

## THE MORTAR ELEMENT METHOD FOR ROTATED $Q_1$ ELEMENT<sup>\*1)</sup>

Jin-ru Chen

(School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China)

Xue-jun Xu

(LSEC, ICMSEC, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Beijing 100080, China)

### Abstract

In this paper, a mortar element version for rotated  $Q_1$  element is proposed. The optimal error estimate is proven for the rotated  $Q_1$  mortar element method.

*Key words:* Mortar element method, Rotated  $Q_1$  element.

### 1. Introduction

Many authors have made significant contributions to the so-called mortar element method (see [4] [5] [7] [8] [10] [11], and references therein). The mortar element method is a nonconforming domain decomposition method with non-overlapping subdomains. The meshes on different subdomains need not align across subdomain interfaces, and the matching of discretizations on adjacent subdomains is only enforced weakly. This offers the advantages of freely choosing highly varying mesh sizes on different subdomains and is very promising to approximate the problems with abruptly changing diffusion coefficients or local anisotropies.

The rotated  $Q_1$  element is an important nonconforming element. It was first proposed and analysed in [12] for numerically solving the Stokes problem. The rotated  $Q_1$  element provides the simplest example of discretely divergence-free nonconforming element on quadrilaterals. Due to its simplicity, the rotated  $Q_1$  element is used to simulate the deformation of martensitic crystals with microstructure in [9]. Independently, it also was derived within the framework of mixed element method (see [2]). In [2] it was proven that Raviart-Thomas mixed rectangle element method is equivalent to rotated  $Q_1$  nonconforming element method.

The purpose of this paper is to study the rotated  $Q_1$  mortar element method. A mortar element version for rotated  $Q_1$  element is proposed. By constructing some relations between rotated  $Q_1$  mortar element and bilinear element, the optimal error estimate for rotated  $Q_1$  mortar element method is proven.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In §2 we introduce model problem, the rotated  $Q_1$  mortar element method, and some notations. In §3 some technical Lemmas are given. In §4 the optimal error estimate is shown. For convenience, the symbols  $\preceq$ ,  $\succeq$ , and  $\asymp$  will be used in this paper, and  $x_1 \preceq y_1$ ,  $x_2 \succeq y_2$ , and  $x_3 \asymp y_3$  mean that  $x_1 \leq C_1 y_1$ ,  $x_2 \geq c_2 y_2$ , and  $c_3 x_3 \leq y_3 \leq C_3 x_3$  for some constants  $C_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ , and  $C_3$  that are independent of mesh

---

\* Received June 23, 1999.

<sup>1)</sup>Subsidized by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 19901014 and the Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects.

parameters. For any subdomain  $D \subset \Omega$ , we use usual  $L^2$  inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_D$ , Sobolev space  $H^s(D)$  with usual Sobolev norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(D)}$  and seminorm  $|\cdot|_{H^s(D)}$ . If  $D = \Omega$ , we denote the usual  $L^2$  inner product by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , the Sobolev norm by  $\|\cdot\|_s$  and seminorm by  $|\cdot|_s$ , where  $s$  may be fractional (for details see [1]).

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the following model problem: find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$a(u, v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v), \quad f(v) = (f, v),$$

$f \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a rectangular or  $L$ -shape bounded domain.

Divide  $\Omega$  into geometrically conforming rectangular substructures, i.e.,  $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \bar{\Omega}_k$  with  $\bar{\Omega}_k \cap \bar{\Omega}_l$  being empty set or a vertex or an edge for  $k \neq l$ . With each  $\Omega_k$  we associate a quasi-uniform triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$  made of elements that are rectangles whose edges are parallel to  $x$ -axis or  $y$ -axis. The mesh parameter  $h_k$  is the diameter of the largest element in  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ . Let  $\Gamma_{kl}$  denote the open edge that is common to  $\Omega_k$  and  $\Omega_l$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of all interfaces between the subdomains, i.e.,  $\Gamma = \bigcup \partial\Omega_k \setminus \partial\Omega$ . Each edge inherits two triangulations made of segments that are edges of elements of the triangulations of  $\Omega_k$  and  $\Omega_l$  respectively. In this way each  $\Gamma_{kl}$  is provided with two independent and different one dimensional meshes, which are denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_h^k(\Gamma_{kl})$  and  $\mathcal{T}_h^l(\Gamma_{kl})$  respectively. Let  $\Omega_{k,h}$  and  $\partial\Omega_{k,h}$  be the sets of vertices of the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$  that are in  $\bar{\Omega}_k$  and  $\partial\Omega_k$  respectively.

