

# A DIFFERENCE SCHEME FOR SOLVING AN INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FROM SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE THEORY\*<sup>1)</sup>

KUO PEN-YU (郭本瑜)

(Shanghai University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China)

## I. Introduction

We consider the following problem from the semiconductor device theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x_i^2} - \nabla \cdot (U \nabla \psi) - R(U, V), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i^2} + \nabla \cdot (V \nabla \psi) - R(U, V), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ p \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i^2} &= U - V - N, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma \times [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

$$U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$V(x, 0) = V_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\Omega = \{X | 0 < x_j < L, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$ ,  $T$  is a specified positive constant,  $\nu, p, q$  are positive constants,  $N$  is a specified Hölder continuous function of  $x, t$ ,

$$R(U, V) = \frac{UV - 1}{q(U + V + 2)}, \quad (1.2)$$

$U_0(x), V_0(x)$  are twice continuously differentiable in  $x$  and strictly positive in  $\Omega + \Gamma$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} (U_0(x) - V_0(x) - N(x)) dx = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

By a solution, we mean a set of three functions  $U, V, \psi$  of  $(x, t)$  in  $\Omega \times [0, T]$ , twice continuously differentiable in  $x$  and continuously differentiable in  $t$  satisfying (1.1)–(1.3), with  $U$  and  $V$  positive. For uniqueness we require also

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(x, t) dx = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Mock<sup>[1]</sup> proved that, under the above conditions, (1.1) has a unique solution, and gave a difference scheme for solving (1.1), but without the proof of convergence.

In this paper we give a scheme for solving (1.1) with a strict proof of its convergence.

\* Received September 10, 1982.

1) This work is suggested by Professor R. Glowinski.

## II. Notations and Lemmas

Let  $h$  be the mesh size in variable  $x_j, j=1, 2, \dots, n$ .  $Q$  denotes a mesh point, and  $e_j$  is a unit vector, i. e.

$$e_j = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-j})^T.$$

$\Omega_h$  denotes the set of internal mesh points.  $\Gamma_h$  is the boundary of  $\Omega_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{jM} &= \{Q \mid Q \in \Gamma_h, Q - he_j \in \Omega_h\}, \\ \Gamma_{jm} &= \{Q \mid Q \in \Gamma_h, Q + he_j \in \Omega_h\}, \\ \Gamma_j &= \Gamma_{jM} + \Gamma_{jm}. \end{aligned}$$

$\tau$  denotes the mesh size of variable  $t, \lambda = \tau h^{-2}$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the discrete function.  $\eta(Q, k)$  denotes the value of  $\eta$  at point  $Q$  and time  $t = k\tau$ .

$$\eta(k) = \{\eta(Q, k) \mid Q \in \Omega_h + \Gamma_h\}.$$

For simplicity, we denote  $\eta(Q, k)$  by  $\eta(Q)$  or  $\eta(k)$ . We define

$$\eta_{x_j}(Q, k) = \frac{1}{h} [\eta(Q + he_j, k) - \eta(Q, k)],$$

$$\eta_{\bar{x}_j}(Q, k) = \frac{1}{h} [\eta(Q, k) - \eta(Q - he_j, k)],$$

$$\eta_n(Q, k) = \begin{cases} \eta_{\bar{x}_j}(Q, k), & \text{if } Q \in \Gamma_{jM}, \\ -\eta_{x_j}(Q, k), & \text{if } Q \in \Gamma_{jm}, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta_x \eta(Q, k) = \eta_{\bar{x}_j}(Q, k), \quad \Delta \eta(Q, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta x_j \eta(Q, k),$$

$$\eta_t(Q, k) = \frac{1}{\tau} [\eta(Q, k+1) - \eta(Q, k)],$$

and define the following scalar product and norms

$$(\eta, \xi) = \sum_{Q \in \Omega_h} h^n \eta(Q) \xi(Q),$$

$$\|\eta\|^2 = (\eta, \eta),$$

$$\|\eta\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\|\eta_{x_j}\|^2 + \|\eta_{\bar{x}_j}\|^2),$$

$$|\eta|_{\Gamma_h} = \max_{Q \in \Gamma_h} |\eta(Q)|,$$

$$\|\eta\|_{\Gamma_h}^2 = \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_h} h^{n-1} \eta^2(Q).$$

We will use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.**  $2(\eta, \eta_t) = (\|\eta\|^2)_t - \tau \|\eta_t\|^2$ .

**Lemma 2.**

$$(\eta, \xi_{x_j}) + (\xi, \eta_{x_j}) = h^{n-1} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{jM}} \eta(Q - he_j) \xi(Q) - h^{n-1} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{jm}} \eta(Q) \xi(Q + he_j), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\eta, \xi_{\bar{x}_j}) + (\xi, \eta_{\bar{x}_j}) = h^{n-1} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{jM}} \eta(Q) \xi(Q - he_j) - h^{n-1} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{jm}} \eta(Q + he_j) \xi(Q). \quad (2.2)$$

The proofs come from Abel's formula directly.

**Lemma 3.**