that u is a supersolution of (1.1). If there exists some subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$ and α_0 dependent only on p, q, N , such that*

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) < \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} \leq \alpha_0 |Q_{2R}^\eta|$$

and for $h_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, suppose that $0 \leq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}$, where s_* depends only on p, q, N, s^*, h_0 , then the following holds:

$$u(x, t) \geq \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in K_R^\theta \times [-(1 - h_0)R^p, -h_0R^p]$$

4. Discussion in Case II

Throughout this section, we suppose that u is a subsolution of (1.1) and satisfies that for all subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) > \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} \leq (1 - \alpha_0) |Q_{2R}^\eta| \quad (4.1)$$

where α_0 is the same as that in (3.1).

We assume $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{2}$. From (4.1), we can find

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) > \frac{3\mu}{4} \right\} < (1 - \alpha_0) |Q_{2R}^\eta| \quad (4.2)$$

for all $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$.

Let $\theta_1 = 2^{s_1(2-p)/p} \mu^{(p-q)/p}$ and s_1 will be determined in Lemma 4.2 so that $s_1 < s^*$. Without loss of generality, we may enlarge s_1, s^* , such that $2^{s_1(2-p)/p}$ is an integer. Since $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}, 0)$ may be divided into finite disjoint box $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0)$, from (4.2), we obtain

$$\text{meas } \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) > \frac{3\mu}{4} \right\} < (1 - \alpha_0) |Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \quad (4.3)$$

where $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume (4.3) holds. Then there exists $t^* \in [(-2R)^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p]$, such that*

$$\text{meas } \left\{ x \in K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t^*) > \frac{3\mu}{4} \right\} < \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}} |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \quad (4.4)$$

For the proof of Lemma 4.1, refer to Lemma 5.1 of [4].

Lemma 4.2 If $0 \leq \mu' < \frac{\mu}{2}$ and (4.4) holds, then there exists s_1 dependent only on p, q, N , such that for all $t \in \left[-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p, 0\right]$

$$\text{meas } \{x \in K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s_1})\mu\} < \left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)^2\right)|K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \quad (4.5)$$

Proof In (2.6), take $H = \frac{\mu}{4}$, $k = \frac{3\mu}{4}$, $\nu = \frac{\mu}{2^{s_1}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x})} \zeta^\rho(x) \left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' \left(\frac{\mu}{4}, \left(s - \frac{3\mu}{4}\right)^+, \frac{\mu}{2^{s_1}} \right) ds \right] dx \\ & \leq C \int_{t^*}^t \int_{K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x})} |\nabla \zeta|^p \psi (\psi')^{2-p} \left(\frac{\mu}{4}, \left(u - \frac{3\mu}{4}\right)^+, \frac{\mu}{2^{s_1}} \right) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [t^*, 0]$, $\zeta \in C_0^1(K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}))$, $\zeta \geq 0$. Taking cutoff function ζ such that $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $K_{(1-\sigma_1)2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x})$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_1 \theta_1 R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{(1-2^{-s_1})\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \right] \text{meas } \{x \in K_{(1-\sigma_1)2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s_1})\mu\} \\ & \leq \int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \text{ meas } \left\{ x \in K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > \frac{3\mu}{4} \right\} \\ & \quad + C \frac{1}{(\sigma_1 \theta_1 R)^p} (\ln 2^{s_1-2}) \left(\frac{2^{s_1}}{\mu} \right)^{2-p} (2R)^p |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \right] \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}} |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| + \frac{C(s_1 - 2)}{\sigma_1^p \mu^{2-q}} |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{(1-2^{-s_1})\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \right] \geq C_1(q) \mu^{q-2} \ln^2 2^{s_1-3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \right] \left[\int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{(1-2^{-s_1})\mu} s^{q-2} (\psi^2)' ds \right]^{-1} - 1 \\ & \leq \left[C_2(q) \mu^{q-2} \int_{(1-2^{-s_1})\mu}^{\mu} (\psi^2)' ds \right] \left[C_1(q) \mu^{q-2} \int_{\frac{3\mu}{4}}^{(1-2^{-s_1})\mu} (\psi^2)' ds \right]^{-1} \\ & \leq C \frac{2s_1}{(s_1 - 3)^2} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for all $t \in \left[-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p, 0\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas } & \{x \in K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s_1})\mu\} \\ & \leq \text{meas } \{x \in K_{2(1-\sigma_1)R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s_1})\mu\} + N\sigma_1|K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \\ & \leq \left\{ \left[1 + C\frac{2s_1}{(s_1-3)^2}\right] \frac{1-\alpha_0}{1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} + C\frac{s_1-2}{\sigma_1^p(s_1-3)^2} + N\sigma_1 \right\} |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}| \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{1-\alpha_0}{1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} < 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)^2$. Therefore, we can choose appropriate σ_1, s_1 , so that (4.6) holds.