For each triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , the rotated  $Q1$  element space is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_h(\Omega_k) = \{v \in L^2(\Omega_k) \mid & v|_E = a_E^1 + a_E^2 x + a_E^3 y + a_E^4 (x^2 - y^2), \\ & a_E^i \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \int_{\partial E \cap \partial\Omega} v|_{\partial\Omega} ds = 0, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k); \\ & \text{for } E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k), \text{ if } \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = e, \text{ then} \\ & \int_e v|_{\partial E_1} ds = \int_e v|_{\partial E_2} ds \}, \end{aligned}$$

with norm and seminorm

$$\|v\|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} = \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \|v\|_{H^1(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} = \left( \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} |v|_{H^1(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Introduce the global discrete space

$$X_h(\Omega) = \prod_{k=1}^N X_h(\Omega_k),$$

with norm  $\|v\|_{1,h} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \|v\|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$  and seminorm  $|v|_{1,h} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$ .

Define one of the sides of  $\Gamma_{kl}$  as mortar denoted by  $\gamma_{m(k)}$  and the other as nonmortar denoted by  $\delta_{m(l)}$ . Assume that the mortar for  $\gamma_{m(k)} = \delta_{m(l)} = \Gamma_{kl}$  is chosen by the condition  $h_k \leq h_l$ , i.e., the fine side is chosen as mortar. Based on this assumption, the two elements of the slave triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h^l(\delta_{m(l)})$  that touch the ends of  $\delta_{m(l)}$  are longer than the respective elements of the mortar triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h^k(\gamma_{m(k)})$ . Define an auxiliary test space  $M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})$  to be a subspace of the space  $L^2(\Gamma_{kl})$  such that its functions are piecewise constants on  $\mathcal{T}_h^l(\delta_{m(l)})$ . The dimension of  $M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})$  is equal to the number of elements on the  $\delta_{m(l)}$ . For each nonmortar  $\delta_{m(l)} = \Gamma_{kl}$ , we define an  $L^2$ -orthogonal projection  $Q_m : L^2(\Gamma_{kl}) \rightarrow M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})$  by

$$(Q_m v, w)_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} = (v, w)_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})}, \quad \forall w \in M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)}). \quad (2.2)$$

Now we define rotated  $Q1$  mortar element space

$$V_h = \{v \in X_h(\Omega) \mid Q_m v_l = Q_m v_k, \quad \forall \delta_{m(l)} = \gamma_{m(k)} \subset \Gamma\},$$

where  $v_k = v|_{\gamma_{m(k)}}$  and  $v_l = v|_{\delta_{m(l)}}$ . The condition of the equality of the  $L^2$ -orthogonal projection of traces onto the test space for each interface is called the mortar condition. The rotated  $Q1$  mortar element approximation of problem (2.1) is: find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_{h,k}(u_h, v_h), \quad a_{h,k}(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} (\nabla u_h, \nabla v_h)_E.$$

