Ann. Appl. Math. doi: 10.4208/aam.OA-2021-0008

## Surface Embedding of Non-Bipartite k-Extendable Graphs

Hongliang Lu<sup>1,\*</sup> and David G. L. Wang<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China
- <sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics & MIIT Key Laboratory of Mathematical Theory and Computation in Information Security, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 102400, China

Received 23 November 2021; Accepted (in revised version) 13 December 2021

**Abstract.** For every surface, we find the minimum number k such that every non-bipartite graph that is embeddable in that surface is not k-extendable. In particular, we construct a family of 3-extendable graphs which we call bowtie graphs. This confirms the existence of an infinite number of 3-extendable non-bipartite graphs that are embeddable in the Klein bottle.

AMS subject classifications: 05C10, 05C70

**Key words**: Non-bipartite graph, matching extension, surface embedding.

## 1 Introduction

A matching M of a graph G is said to be extendable if G has a perfect matching containing M. A graph is k-extendable if it has a matching consisting of k edges and every matching consisting of k edges is extendable, where

$$1 \le k \le (|V(G)| - 2)/2.$$

Much attention to the theory of matching extension has been paid since it was introduced by Plummer [17] in 1980. We recommend Lovász and Plummer's book [11] for

Emails: luhongliang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (H. Lu), glw@bit.edu.cn (G. Wang)

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

an excellent survey of the matching theory, and [21,27] for recent progress. Interests in the matching extensions of graphs embedded on surfaces began with the charming result [19] that no planar graph is 3-extendable. We refer the reader to Gross and Tucker's book [5] for basic notions on topological graph theory; see also [2].

Plummer [18] considered the problem of determining the minimum integer k such that every  $\Sigma$ -embeddable graph is not k-extendable. Based on some partial results of Plummer, Dean [3] found the complete answer to this problem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Dean, Plummer). Let  $\Sigma$  be a surface of characteristic  $\chi$ . Let  $\mu(\Sigma)$  be the minimum integer k such that every  $\Sigma$ -embeddable graph is not k-extendable. Then we have

$$\mu(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 3, & if \quad \chi = 2, \\ 2 + |\sqrt{4 - 2\chi}|, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

Its proof made a heavy use of the Euler contribution technique, which dates back to Lebesgue [8], developed by Ore [14], and flourished by Ore and Plummer [15].

In a previous paper [12], we extended Theorem 1.1 by finding the minimum integer k such that there is no  $\Sigma$ -embeddable (n,k)-graphs, where an (n,k)-graph is a graph whose subgraph obtained by removing any n vertices is k-extendable. This paper continues the study of this embeddable-extendable type of problems. We dig a little deeper by concentrating on non-bipartite graphs. Here is our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a surface of characteristic  $\chi$ . Let  $\mu'(\Sigma)$  to be the minimum integer k such that every  $\Sigma$ -embeddable non-bipartite graph is not k-extendable. Then we have

$$\mu'(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \chi \in \{-1, 0\}, \\ 3, & \text{if } \chi = 2, \\ \lfloor (7 + \sqrt{49 - 24\chi})/4 \rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

Non-bipartite graphs differ from bipartite graphs in many aspects, even if we are concerned with only matching problems. For instance, König theorem states that the maximum size of a matching in a bipartite graph equals the minimum size of a vertex cover; see Rizzi [24] for a short proof. Taking a triangle as the graph under consideration, one may see immediately that non-bipartite graphs do not admit this beautiful property in general.

Another example is on the algorithmic complexity. Lakhal and Litzler [7] discovered a polynomial-time algorithm for the problem of finding the extendability of a bipartite graph. It is still unknown that whether the same extendability problem for non-bipartite graphs can be solved in polynomial time or not; see Plummer [20].