Let $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0) = K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p, 0\right]$.

Lemma 4.3 Suppose $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{2}$ and (4.5) holds. Then for any $\alpha_2 > 0$, there exists some s^* dependent only on $p, q, N, \alpha_0, s_1, \alpha_2$ such that

$$\text{meas } \{(x, t) \in Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s^*})\mu\} < \alpha_2|Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)| \quad (4.6)$$

Proof Take $k_i = (1 - 2^{-i})\mu, i = s_1, s_1 + 1, \dots, s^*$. By (4.5), apply Lemma 2.4 to the function $u, l = k_{i+1}, k = k_i$, we easily get

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{2^{i+1}}\right) \text{meas } A_{k_{i+1}, \theta_1, 2R}^+(t) \leq \frac{C(2\theta_1 R)^{N+1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)^2 |K_{2R}^{\theta_1}|} \int_{A_{k_i, \theta_1, 2R}^+(t) \setminus A_{k_{i+1}, \theta_1, 2R}^+(t)} |\nabla u| dx \quad (4.7)$$

where $A_{l, \theta_1, 2R}^+(t) = \{x \in K_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\bar{x}) : u(x, t) > l\}, t \in \left[-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p, 0\right]$. Integrate (4.7) with respect to t , denote

$$\bar{A}_i = \{(x, t) \in Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0) : u(x, t) > k_i\}, i = s_1, \dots, s^*$$

use Hölder's inequality, we get for all $s_1 \leq i \leq s^* - 1$

$$\frac{\mu}{2^{i+1}} |\bar{A}_{i+1}| \leq C\theta_1 R \left(\iint_{Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)} |\nabla(u - k_i)^+|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |\bar{A}_i - \bar{A}_{i+1}|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \quad (4.8)$$

By (2.2), (2.4), it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_{4R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)} \zeta^p |\nabla(u - k_i)^+|^p dx dt \\ & \leq C \iint_{Q_{4R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)} \{|\nabla\zeta|^p (u - k_i)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-2} (u - k_i)^{+2}\} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Take a cutoff function ζ in $Q_{4R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)$, such that $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)$, $|\nabla\zeta| \leq \frac{C}{\theta_1 R}, |\zeta_t| \leq \frac{C}{R^p}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)} |\nabla(u - k_i)^+|^p dx dt \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\theta_1 R}\right)^p + \frac{\mu^{q-2}}{\alpha_0 R^p} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_1}}\right)^{2-p} \right\} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^i}\right)^p |Q_{4R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)| \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\theta_1 R}\right)^p \left(\frac{\mu}{2^i}\right)^p |Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)| \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

using (4.8), (4.9), we conclude that for $s_1 \leq i \leq s^* - 1$

$$|\bar{A}_{i+1}|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq C|Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |\bar{A}_i - \bar{A}_{i+1}|$$

Add up with respect to i from s_1 to $s^* - 1$, observe that $|\bar{A}_i| \geq |\bar{A}_{s^*}|$ ($i \leq s^*$), we obtain $(s^* - s_1)|\bar{A}_{s^*}|^{p/(p-1)} \leq C|Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)|^{p/(p-1)}$. By choosing s^* : $\frac{C}{s^* - s_1} \leq \alpha_2^{p/(p-1)}$, (4.6) follows.

Remark s^* determines θ and the width of box Q_{4R}^θ .

Divide $Q_{2R}^\theta(\alpha_0) = K_{2R}^\theta \times \left[-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}(2R)^p, 0\right]$ into a finite number of subboxes $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)$; for each $Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)$, (4.6) holds, therefore

$$\text{meas } \{(x, t) \in Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0) : u(x, t) > (1 - 2^{-s^*})\mu\} < \alpha_2 |Q_{2R}^{\theta_1}(\alpha_0)| \quad (4.10)$$

Lemma 4.4 Assume $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{2}$ and (4.10) holds. If α_2 is small enough, then

$$u(x, t) \leq (1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)})\mu, \text{ for } (x, t) \in Q_R^\theta(\alpha_0) \quad (4.11)$$