### 3. Some Technical Lemmas

In this section we present some auxiliary technical lemmas that are necessary to prove our results.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  be the partition which is constructed by connecting midpoints of the opposite edges of elements of  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ ,  $\tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  be piecewise bilinear conforming element space defined on  $\mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ , and  $\tilde{V}_0^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  be the subspace of  $\tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  consisting of functions with zero traces on  $\partial\Omega_k$ . Define operator  $\mathcal{M}_k : X_h(\Omega_k) \rightarrow \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** Given  $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$ , we define  $\mathcal{M}_k v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  by the values of  $\mathcal{M}_k v$  at the vertices of the partition  $\mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ . The vertices are divided into four sets of points:

- If  $P$  is a central point of  $E$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_i \in \partial E} \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds;$$

- If  $P$  is a midpoint of one edge  $e \in \partial E$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e v ds;$$

- If  $P \in \Omega_{k,h} \setminus \partial\Omega_{k,h}$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_i} \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds,$$

where the sum is taken over all edges  $e_i$  with the common vertex  $P$ ,  $e_i \in \partial E_i$ ,  $E_i \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ ;

- If  $P \in \partial\Omega_{k,h}$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{|e_l|}{|e_l| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_l|} \int_{e_l} v ds \right) + \frac{|e_r|}{|e_l| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_r|} \int_{e_r} v ds \right),$$

where  $e_l \in \partial E_1 \cap \partial\Omega_k$  and  $e_r \in \partial E_2 \cap \partial\Omega_k$  are the left and right neighbor edges of  $P$ ,  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ . If  $P$  is a vertex of  $\Omega_k$ , then  $E_1 = E_2$ .

The above operator  $\mathcal{M}_k$  has the following properties.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{M}_k v|_{H^1(\Omega_k)} \asymp |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|\mathcal{M}_k v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} \asymp \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_k} \mathcal{M}_k v ds = \int_{\partial\Omega_k} v ds, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} \leq h_k |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\varepsilon)} \leq h_k^{1/2} |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is an edge of  $\Omega_k$ .

*Proof.* For any  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ , there exists an element  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , such that  $K \subset E$ . Assume that  $P_1$  is the common vertex of  $K$  and  $E$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  are other two vertices of  $K$  which lie on the edges of  $E$ , and  $P_4$  is the fourth vertex of  $K$  which lies in  $E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_k v|_{H^1(K)}^2 &\asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^4 |(\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_j)|^2 \\ &\asymp \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k), P_1 \in \bar{\tau}} \sum_{e_i, e_j \in \partial\tau} \left( \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds - \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds \right)^2 \\ &\asymp \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k), P_1 \in \bar{\tau}} |v|_{H^1(\tau)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  yields

$$|\mathcal{M}_k v|_{H^1(\Omega_k)} \leq |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}. \quad (3.6)$$

For any  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , let  $e_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  be the edges of  $E$  numbered clockwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v|_{H^1(E)}^2 &\asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \left( \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds - \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{|i-j| \neq 2} \left( \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds - \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{K \subset E, K \in \mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)} |\mathcal{M}_k v|_{H^1(K)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$  gives

$$|v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq |\mathcal{M}_k v|_{H^1(\Omega_k)}. \quad (3.7)$$

(3.1) follows from (3.6) and (3.7).

Similarly, we can show (3.2) holds.

Next we prove (3.3). For any  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ ,  $\partial E \cap \partial\Omega_k \neq \emptyset$ , let  $e$  be an edge of  $E$  which lies on  $\partial\Omega_k$ . Assume  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are two neighbor edges of  $e$  which lie in  $\partial\Omega_k$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_k v|_e$  is piecewise linear, according to the definition 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_e \mathcal{M}_k v ds &= \frac{|e|}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{|e_1| + |e|} \left( \int_{e_1} v ds + \int_e v ds \right) + \frac{2}{|e|} \int_e v ds + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{|e| + |e_2|} \left( \int_e v ds + \int_{e_2} v ds \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{|e|}{4(|e_1| + |e|)} \int_{e_1} v ds + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{|e|}{|e_1| + |e|} + 2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|e|}{|e| + |e_2|} \right) \int_e v ds + \frac{|e|}{4(|e| + |e_2|)} \int_{e_2} v ds. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $e \in \partial\Omega_k$  yields

$$\int_e \mathcal{M}_k v ds = \int_e v ds,$$

i.e., (3.3) holds.

To see (3.4), we introduce a local interpolation operator  $I_K$ . For any  $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$ , define  $I_K v$  to be a bilinear function on  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  by  $(I_K v)(P_i) = v(P_i)$ , where  $P_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are four vertices of  $K$ . Using standard interpolation estimate [6] and discrete norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - \mathcal{M}_k v\|_{L^2(K)}^2 &\preceq \|v - I_K v\|_{L^2(K)}^2 + \|I_K v - \mathcal{M}_k v\|_{L^2(K)}^2 \\ &\preceq h_k^2 |v|_{H^1(K)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 h_k^2 (v(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If  $P_i$  is a midpoint of one edge  $e$  of  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ ,  $K \subset E$ , then there exists a  $\xi \in e$  such that

$$v(\xi) = \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e v ds.$$

Using above equality and inverse inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} (v(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i))^2 &= \left( v(P_i) - \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e v ds \right)^2 \\ &= (v(P_i) - v(\xi))^2 \\ &\preceq h_k^2 |v|_{W_\infty^1(E)}^2 \\ &\preceq |v|_{H^1(E)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

If  $P_i$  is a central point of  $E$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , arguing as in (3.9) follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(v(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i))^2 &= (v(P_i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_j \in \partial E} \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds)^2 \\
&\preceq \sum_{e_j \in \partial E} (v(P_i) - \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds)^2 \\
&\preceq |v|_{H^1(E)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

If  $P_i \in \Omega_{k,h} \setminus \partial\Omega_{k,h}$ , assume  $P_i$  is a common vertex of  $E_j \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $K \subset E_1$ ,  $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = e_1$ ,  $\partial E_2 \cap \partial E_3 = e_2$ ,  $\partial E_3 \cap \partial E_4 = e_3$ ,  $\partial E_4 \cap \partial E_1 = e_4$ . Arguing as in (3.9) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(v(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i))^2 &= (v(P_i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} v ds)^2 \\
&\preceq (v(P_i) - \frac{1}{|e_1|} \int_{e_1} v ds)^2 + (v(P_i) - \frac{1}{|e_4|} \int_{e_4} v ds)^2 \\
&\quad + (\frac{1}{|e_1|} \int_{e_1} v ds - \frac{1}{|e_2|} \int_{e_2} v ds)^2 \\
&\quad + (\frac{1}{|e_3|} \int_{e_3} v ds - \frac{1}{|e_4|} \int_{e_4} v ds)^2 \\
&\preceq \sum_{j=1}^4 |v|_{H^1(E_j)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, if  $P_i \in \partial\Omega_{k,h}$ ,  $P_i \in \bar{E}_1 \cap \bar{E}_2$ ,  $E_1$  and  $E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , then

$$(v(P_i) - (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P_i))^2 \preceq |v|_{H^1(E_1)}^2 + |v|_{H^1(E_2)}^2, \tag{3.12}$$

where  $E_1 = E_2$  if  $P_i$  is a vertex of  $\Omega_k$ .

Combining (3.8)-(3.12), summing over all  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ , we obtain (3.4).

Finally we prove (3.5). Using trace theorem, (3.1), and (3.4), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\varepsilon)}^2 &\preceq h_k^{-1} \|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}^2 + h_k |\mathcal{M}_k v - v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}^2 \\
&\preceq h_k |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we know (3.5) holds and the proof is completed.

We now introduce a subspace  $X_h^\varepsilon(\Omega_k)$  of  $X_h(\Omega_k)$  for each open edge  $\varepsilon$  of  $\Omega_k$  as follows:

$$X_h^\varepsilon(\Omega_k) = \{v \in X_h(\Omega_k) \mid \int_e v ds = 0, \quad \forall e \in \partial\Omega_k \setminus \varepsilon\}.$$

Define an operator  $\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon : X_h^\varepsilon(\Omega_k) \rightarrow \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  by

**Definition 3.2.** Given  $v \in X_h^\varepsilon(\Omega_k)$ , we define  $\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  by the values of  $\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v$  at the vertices of the partition  $\mathcal{T}_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ .

• If  $P$  is a central point of  $E$  or a midpoint of one edge of  $E$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ , or  $P \in \Omega_{k,h} \setminus \partial\Omega_{k,h}$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v)(P) = (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P)$ ;

- If  $P \in \partial\Omega_{k,h} \setminus \varepsilon$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v)(P) = 0$ ;
- If  $P \in \partial\Omega_{k,h} \cap \varepsilon$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v)(P) = \frac{|e_r|}{|e_l| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_l|} \int_{e_l} v ds \right) + \frac{|e_l|}{|e_l| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_r|} \int_{e_r} v ds \right),$$

where  $e_l \in \partial E_1 \cap \partial\Omega_k$  and  $e_r \in \partial E_2 \cap \partial\Omega_k$  are the left and right neighbor edges of  $P$ ,  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ . If  $P$  is a vertex of  $\Omega_k$ ,  $E_1 = E_2$ .

Define the pseudo-inverse map  $(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ : \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k) \rightarrow X_h(\Omega_k)$  by

$$\frac{1}{|e|} \int_e (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v ds = v(P), \quad \forall v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k),$$

where  $e \in \partial E$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)$ ,  $P$  is the midpoint of  $e$ . Obviously, we have

$$(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{M}_k v = v, \quad (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon w = w, \quad \forall v \in X_h(\Omega_k), \quad \forall w \in X_h^\varepsilon(\Omega_k).$$

Using the discrete norms, we can prove the following Lemma holds.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ , we have

$$|(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq |v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \quad \|(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} \preceq \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_k$  be a special set of edges which belong to  $\partial\Omega_k$  or are the edges of rectangles which have one side on a mortar  $\gamma_{m(k)}$ . We introduce a special subspace  $X_h^k(\Omega_k) \subset X_h(\Omega_k)$  as follows:

$$X_h^k(\Omega_k) = \{v \in X_h(\Omega_k) \mid \int_e v ds = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{A}_k\}.$$

Define a discrete harmonic part  $H_k v$  of  $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{h,k}(H_k v, w) &= 0, \quad \forall w \in X_h^k(\Omega_k), \\ \int_e H_k v ds &= \int_e v ds, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{A}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Also we define a projection operator  $P_k : X_h(\Omega_k) \rightarrow X_h^k(\Omega_k)$  by

$$a_{h,k}(P_k v, w) = a_{h,k}(v, w), \quad \forall w \in X_h^k(\Omega_k).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\varepsilon = \delta_{m(k)}$  be a nonmortar edge of  $\Omega_k$ , and  $v$  be discrete harmonic in  $\Omega_k$  with  $\int_e v ds = 0$  for any  $e \in \mathcal{A}_k \setminus \delta_{m(k)}$ . Then

$$|v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq \|\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v\|_{H_{00}^{1/2}(\delta_{m(k)})}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_k : \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\partial\Omega_k) \rightarrow \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$  be conforming discrete harmonic defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \mathcal{H}_k w, \nabla \psi)_{L^2(\Omega_k)} &= 0, \quad \forall \psi \in \tilde{V}_0^{h/2}(\Omega_k), \\ \mathcal{H}_k w &= w, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_k. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and the property of conforming discrete harmonic (see (4.13) in [13]), we obtain

$$|(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq |\mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq \|\mathcal{M}_k^\varepsilon v\|_{H_{00}^{1/2}(\delta_{m(k)})}. \quad (3.13)$$