Proof For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, set

$$k_i = [1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)} - 2^{-(s^*+1+i)}]\mu$$

$$R_i = R + \frac{R}{2^i}; \quad \bar{Q}_i = Q_{R_i}^\theta(\alpha_0)$$

By (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}R_i^p < t < 0} \int_{K_{R_i}^\theta} \zeta^p \mu^{q-2} (u - k_{i+1})^{+2} dx + \iint_{\bar{Q}_i} \zeta^p |\nabla(u - k_{i+1})^+|^p dx dt \\ & \leq C \iint_{\bar{Q}_i} \{|\nabla \zeta|^p (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-2} (u - k_{i+1})^{+2}\} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Take ζ so that $\zeta \equiv 1$ in \bar{Q}_{i+1} , $|\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{C2^i}{\theta R}$, $|\zeta_t| \leq \frac{C2^i}{\alpha_0 R^p}$, by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\bar{Q}_{i+1}} (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} dx dt \leq C \frac{(\theta R_i)^p}{|Q_i|} \left(\iint_{Q_i} \chi[u > k_{i+1}] dx dt \right)^{\frac{p}{N+p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\sup_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}R_i^p < t < 0} \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)}{\theta^p} \int_{K_{R_i}^\theta} \zeta^p (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \iint_{Q_i} \{ \zeta^p |\nabla(u - k_{i+1})|^{+p} + |\nabla \zeta|^p (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} dx dt \} dx dt \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

We estimate the first term in the bracket of the right side of (4.13):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\theta^p} \int_{K_{R_i}^\theta} \zeta^p (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} dx \leq 2^{(i+1)(2-p)} \mu^{q-2} \int_{K_{R_i}^\theta} \zeta^p (u - k_i)^{+2} dx \\ & \leq C 2^i \iint_{Q_i} \{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (u - k_i)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-2} (u - k_i)^{+2} \} dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

the last inequality is derived by (4.12) with k_i instead of k_{i+1} . Therefore by (4.12), (4.14), from (4.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_{i+1}} (u - k_{i+1})^{+p} dx dt \\ & \leq C 2^i \frac{(\theta R_i)^p}{|\bar{Q}_i|} \left(\iint_{\bar{Q}_i} \frac{(u - k_i)^{+p}}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)^p} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p}{N+p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \iint_{\bar{Q}_i} \{ |\nabla \zeta|^p (u - k_i)^{+p} + \zeta^{p-1} |\zeta_t| \mu^{q-2} (u - k_i)^{+2} \} dx dt \\ & \leq C 2^{3pi} (\theta R_i)^p \left(\left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right)^{-p} \iint_{Q_i} (u - k_i)^{+p} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p}{N+p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(\theta R)^p} + \frac{\mu^{q-2}}{\alpha_0 R^p} \left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right)^{2-p} \iint_{Q_i} (u - k_i)^{+p} dx dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $R \leq R_i \leq 2R$, simple computation shows

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right)^{-p} \iint_{Q_i} (u - k_i)^{+p} dx dt \leq C 2^{3pi} \left[\left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right)^{-p} \iint_{Q_i} (u - k_i)^{+p} dx dt \right]^{1+p/(N+p)}$$

If α_2 in (4.10) is small enough, then $\left(\frac{\mu}{2^{s_*}} \right)^{-p} \iint_{Q_0} (u - k_0)^{+p} dx dt (< \alpha_2)$ is small enough, according to Lemma 5.7 of [9], we have

$$u(x, t) \leq (1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)})\mu \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_R^\theta(\alpha_0)$$

By Lemmas 4.1–4.4, we conclude

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that u is a subsolution of (1.1) and that for all subbox $Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) \subset Q_{2R}^\theta$

$$\text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_{2R}^\eta(\bar{x}, 0) : u(x, t) > \mu^- + \frac{\mu}{4} \right\} < (1 - \alpha_0) |Q_{2R}^\eta|$$

and $0 \leq \mu^- < \frac{\mu}{2}$. Then

$$u(x, t) \leq (1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)})\mu, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in K_R^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} R^p, 0 \right]$$

5. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, choose h_0 such that $h_0 \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{16}$ and $1 - h_0 \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{2}$. Clearly,

$$K_R^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} R^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{16} R^p \right] \subset \{K_R^\theta \times ((1 - h_0)R^p, -h_0 R^p]\} \times \left\{ K_R^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} R^p, 0 \right] \right\}$$

From Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, we have either $u(x, t) \geq \frac{\mu}{2^{s_*+1}}$ or $u(x, t) \leq (1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)})\mu$ for all $(x, t) \in K_R^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} R^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{16} R^p \right]$, where s^* , s_* depends only on p, q, N .