Define  $\tilde{v} \in X_h(\Omega_k)$  by

$$\int_e \tilde{v} ds = \begin{cases} 0, & e \in \mathcal{A}_k \setminus \partial\Omega_k, \\ \int_e (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v ds, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously we have

$$\int_e \tilde{v} ds = \int_e v ds, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{A}_k.$$

According to the property of discrete harmonic it follows that

$$|v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq |\tilde{v}|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Using  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  discrete norms and Poincare inequality in each element yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{v}|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} &\preceq |(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} + |\tilde{v} - (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \\ &\preceq \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \sum_{e_i, e_j \subset \partial E} \left( \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v ds - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{|e_j|} \int_{e_j} (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v ds \right)^2 \\ &\preceq |(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{M}_k^\epsilon v|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The desired result follows from (3.13)-(3.15).

Let  $\delta_{m(l)}$  be a nonmortar edge of  $\Omega_l$ ,  $W_0^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})$  be the continuous function space whose elements are piecewise linear over all segments that have the midpoints of edges belonging to  $\delta_{m(l)}$  as their nodals and equal zero at the ends of  $\delta_{m(l)}$ . Let  $\delta_{m(l)}^m$  be the set of midpoints of edges in  $\mathcal{T}_h^l(\delta_{m(l)})$ . Define an auxiliary operator  $\Pi_m : L^2(\delta_{m(l)}) \rightarrow W_0^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})$  as follows:

$$(\Pi_m v)(P) = (Q_m v)(P), \quad \forall P \in \delta_{m(l)}^m.$$

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\|\Pi_m v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} \preceq \|v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})}$ ,  $\forall v \in L^2(\delta_{m(l)})$ .

*Proof.* Using the discrete norm and  $L^2$ -stability of operator  $Q_m$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_m v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})}^2 &\preceq h_l \sum_{P \in \delta_{m(l)}^m} |(\Pi_m v)(P)|^2 = h_l \sum_{P \in \delta_{m(l)}^m} |(Q_m v)(P)|^2 \\ &\preceq \|Q_m v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})}^2 \preceq \|v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is completed.

By interpolation estimate [6] and interpolation space theory [3], we can derive the following result.

**Lemma 3.5.**  $\|v - Q_m v\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} \preceq h_l^{1/2} |v|_{H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(l)})}$ ,  $\forall v \in H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(l)})$ .

## 4. Error Estimate

The following result is the well-known second Strang Lemma.



**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solutions of (2.1) and (2.3) respectively, then*

$$|u - u_h|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} \preceq \inf_{v \in V_h} |u - v|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{w \in V_h} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \frac{\int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds}{|w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)}} \right|. \quad (4.1)$$

The first term in (4.1) is known as the approximation error, while the second term is called the consistency error.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solution of (2.1) and (2.3) respectively. Assume  $u|_{\Omega_k} \in H^2(\Omega_k)$ , then we have*

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds \right| \preceq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall w \in V_h.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \sum_{e \in \partial E \setminus \Gamma} \int_e \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\Gamma_{kl} \in \Gamma} \int_{\Gamma_{kl}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} [w] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $e$  is an edge of  $E$ ,  $[w]$  denotes the jump of  $w$  across  $\Gamma_{kl}$ .

The first term in the right hand of (4.2) can be estimated by standard argument as follows (cf. [9] for details):

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \sum_{e \in E \setminus \Gamma} \int_e \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds \right| \preceq \left( h_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^N |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)}. \quad (4.3)$$

For any  $\gamma_{m(k)} = \delta_{m(l)} = \Gamma_{kl} \subset \Gamma$ , by the mortar condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{kl}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} [w] ds \right| &= \left| \int_{\delta_{m(l)}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - Q_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) [w] ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\delta_{m(l)}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - Q_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) \{ (w_k - \mathcal{M}_k w_k) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathcal{M}_k w_k - Q_m \mathcal{M}_k w_k) - (w_l - Q_m w_l) \} ds \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - Q_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} \{ \|\mathcal{M}_k w_k - Q_m \mathcal{M}_k w_k\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} \\ &\quad + \|w_k - \mathcal{M}_k w_k\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} + \|w_l - Q_m w_l\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $w_k = w|_{\gamma_{m(k)}}$  and  $w_l = w|_{\delta_{m(l)}}$ .