Suppose $u(x, t) \geq \frac{\mu}{2^{s^*+1}}$. Under transformations

$$\hat{x} = \frac{x}{\theta R}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{t}{R^p}; \quad \hat{u}(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) = u(\theta R \hat{x}, R^p \hat{t})/\mu$$

from Equation (1.1), \hat{u} satisfies

$$(\hat{u}^{q-1})_t = 2^{-s^*(2-p)} \operatorname{div}_{\hat{x}}(|\nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{u}|^{p-2} \nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{u})$$

Set $\hat{v} = \hat{u}^{q-1}$, since $u \geq \mu/2^{s^*+1}$, we have

$$1 \geq \hat{v} \geq 2^{-(s^*+1)(q-1)}, \quad \text{in } K_1 \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_0}{16}\right]$$

Using Theorem 1 of [4], we have $[\hat{v}]_{\beta', K_{\frac{1}{2}} \times (-\frac{\alpha_0}{4}, -\frac{\alpha_0}{8})} \leq C$, where $\beta' \in (0, 1)$, C depends only on p, q, N . Therefore

$$|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)| \leq C \mu \left(\frac{\mu^{(q-p)/p}}{R} |x_1 - x_2| + \frac{1}{R} |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\beta_1} \quad (5.1)$$

for all $(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in K_{\frac{R}{2}}^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{4} R^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{8} R^p\right]$, where β_1 depends on β', q .

Thus, we have either (5.1) holds or

$$u(x, t) \leq \sigma \mu, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in K_{\frac{R}{2}}^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{4} R^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{8} R^p\right] \quad (5.2)$$

where $\sigma = 1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)}$.

Take $\mu = M$ and choose sufficiently small $R_0 = 4R$ such that $Q_{4R}^\theta \subset Q_T$. For any positive integer i , set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &= \sigma^i \mu_0, \quad \theta_i = 2^{s^*(2-p)/p} \mu_i^{(p-q)/p}, \quad \mu_0 = \mu \\ R_i &= \bar{\sigma} R_{i-1}, \quad \bar{\sigma} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \sigma^{(q-p)/p}, (\alpha_0 2^{-(3+2p)})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \\ \bar{t}_i &= \bar{t}_{i-1} - 2^{-(3+2p)} \alpha_0 R_{i-1}^p, \quad \bar{t}_0 = 0 \\ Q_{\mu_i}(R_i) &= K_{R_i}^{\theta_i} \times (\bar{t}_i - R_i^p, \bar{t}_i] \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

It is easy to verify that $Q_{\mu_1}(R_1) \subset K_{\frac{R}{2}}^\theta \times \left(-\frac{\alpha_0}{4} R^p, -\frac{\alpha_0}{8} R^p\right]$. Hence, for all $(x, t) \in Q_{\mu_1}(R_1)$, either

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R_1^{\beta_1}} (|x_1 - x_2| + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{p}})^{\beta_1} \quad \text{for } (x_j, t_j) \in Q_{\mu_1}(R_1) \quad (j = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$u(x, t) \leq \mu_1 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_{\mu_1}(R_1)$$

By iterating this process, we conclude that either

$$u(x, t) \leq \mu_i \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i), i \geq 0 \quad (5.4)$$

or there exists some integer $l \geq 1$ so that

$$u(x, t) \leq \mu_i \text{ for all } (x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i), i = 1, \dots, l-1 \quad (5.5)$$

$$|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)|$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{R_l^{\beta_1}} (|x_1 - x_2| + |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{p}})^{\beta_1}, \text{ for } (x_j, t_j) \in Q_{\mu_l}(R_l), \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (5.6)$$

In the first case, suppose (5.4) holds, then by (5.3)

$$\mu_i = \mu_0 \bar{\sigma}^{i \ln \sigma / \ln \bar{\sigma}} = \mu_0 \left(\frac{R_i}{R_0} \right)^{\ln \sigma / \ln \bar{\sigma}} = M_1 R_i^{\beta_2} \quad (5.7)$$

where $\beta_2 = \ln \sigma / \ln \bar{\sigma}$, $M_1 = \mu_0 R_0^{-\beta_2}$. Hence we have

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq M_1 R_i^{\beta_2} \text{ for all } (x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i), i \geq 0 \quad (5.8)$$

Note that $\bar{t}_{i+1} \rightarrow -2^{-(3+2p)} \alpha_0 (1 - \bar{\sigma})^{-1} R_0^p \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \tau_0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, $(0, \tau_0) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i)$ ($i \geq 0$). From (5.8), we have

$$|u(x, t) - u(0, \tau_0)| \leq 2M_1 R_1^{\beta_2} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i)$$

For $(x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i) \setminus Q_{\mu_{i+1}}(R_{i+1})$, $t \leq \tau_0$, we also have

$$|u(x, t) - u(0, \tau_0)| \leq 2M_1 R_1^{\beta_2} \quad (5.9)$$

and one of the following inequalities holds:

$$|x| \geq \theta_{i+1} R_{i+1} \quad \text{or} \quad t \leq \bar{t}_{i+1} - R_{i+1}^p \quad (5.10)$$