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.5, and trace theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - Q_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} &\preceq h_l^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right|_{H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(l)})} \preceq h_l^{1/2} |u|_{H^2(\Omega_l)}, \\ \|w_k - \mathcal{M}_k w_k\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} &\preceq h_k^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \leq h_l^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \\ \|\mathcal{M}_k w_k - Q_m \mathcal{M}_k w_k\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} &\preceq h_l^{1/2} |\mathcal{M}_k w_k|_{H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(l)})} \\ &\preceq h_l^{1/2} |\mathcal{M}_k w|_{H^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq h_l^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}, \\ \|w_l - Q_m w_l\|_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} &\preceq h_l^{1/2} |w_l|_{H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(l)})} \preceq h_l^{1/2} |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the assumption  $h_k \leq h_l$  is used.

Combining above four inequalities with (4.4) yields

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{kl}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} [w] ds \right| \preceq h_l |u|_{H^2(\Omega_l)} (|w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} + |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega_l)}).$$

Summing over all  $\Gamma_{kl} \in \Gamma$ , using (4.2) and (4.3), the desired result follows.

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u|_{\Omega_k} \in H^2(\Omega_k)$ , we have*

$$\inf_{v \in V_h} |u - v|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} \preceq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi_h^k u \in X_h(\Omega_k)$  be the interpolation of  $u$  in  $X_h(\Omega_k)$ . We have interpolation estimate (cf. [9])

$$\|u - \Pi_h^k u\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} + h_k |u - \Pi_h^k u|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)} \preceq h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Define  $\tilde{v}|_{\Omega_k} = \Pi_h^k u$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . The function  $\tilde{v} \in X_h(\Omega)$  may not satisfy the mortar condition across the interfaces. So we need to define a function  $w \in X_h(\Omega)$  such that  $v = w + \tilde{v}$  satisfies the mortar condition. To this end we first determine  $\int_e w ds$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\int_e w ds$  be zero for all  $e$  associated with mortars, i.e.,  $e \in \mathcal{A}_k \setminus \sum \delta_{m(k)}$ , where the sum is taken over all nonmortars of  $\Omega_k$ . On the slave side of an interface  $\Gamma_{kl}$  it is defined by

$$\int_{\delta_{m(l)}} w \psi ds = \int_{\delta_{m(l)}} (\Pi_h^k u - \Pi_h^l u) \psi ds, \quad \forall \psi \in M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)}). \quad (4.6)$$

Next we define  $w$  as discrete harmonic in all subdomains. It is obvious that  $v = w + \tilde{v}$  satisfies the mortar condition. From (4.5) we know

$$\begin{aligned} |u - v|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} &\leq |u - \tilde{v}|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} + |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2} + |w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $|w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)}$ , we decompose  $w|_{\Omega_l}$  as

$$w|_{\Omega_l} = \sum_{\delta_{m(l)} \subset \partial \Omega_l} w_{m(l)},$$

where the sum is taken over all nonmortars of  $\Omega_l$ ,  $w_{m(l)}$  is discrete harmonic in  $\Omega_l$ , and

$$\int_{\delta_{m(l)}} w_{m(l)} ds = \int_{\delta_{m(l)}} w ds, \quad \int_e w_{m(l)} ds = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{A}_l \setminus \delta_{m(l)}.$$

We further extend  $w_{m(l)}$  as zero onto other subdomains and have

$$w = \sum w_{m(l)},$$

where the sum is taken over all nonmortars in  $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega)$ .

Based on the above decomposition of  $w$ , we deduce that

$$|w|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} \leq \sum |w_{m(l)}|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} = \sum |w_{m(l)}|_{H_h^1(\Omega_l)}.$$

By (4.6) and the definitions of  $\mathcal{M}_l^\varepsilon$  and  $\Pi_m$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_l^\varepsilon w_{m(l)} = \Pi_m(\Pi_h^k u - \Pi_h^l u) \quad \text{on} \quad \delta_{m(l)}.$$

From Lemmas 3.3-3.4, above equality, inverse inequality, trace theorem, and (4.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{m(l)}|_{H_h^1(\Omega_l)} &\preceq \|\mathcal{M}_l^\varepsilon w_{m(l)}\|_{H_{00}^{1/2}(\Gamma_{kl})} = \|\Pi_m(\Pi_h^k u - \Pi_h^l u)\|_{H_{00}^{1/2}(\Gamma_{kl})} \\ &\preceq h_l^{-1/2} (\|\Pi_h^k u - u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{kl})} + \|\Pi_h^l u - u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{kl})}) \\ &\preceq h_l^{-1/2} \{ (h_k^{-1} \|u - \Pi_h^k u\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}^2 + h_k \|u - \Pi_h^k u\|_{H_h^1(\Omega_k)}^2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (h_l^{-1} \|u - \Pi_h^l u\|_{L^2(\Omega_l)}^2 + h_l \|u - \Pi_h^l u\|_{H_h^1(\Omega_l)}^2)^{1/2} \} \\ &\preceq h_l^{-1/2} (h_k^{3/2} |u|_{H_h^2(\Omega_k)} + h_l^{3/2} |u|_{H_h^2(\Omega_l)}) \\ &\preceq h_k |u|_{H_h^2(\Omega_k)} + h_l |u|_{H_h^2(\Omega_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the assumption  $h_k \leq h_l$  is used again. Summing over all nonmortars  $\delta_{m(l)} \subset \partial\Omega_l$  and afterwards over the subdomains, we complete the proof.

From Lemmas 4.1-4.3 we obtain the following optimal error estimate.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solution of (2.1) and (2.3) respectively,  $u|_{\Omega_k} \in H^2(\Omega_k)$ , then*

$$|u - u_h|_{H_h^1(\Omega)} \preceq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

### References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Space, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] T. Arbogast, Z.X. Chen, On the implementation of mixed methods as nonconforming methods for second order elliptic problems, *Math. Comp.*, **64** (1995), 943-971.
- [3] J.H. Bramble, Multigrid Methods, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, New York, 1993.
- [4] F.B. Belgacem, Y. Maday, The mortar element method for three dimensional finite elements, *RAIRO Numer. Anal.*, **31** (1997), 289-309.
- [5] C. Bernardi, Y. Maday, A. Patera, A new nonconforming approach to domain decomposition: the mortar element method, In *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications*, College de France Seminar, Vol. XI, H.Brezis and I.L.Lions, eds., Pitman, 1994, 13-51.

- [6] P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, New York, 1978.
- [7] M.A. Casarin, O.B. Widlund, A hierarchical preconditioner for the mortar finite element method, *ETNA*, **4** (1996), 75-88.
- [8] J. Gopalakrishnan, J.E. Pasciak, Multigrid for the mortar finite element method, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* (to appear).
- [9] P. Klouček, B. Li, M. Luskin, Analysis of a class of nonconforming finite elements for crystalline microstructure, *Math. Comp.*, **65** (1996), 1111-1135.
- [10] L. Marcinkowski, Mortar element method for quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *East-West J. Numer. Math.*, **4** (1996), 293-309.
- [11] L. Marcinkowski, The mortar element method with locally nonconforming elements, *BIT*, **39** (1999), 716-739.
- [12] R. Rannacher, S. Turek, Simple nonconforming quadrilateral Stokes element, *Numer. Meth. Partial Diff. Equations*, **8** (1992), 97-111.
- [13] J. Xu, J. Zou, Some nonoverlapping domain decomposition methods, *SIAM Rev.*, **40** (1998), 857-914.