By (5.3), (5.7), clearly

$$\theta_{i+1} R_{i+1} = 2^{s^*(2-p)/p} \sigma^{(p-q)/p} \bar{\sigma} M_1^{\frac{p}{p-q}} R_i^{\frac{[\beta_2(p-q)+1]}{p}} \quad (5.11)$$

Since $\beta_2 = \ln \sigma / \ln \bar{\sigma}$, $\sigma = 1 - 2^{-(s^*+1)}$, $\bar{\sigma} < \frac{1}{8}$, we may enlarge s^* so that β_2 is sufficiently small and $\beta_2 \frac{q-p}{p} + 1 > 0$. By (5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 - t &= (\bar{t}_{i+1} - t) - (\bar{t}_{i+1} - \tau_0) \\ &\geq R_{i+1}^p + \left[\sum_{j=0}^i 2^{-(3+2p)} \alpha_j R_j^p - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(3+2p)} \alpha_j R_j^p \right] \\ &= [1 - 2^{-(3+2p)} \alpha_0 (1 - \bar{\sigma}^p)^{-1}] \bar{\sigma}^p R_i^p \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

For $(x, t) \in Q_{\mu_0}(R_0) = \bigcup_i Q_{\mu_i}(R_i) \setminus Q_{\mu_{i+1}}(R_{i+1})$, $t \leq \tau_0$, there exists some i such that $(x, t) \in Q_{\mu_i}(R_i) \setminus Q_{\mu_{i+1}}(R_{i+1})$. Hence (5.9), (5.10) hold. Noting (5.11), (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t) - u(0, \tau_0)| &\leq 2M_1 R_i^{\beta_2} \\ &\leq 2M_1 \max\{[(2^{s^*(2-p)/p}(\sigma M_1)^{(p-q)/p}\bar{\sigma})^{-1}|x|]^{1/(p-q/p+\beta_2)} \\ &\quad \cdot [(1 - 2^{-(3+2p)}\alpha_0(1 - \bar{\sigma}(1 - \bar{\sigma}^p)^{-1})^{-1}\bar{\sigma}^p(\tau_0 - t)]^{\beta_2/p}\} \\ &\leq M_2(|x| + |t - \tau_0|^{1/p})^{\beta_2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

for $(x, t) \in Q_{\mu_0}(R_0) \cap \{t \leq \tau_0\} = K_{R_0}^{\theta_0} \times (-R_0^p, \tau_0]$.

Now we turn to consider the second case, suppose that (5.5), (5.6) hold, similar to the proof of Lemma 6 of [7], it is easy to obtain

$$|u(x, t) - u(0, \tau_0)| \leq C(|x| + |t - \tau_0|^{1/p})^{\beta_1}, \quad (x, t) \in K_{R_0}^{\theta_0} \times (-R_0^p, \tau_0] \quad (5.14)$$

where

$$\tau_0 = -2^{-(3+2p)}\alpha_0(1 - \bar{\sigma}^p)^{-1}R_0^p (> R_0^p)$$

By (5.13) (5.14), in a standard argument, Theorem 1.1 easily follows.

References

- [1] Caffarelli L.A. & Friedman A., *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 29 (1980), 361–391.
- [2] Chen Yazhe, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, 5B (4) (1984), 60–78.
- [3] Chen Yazhe, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, 8B (1987), 343–356.
- [4] Chen Yazhe & DiBenedetto E., *Arch. Rati. Mech. Anal.*, 103 (1988), 319–346.
- [5] DiBenedetto E., *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Science Serie IV.V.XIII* (3) (1986), 487–535.
- [6] DiBenedetto E. & Friedman A., *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357 (1985), 1–22.
- [7] Huang Qingbo, *J. Xiamen Univ. (Natural Science)*, 27 (1987), 483–490.
- [8] Huang Qingbo & Gu Liankun, Hölder continuity of weak solutions for double degenerate parabolic equations $(u^{q-1})_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ when $p > 2$, $1 < q \leq p$, (to appear).
- [9] Ladyzenskaja O.A., solonnikov V.A. & Ural'ceva N.N., Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, *Transl. Math. Monographs*, 23 (1968) A.M.S., Providence.
- [10] Raviart P.A., *J. Funct. Anal.*, 5 (1970), 299–328.
- [11] Tsutsumi M., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 132 (1988), 187–212.
- [12] Zhuang Qingshan, *J. Partial Diff. Eqs.*, 2 (4) (1989), 47–61.